

The Neural Correlates of Moral Decision-Making in Psychopathy

Andrea Glenn – University of Pennsylvania

Adrian Raine – University of Pennsylvania

Robert A. Schug – University of Southern California

Deposited 06/08/2021

Citation of published version:

Glenn, A., Raine, A., Schug, R. (2009): The Neural Correlates of Moral Decision-Making in Psychopathy. *Molecular Psychiatry*, Volume 14.

This is an accepted manuscript. The fully published version can be found at:

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2008.104>

The neural correlates of moral decision-making in psychopathy

Andrea L. Glenn¹, Adrian Raine², Robert A. Schug³

¹Department of Psychology, University of Pennsylvania

²Departments of Criminology, Psychiatry, and Psychology, University of Pennsylvania

³Department of Psychology, University of Southern California

Neuroimaging studies have used classic moral dilemmas to identify the neural circuitry underlying moral decision-making in healthy individuals, but it is unknown how this circuit functions in immoral, psychopathic individuals. In this functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study, we find that more psychopathic individuals showed reduced activity in the amygdala during emotional moral decision-making, with particularly conning and manipulative individuals showing reduced activity in the *entire* moral neural circuit. These results provide initial evidence that psychopaths exhibit deficits in brain regions essential to moral judgment in normal individuals.

Psychopathy is a personality disorder involving severe disruption in moral behavior accompanied by pronounced deficits in emotion. Emotion is argued to be a critical component of moral behavior¹. Highly emotional moral dilemmas have been found to evoke activity in the amygdala, medial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate, and angular gyrus^{1,2}. It has been hypothesized that persistent immoral behavior may result from deficiencies in some components of the moral neural circuit³. We implemented a twice-replicated fMRI task involving classic moral dilemmas^{1,2} to examine the relationship between psychopathy and brain activity. We also examined whether four different factors of psychopathy (Fig. 1, middle) were differentially related to neural activation during moral decision-making.

Seventeen community participants with varying degrees of psychopathy (range: 7.4 – 32 on the 40-point Psychopathy Checklist-Revised⁴) made judgments about ten dilemmas of the following types during fMRI scanning in a 3T Siemens Trio scanner (TE = 30 ms, TR = 2 s, flip angle = 80°, FOV = 192 mm): (1) moral personal – emotion-provoking and involving salient harm to another individual (e.g. Should you smother your crying baby to save yourself and other townspeople hiding from terrorists who may be alerted by the sound?), (2) moral impersonal – less emotional (e.g. Should you keep the money you found in a lost wallet?), and (3) non-moral (e.g. Should you take the train or the bus?).^{1,2} We used a second-level multiple regression model on individual activation maps (moral-personal > moral-impersonal) with psychopathy scores (total, factor) as a covariate of interest in SPM5 (<http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm5/>), masking the analysis to regions-of-interest (5-mm-radius spheres) at previously reported coordinates^{2,4} with a statistical threshold corrected for multiple comparisons ($p < 0.05$, family-wise error).

Participants with higher psychopathy scores showed reduced activity specifically in the amygdala during emotional moral decision-making (Fig. 1, left). Furthermore, reduced amygdala activity was associated with each of the four factors of psychopathy. In addition to reduced activity in the amygdala, individuals scoring particularly high on the Interpersonal Factor, involving manipulation, conning, superficiality, and deceitfulness, exhibited reduced activity in each of the other regions (medial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate, angular gyrus) of the moral neural circuit (Fig. 1, right).

Findings demonstrate that amygdala functioning is disrupted during moral decision-making in psychopathy, and is evident in all features of psychopathy, suggesting that amygdala dysfunction may be a core deficit in psychopathy⁵. The amygdala is thought to respond to cues indicating distress in others, thus guiding individuals away from antisocial behavior⁵. Reduced amygdala functioning in more psychopathic individuals suggests reduced responsivity to the thought of causing harm to others when contemplating personal moral dilemmas. Without such amygdala activation, individuals may be undeterred from conning and manipulating others, predisposing to impulsive, irresponsible decisions and engaging in criminal behavior without feeling guilt or remorse.




Figure 1 Negative association between psychopathy and brain activity during emotional moral decision-making. **(Left)** Higher total psychopathy scores (and all factors of psychopathy) were associated with reduced left amygdala activity (-21, -10, -14; 98 voxels, $T = 3.32$, $p = .011$, corrected). **(Middle)** Factors of psychopathy. **(Right)** The interpersonal factor was also associated with reduced activity in medial prefrontal cortex (-4, 60, 14; 98 voxels, $T = 2.67$, $p = .030$, corrected), posterior cingulate (0, -66, 35; 14 voxels, $T = 2.01$, $p = .037$, corrected), angular gyrus (56, -66, 24; 56 voxels, $T = 2.50$, $p = .012$, corrected). No positive associations were significant. Anatomical labels: AMG, amygdala; PCC, posterior cingulate; MPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; ANG, angular gyrus.

Reduced functioning in medial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate, and angular gyrus in individuals high on the Interpersonal Factor of psychopathy may indicate dysfunction of complex social processes important for interpersonal interactions central to behaving morally. These regions have been found to be involved in self-referential thinking, emotional perspective taking, recalling emotional experiences to guide behavior, and integrating emotion into social cognition³. Dysfunction in these regions suggests failure to consider how one's actions affect others, failure to consider the emotional perspective of the harmed other, or a failure to integrate emotion into decision-making processes. Such processes are important in determining the appropriateness of *using* someone to achieve a goal, which is central to personal moral dilemmas. Interestingly, particularly conning and manipulative individuals who callously *use* others to achieve goals show reduced functioning in these regions. Overall, findings suggest that reduced functioning in brain regions involved in the complex social process of moral decision-making may partly explain a complex social problem—the psychopath.

References

- 1 Greene, JD, Sommerville, RB, Nystrom, LE, Darley, JM, Cohen, J. *Science* 2001; **293**: 2105-2108.
- 2 Greene, JD, Nystrom, LE, Engell, AD, Darley, JM, Cohen, J. *Neuron* 2004; **44**: 389-400.
- 3 Raine, A, Yang, Y. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 2006; **1**: 203-213.
- 4 Hare, RD. *Hare Psychopathy Checklist—Revised (PCL-R): 2nd Edition*. 2003; Multi-Health Systems, Inc., Toronto.
- 5 Blair, RJ. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2007; **11**: 387-392.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Materials and Methods

The following dilemmas used in the present study were selected from a larger set by Greene *et al.*^{S1} Full text of the dilemmas is available at <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/sci;293/5537/2105/DC1>.

Moral-Personal:

Euthanasia
Transplant
Footbridge
Smother for dollars
Crying Baby
Hired Rapist
Infanticide
Preventing the Spread
Modified Bomb
Sacrifice

Moral-Impersonal:

Vaccine Policy
Standard Fumes
Sculpture
Speedboat
Resume
Taxes
Stock Tip
Illegal Lunch
Lost Wallet

Non-moral:

Standard Turnips

Food Prep

Brownies

Computer

Survey

Scenic Route

Broken VCR

Classes

Jogging

Shower

The dilemmas were presented randomly in a series of three blocks of ten dilemmas each. Following Greene *et al.*^{S1,S2}, each dilemma was presented as text through a series of three screens, the first two describing the scenario and the last posing a question about the appropriateness of an action. Participants read at their own pace, pressing a button to advance screens. After the third screen, participants responded by indicating (“appropriate” or “inappropriate”). The intertrial interval lasted for 14 seconds after each dilemma. Task-related activity was measured using a “floating window” of four images before and one image during the point of response. This epoch was convolved with the hemodynamic response function.

Image preprocessing involved slice timing correction, image realignment and unwarping, normalization into a standard stereotactic space using the Montreal Neurologic Institute (MNI) template, and spatial smoothing with an 8 mm full width at half-maximum Gaussian kernel.

Coordinates for the regions-of-interest (ROIs) are as follows: medial PFC: 1, 52, 17, posterior cingulate: -4, -57.4, 35, angular gyrus, left amygdala: -26, -8, -15, right amygdala: 25, -4, -13. Coordinates were converted from Talairach to MNI coordinates. The cluster threshold was 10 contiguous voxels.

Supplementary Results

Statistics for the negative association between each psychopathy factor and left amygdala activity is as follows: Interpersonal: -21, -14, -14; 63 voxels, $T = 3.63$, $p = .006$, corrected; Affective: -21, -14, -21; 70 voxels, $T = 2.12$, $p = .025$, corrected; Lifestyle: -18, -14, -14; 77 voxels, $T = 3.51$, $p = .007$, corrected; Antisocial: -18, -14, -14; 70 voxels, $T = 3.04$, $p = .016$, corrected.

Supplementary References

S1. Greene, JD, Sommerville, RB, Nystrom, LE, Darley, JM, Cohen, J. *Science* 2001; **293**: 2105-2108.

S2. Greene, JD, Nystrom, LE, Engell, AD, Darley, JM, Cohen, J. *Neuron* 2004; **44**: 389-400.