

Morphological and physicochemical characterization of  
starches isolated from chestnuts cultivated in different regions  
of China

Jiayue Guo – Beijing Normal University

Lingyan Kong – University of Alabama

Bin Du – University of Alabama

Baojun Xu – Beijing Normal University

Deposited 05/06/2020

Citation of published version:

Guo, J., Kong, L., Du, B., Xu, B. (2019): Morphological and physicochemical characterization of starches isolated from chestnuts cultivated in different regions of China. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, vol. 130.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.02.126>



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Full text at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.02.126>

or send request to [lingyan.kong@ua.edu](mailto:lingyan.kong@ua.edu)

1 **Morphological, structural, pasting, thermal and functional characteristics of**  
2 **starches from chestnuts produced in different cultivation regions in China**

3 **Jiayue Guo <sup>a, b</sup>, Lingyan Kong <sup>b</sup>, Bin Du <sup>c</sup>, Baojun Xu <sup>a,\*</sup>**

4 *<sup>a</sup> Food Science and Technology Program, Beijing Normal University– Hong Kong*

5 *Baptist University United International College, Zhuhai 519087, China*

6 *<sup>b</sup> Human Nutrition and Hospitality Management, The University of Alabama, Tusca-*  
7 *loosa, AL 35487, U.S.A.*

8 *<sup>c</sup> Analysis and Testing Center, Hebei Normal University of Science and Technology,*

9 *Qinhuangdao, Hebei 066600, China*

10

11 \*Correspondence. Address: 2000, Jintong Road, Tangjiawan, Zhuhai, Guangdong  
12 519087, China. Email: [baojunxu@uic.edu.hk](mailto:baojunxu@uic.edu.hk); Tel.: +86-756-3620-636; Fax: +86-756-  
13 3620-882

14

15 **Running title:** Physicochemical properties of chestnut starches

16

17

18

19

20

21 **ABSTRACT**

22 This study was to compare the characteristics of 21 starches isolated from chestnuts  
23 produced in different regions in China, also to investigate their potential food applica-  
24 tions. Starches were isolated from chestnuts under the *Castanea mollissima* Blume va-  
25 riety with sub-varieties of *Banli*, *Youli*, and *Maoli*. Several properties of the starch sam-  
26 ples were examined, including the moisture content, amylose content, morphological  
27 characteristics, color values, light transmittance, syneresis, swelling power, water sol-  
28 ubility index, pasting properties, FTIR characteristics, X-ray diffraction (XRD) pat-  
29 terns, and thermal properties. The results showed that starches isolated from *Youli* pre-  
30 sented higher resistance to shear and swelling during heating, indicating more suitabil-  
31 ity for high-heat cooking. The FTIR spectra confirmed the polysaccharide nature of all  
32 the chestnut starches. The XRD patterns showed most chestnut starches were in C<sub>b</sub>-  
33 type, while only five were in C<sub>a</sub>-type. Overall, this comparative study would be relevant  
34 for the further exploration of the potential utilization of chestnut starch in both food and  
35 non-food industries.

36 **Key words:** chestnut starch; morphology; physicochemical properties; RVA; DSC

37

38

39

40

41

42

## 43 1. Introduction

44 As the most common carbohydrate in human diets, starch serves as a major energy  
45 source and can be found in large amounts in staple foods such as potato, corn, rice, and  
46 wheat. Most commercial starches are isolated from these crops through wet milling  
47 process. The isolated starches have much utilization as both nutritional food ingredients  
48 and functional additives in foods. For instance, based on their characteristics, starch can  
49 be used as stabilizers, gel formers, emulsifiers, and thickening agents. In addition,  
50 starches can be used to produce sweeteners (e.g., sugars, syrups, and sugar alcohols)  
51 and ethanol. Moreover, starch is widely used in non-food applications, e.g., in pa-  
52 permaking, pharmaceuticals, and even mining industries. Therefore, native starch is in  
53 high demand for meeting the global needs for the production of various goods, which  
54 raises the significance of exploring more botanical sources for starch.

55 While properties of mainstream starches (e.g., potato and corn starches) have been  
56 extensively studied, few have been focusing on chestnut starch, especially a compara-  
57 tive study of that from different regions. The present study was to examine the physico-  
58 chemical properties of starches isolated from chestnuts that were harvested in different  
59 regions in China. As an important food crop cultivated in many parts of the world,  
60 chestnut is a group of fruits belongs to the genus *Castanea* spp. in the family of Faga-  
61 ceae, with major sub-species include *Castanea mollissima* in China, *C. crenata* in Ja-  
62 pan, *C. dentata* in North America, and *C. sativa* in Europe [1, 2, 3, 4]. It is widely  
63 distributed and consumed in Asia, America, and Europe, with an increasing world pro-  
64 duction during the last few decades. China serves as one of the top producers of chest-  
65 nuts according to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations [4].  
66 Under the variety of Chinese *C. mollissima*, chestnuts are commonly classified into

67 three sub-groups based on their morphological characteristics, which are *Banli*, *Youli*,  
68 and *Maoli* in their common names. *Banli* has an overall large size and flat shape, with  
69 a darker mat and unpolished skin, and is the most common chestnut variety in China.  
70 *Youli*, on the other side, presents a relatively smaller size and round shape, and its skin  
71 appears deep brown with shiny and glossy surface. *Maoli* has the smallest size with a  
72 hemispherical shape, and its fruit is less plump compared to *Banli* [5]. Unlike other  
73 culinary nuts, chestnuts have very low fat and protein content. Their calories come  
74 chiefly from carbohydrates, with almost twice as much starch as in potato on a dry basis  
75 [6]. According to studies conducted by Liu, Wang, Chang, and Wang [7] and Moreira,  
76 Chenlo, and Arufe [8], the total starch content of chestnut fruits (varieties of *C. mollis-*  
77 *simia*) range from 42.4% to 53.8%. Due to its relatively high starch content, it is ex-  
78 pected that chestnut quality is highly associated with its starch properties. Further, the  
79 chestnut starch may serve as a novel starch source with great potential applications both  
80 food and non-food industries [9]. Therefore, the in-depth study of the properties of  
81 chestnut starch is of great significance.

82 The chestnut cultivars in China are mainly grown in the middle regions, north-  
83 eastern, eastern, and south-eastern areas of the country, distributed in five basic tem-  
84 perature zones based on their climate characteristics, which are warm-temperature  
85 zone, north subtropical zone, mid subtropical zone, south subtropical zone, and tropic  
86 zone. Chestnuts are widely cultivated except for a few areas like Qinghai, Ningxia,  
87 Xizang, and Xinjiang, while provinces of Hebei, Henan, Liaoning, Shandong, Shaanxi,  
88 and Hubei are some famous origin places of chestnuts. Favoring low hills, gentle slopes  
89 and river banks, chestnuts grow largely depend on the environmental factors such as  
90 temperature, water, soil, and sunlight. In addition, the properties of starches isolated  
91 from these chestnuts can also be affected by various environmental factors around

92 where they are grown.

93 Although there are previous studies on the structural and functional properties of  
94 chestnut starches [7, 9, 10, 11, 12], there have been few comparative studies on the  
95 properties of starches isolated from Chinese chestnut varieties in different regions.  
96 Therefore, to meet high demand of new-source starches and extend the utilization of  
97 chestnut, this study aimed to examine the physical and chemical properties of starches  
98 isolated from chestnuts produced in 21 cultivation regions in China and to make com-  
99 parisons among them, including the moisture contents, morphological characteristics,  
100 color values, physio-chemical properties, pasting properties, FTIR spectra, X-ray dif-  
101 fraction patterns, and thermal properties. Information generated from this study will be  
102 useful for guiding their potential applications and possible contributions to both food  
103 and non-food industry.

## 104 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 105 ***2.1. Materials***

106 Chestnut fruits were collected from 21 different regions in China, which were dis-  
107 tributed in the five major temperature zones. Based on the identification performed by  
108 Dr. Zhang Jingzheng (Hebei Normal University of Science and Technology), all of the  
109 21 chestnuts were under the major variety of *C. mollissima* Blume and were classified  
110 into three sub-varieties with different common names, i.e., *Banli*, *Youli*, and *Maoli*. The  
111 cultivation region, variety, common name, size, temperature zone of the region, and the  
112 respective picture of each chestnut sample were presented in **Table 1**. Chemical rea-  
113 gents, including sodium sulfite ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_3$ ) and ethanol, were of analytical grade.

### 114 ***2.2. Isolation of starches from chestnut fruits***

115 The chestnut starches were extracted according to the methods of Cruz et al. [9]  
116 and Zhang [11] with some modifications. Chestnuts were peeled with a chestnut peeler  
117 (550W-Medium, Kenong Technology Co., Ltd, Jiangsu, China) and ground with a  
118 grinder (2200W-Small, Xulang Technology Co., Ltd, Guangzhou, China) into fine  
119 grains, which were then steeped into 0.025 M sodium sulfite ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_3$ ). The ratio of  
120 chestnuts to sodium sulfite solution was 1 g: 10 mL. The mixture was homogenized  
121 with a homogenizer, and the suspension was placed still at room temperature for 1 hour.  
122 Afterwards, the supernatant was discarded, and the sediment was added with distilled  
123 water and filtered through a 60-mesh screen. The residue left on the screen was dis-  
124 carded, while the filtrate was collected with a beaker, and added with distilled water to  
125 set still. After the layer separation occurred, the upper turbid layer was removed, and  
126 the white residue left was added with distilled water to be filtered again. The washing  
127 and filtration process were repeated for 4 times with 80-mesh, 100-mesh, 120-mesh,  
128 and 200-mesh screens sequentially, and for the last time, distilled water was replaced  
129 by 95% aqueous ethanol. When the supernatant appeared almost transparent, the upper  
130 layer (ethanol-rich layer) was discarded and the white sediment was dried at room tem-  
131 perature (25°C) overnight. The resultant dry samples were collected into a sample bot-  
132 tle.

### 133 ***2.3. Moisture content analysis***

134 The determination of moisture content of the chestnut starch samples was carried  
135 out according to AACC method 44-15.02 [13]. All the experiments were conducted in  
136 triplicate.

### 137 ***2.4. Particle size analysis***

138 The particle size distribution of the isolated chestnut starches was analyzed using

139 a laser diffraction particle size analyzer (LA-920, Horiba Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

## 140 **2.5. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis**

141 The surface morphology of starches was examined using a scanning electron mi-  
142 croscope (SEM) (JSM-6390A, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) operated at an accelerating voltage  
143 of 15 kV.

## 144 **2.6. Color values testing**

145 Color values of the starch samples were measured using a colorimeter (D-25,  
146 Hunter Lab Associates Inc, Reston, VA, U.S.A). The instrument was standardized with  
147 Hunter lab color standards, and  $L^*$  (lightness),  $a^*$  (redness and greenness), and  $b^*$  (yel-  
148 lowness and blueness) values were measured.  $L^*$  stands for the lightness ranging from  
149 0 (black) to 100 (white);  $a^*$  indicates red with “+” and green with “-”; while  $b^*$  indicates  
150 yellow with “+” and blue with “-”. The whiteness (W) was calculated as:

$$151 \quad W = 100 - \sqrt{(100 - L)^2 + a^2 + b^2}$$

## 152 **2.7. Light transmittance testing**

153 The light transmittance of chestnut starches was measured using the method of  
154 Wani, Sogi, Wani, Gill, and Shivhare [14] with some modifications. Starch sample  
155 (0.5 g) and distilled water (50 mL) were added into a 50 mL centrifuge tube, which  
156 was then heated at 90°C for 15 min in a water bath (SYG-6, Langyue Instrumental  
157 Production Inc., Changzhou, China), with stirring at each 5 min intervals. The suspen-  
158 sion was cooled to room temperature. The absorbance (time 0) at 620 nm was meas-  
159 ured against a water blank using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (TU-1901, Persee

160 General Instrument Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). Afterwards, the absorbance was meas-  
161 ured after 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h.

## 162 **2.8. Syneresis properties determination**

163 The syneresis properties of chestnut starches were measured using the method de-  
164 scribed by Wani et al. [14] with some modifications. Starch sample (3 g) and distilled  
165 water (50 mL) were added into a 50 mL centrifuge tube. The suspension was heated at  
166 90°C for 20 min in the water bath with stirring at each 5 min intervals. The suspension  
167 was cooled in the refrigerator at 2°C for 24 h, and was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15  
168 min. The syneresis was calculated as the percentage of water released after centrifuga-  
169 tion.

170 
$$\text{Syneresis} = \text{Weight of water released} / \text{Weight of starch suspension} \times 100 \%$$

## 171 **2.9. Swelling power (SP) and water solubility index (WSI) determination**

172 The SP and WSI of chestnut starches were measured using the method of Wani et  
173 al. [14] with some modifications. Starch sample (0.6 g) and distilled water (30 mL)  
174 were added into a 50 mL centrifuge tube and was heated at 80°C for 30 min in the water  
175 bath with stirring at each 5 min intervals. After centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min, the  
176 starch suspension in the supernatant was poured onto a petri dish and dried in an air  
177 oven at 105 °C for 10 h. Both the dried residues of supernatant and the sediment were  
178 weighed. The SP and WSI were calculated based on the following formulas:

179 
$$\text{WSI (g/100g)} = \text{Weight of dried residue of supernatant} / \text{Weight of dry sample} \times 100\%$$

180 
$$\text{SP (g/100g)} = \text{Weight of sediment} / (\text{Weight of dry sample} \times 100 - \text{WSI}) \times 100\%$$

## 181 **2.10. Water retention capacity determination**

182 The water retention capacity (WRC) of chestnut starches was determined based on  
183 the study conducted by Raghavendra, Rastogi, Raghavarao, and Tharanathan [15]. The  
184 WRC was defined as the amount of water bound to the hydrated sample after centrifuga-  
185 tion. Starch sample (1 g) and distilled water (30 mL) were added into a 50 mL cen-  
186 trifugal tube and were allowed to hydrate for 18 h. After that, the suspension was cen-  
187 trifuged at 3000 g for 20 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the weight of the wet  
188 sediment was recorded. The wet sediment was then dried at 105°C for 2 h to obtain its  
189 dry weight, and the WRC was calculated based on the equation shown below:

$$190 \quad \text{WRC (g/g)} = (\text{Hydrated weight after centrifugation} - \text{dry weight}) / \text{Dry weight}$$

### 191 ***2.11. Amylose content determination***

192 Amylose content was quantified according to the following procedures. Briefly, a  
193 portion of dried chestnut starch sample (100 mg) in 1 mL of ethanol was mixed with  
194 10 ml of 1 N NaOH solution. After 10 h, the volume was made up to 100 ml with dis-  
195 tilled water. An aliquot of 2.5 mL of the solution was added into 20 mL of distilled  
196 water, and three drops of phenolphthalein were added. Afterwards, 0.1 N HCl was  
197 added drop by drop until the pink color disappeared. Iodine reagent (1 mL) was then  
198 added and the volume was made up to 50 mL with distilled water. The absorbance  
199 was read at 590 nm using a spectrophotometer. Iodine reagent (1 mL) diluted into 50  
200 mL of distilled water was used as the blank. The amount of amylose present in the  
201 sample was calculated with the following equation:

$$202 \quad \% \text{ amylose} = \text{Amylose concentration (mg/mL)} * 100\text{mL/sample weight (mg)} * 100\%$$

### 203 ***2.12. Pasting properties testing***

204 The pasting properties of chestnut starches were analyzed using a Rapid Visco An-

205 alyzer (Brookfield Engineering Labs Inc, Middleboro, U.S.A), following a method de-  
206 fined by Reddy, Luan, Xu [16]. Starch sample (3 g) was mixed with 25 mL of distilled  
207 water and was tested under the programmed heating and cooling cycle. The starch slur-  
208 ries were held at 50°C for 1 min, heated to 95°C at a rate of 12°C/min and held for 2.5  
209 min. Then the slurries were cooled to 50°C at the same rate and allowed to stay at 50°C  
210 for 2 min. The paddle speed was 960 rpm for the first 10 s, and then 160 rpm for the  
211 rest of the experiment. Parameters recorded were pasting temperature (PT), peak vis-  
212 cosity (PV), trough viscosity (TV), breakdown viscosity (BD), final viscosity (FV), and  
213 setback viscosity (SB).

### 214 ***2.13. Fourier transforms infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy analysis***

215 The FTIR spectra of the starches were detected by a FT-IR spectrophotometer (NI-  
216 COLET iS50 FI-TR, New York, USA) using ATR (Attenuated Total Internal Reflec-  
217 tance) method. Two to three milligrams of each starch sample were placed on the dia-  
218 mond crystal plate with pressure applied, and the measurement started after pressing  
219 the ATR touch button. The spectra were obtained from 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a  
220 resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

### 221 ***2.14. X-ray diffraction analysis***

222 The X-ray diffraction patterns of the starch samples was determined using an X-  
223 ray diffractometer (Rigaku Dmax/2400, Shibuya-ku, Japan). The scanning region of  
224 diffraction was 1.5406 Å with a target voltage of 40 kV and a current of 100 mA. The  
225 scanning speed was 6°C/min and the step size was 0.02°. The diffraction pattern graphs  
226 were obtained using the Origin software (Origin 8.0, OriginLab Inc, U.S.A.). The type  
227 of chestnut starch was analyzed based on the occurrence of prominent peaks at certain  
228 diffraction angles.

## 229 **2.15. Thermal properties analysis**

230 Thermal transitions and the gelatinization properties of starches were measured by  
231 a differential scanning calorimeter (Q2000, TA Instruments-Waters LLC, New Castle,  
232 DE, U.S.A.). Each starch sample (3 mg, dry basis) was weighed and mixed with 7  $\mu$ L  
233 of distilled water in a DSC pan to make starch slurry, which was equilibrated at 4°C for  
234 2 h. The scanning temperature was 20-120°C and the heating rate was 10°C/min.

## 235 **2.16. Statistical analysis**

236 All of the experiments were conducted in triplicates and the results were expressed  
237 as mean value  $\pm$  standard deviation with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Dun-  
238 can's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was conducted to determine the significant differ-  
239 ences among the mean values ( $p < 0.05$ ). A Pearson correlation analyses of several pa-  
240 rameters of the starch samples were done. These analyses were accomplished with  
241 SPSS 17.0 software.

## 242 **3. Results and discussion**

### 243 **3.1. Moisture content and particle size distribution of chestnut starches**

244 The moisture contents as well as the mean and median values of particle size of the  
245 isolated starches from different cultivation regions in China are shown in **Supple-**  
246 **mental Table 1**. The moisture contents of chestnut starches from 21 regions ranged  
247 from 11.3% to 16.9%, among which the one from Tai'an (Shandong) exhibited the  
248 highest moisture content, while the lowest was presented in the starch of chestnut from  
249 Haikou (Hainan). As presented in the table, all isolated starches showed a bimodal par-  
250 ticle size distribution, which means there were a peak distribution of particle size below  
251 the medium value while another peak above that. As all of the starches were from the

252 same chestnut variety, *C. mollissima* Blume, they presented a similar and wide range of  
253 particle size distribution, ranging from approximately 1 to 500  $\mu\text{m}$ , with only a few  
254 exceptions. Starches isolated from chestnuts grown in Shangluo (Shaanxi), Liuzhou  
255 (Guangxi), and Haikou (Hainan) had relatively smaller range of particle size distribu-  
256 tion, and the mean values were also much lower than others, indicating there were no  
257 particles with diameter larger than 301, 345, and 200  $\mu\text{m}$  for these 3 chestnut starches,  
258 respectively. The mean values of the chestnut starches isolated ranged from 21.5 to  
259 111.7  $\mu\text{m}$ , while most of them fell between 60 and 90  $\mu\text{m}$ . Among them, chestnut starch  
260 from Yangjiang (Guangdong) exhibited the highest mean particle size (111.7  $\mu\text{m}$ ), as  
261 well as the median value (96.6  $\mu\text{m}$ ); while that from Haikou (Hainan) presented the  
262 lowest mean (21.5  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and median value (10.3  $\mu\text{m}$ ). It was also found that the average  
263 particle size of starches isolated from *Maoli* was smaller than those of *Banli* and *Youli*.

264 According to Corriea, Cruz-Lopes, and Beirão-da-Costa [17], the granule size dis-  
265 tribution of chestnut starch can be affected by the isolation method used. In their study,  
266 chestnut starch consisted of mainly small and medium granules, and it was indicated  
267 that the more moderate the isolation method was used, the wider range of starch granule  
268 size was presented. In this study, the starches were isolated by sodium bisulfite and  
269 ethanol, which was more moderate than alkali extraction and enzymatic methods, so  
270 less granules were lost or destroyed during isolation, thus presenting a larger range of  
271 particle size distribution.

272 The size of starch granules can affect the digestibility and mouth feel when comes  
273 to their utilization. Generally, starch granules with smaller particle size presented  
274 greater digestibility, which is mainly because the smaller size granules have relatively  
275 larger surface area and are more readily digested by enzymes [18]. In addition, previous

276 study reported that smaller-sized starch granules can provide a better mouth feel, which  
277 can serve as better choices for lipid substitute [19]. Therefore, it is expected that the  
278 starches isolated from chestnuts grown in Haikou (Hainan), Liuzhou (Guangxi), and  
279 Shangluo (Shaanxi), exhibiting small mean particle size and narrow size distribution,  
280 may provide better digestibility and mouth feel. In addition, among the starches with  
281 smaller granule sizes, most were isolated from *Maoli* (such as the ones from Haikou  
282 and Shangluo), indicating that *Maoli* starches presented generally higher digestibility  
283 and better mouth feel.

### 284 ***3.2. Morphological characteristics of chestnut starches***

285 The morphology of starches isolated from chestnuts grown in different regions was  
286 analyzed using SEM, as shown in **Fig. 1**. It can be seen that starches isolated from  
287 chestnuts grown in different cultivation regions exhibited similar granule shape due to  
288 their same botanical origin but had various sizes. Most starch granules exhibited oval  
289 to spherical shape, with some elliptical ones scattered among the granules. All the ex-  
290 posed surfaces of starch granules presented smooth surfaces and edges, without any  
291 serrations or indentations. The shape of starch granules varied within each SEM image,  
292 and also exhibited differences among starches isolated from chestnuts from different  
293 cultivation regions. Starches isolated from chestnuts grown in Beijing (a), Jixian (b),  
294 Qinhuangdao (d), Wuxi (k), and Guilin (p) exhibited relatively uniform distribution of  
295 granule size, while for the rest of chestnut starches, the size of starch granules showed  
296 obvious variation, with diameters ranged from less than 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to larger than 20  $\mu\text{m}$ . For  
297 the overall granule size of the chestnut starches, those from Qinhuangdao (d), Tai'an  
298 (f), Shangluo (h), and Guilin (p) presented more small granules (with diameter less than  
299 5  $\mu\text{m}$ ) than larger ones, which was relatively identical to the results tested by particle

300 size analyzer. According to Reddy, Luan, and Xu [16], generally the morphological  
301 characteristics of starch granule are related to the physiological properties of its botan-  
302 ical source, including the amylose content, swelling power, light transmittance, and  
303 water absorption capacity.

### 304 **3.3. Color values of chestnut starches**

305 The color parameters include  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ ,  $b^*$ , and  $W$  values of isolated chestnuts  
306 starches from 21 regions are shown in **Supplemental Table 2**. As one of the physical  
307 properties of starches, color value plays an important role in indicating quality and pu-  
308 rity, as well as in many food applications. The color values (parameters  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ ,  $b^*$ ,  $W$ )  
309 of the 21 isolated chestnut starches exhibited significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ). The over-  
310 all results indicated high lightness of all the starch samples, which were all above 90  
311 with only one exception, the starch isolated from Tai'an (Shandong), which presented  
312 a  $L^*$  value of 84.91, exhibiting the lowest lightness. The reason of this exceptionally  
313 low lightness may be due to the slight degradation of the chestnut starch because of its  
314 higher moisture content, which introduced some extra pigments from the growth of  
315 microorganisms. Except for that of Tai'an (Shandong), the range of  $L^*$  value of other  
316 20 chestnut starches were between 97.21 and 102.50, with the highest one from Linyi  
317 (Shandong) and the lowest from Wuxi (Jiangsu). According to Reddy, Luan, and Xu  
318 [16], higher  $L^*$  values of isolated starches reflect the lightness and purity of starches.  
319 Besides, the highest white value could improve the usage of the starch in plenty of food  
320 applications where quality in color is recommended. As shown in **Supplemental Table**  
321 **2**, the starch isolated from chestnut grown in Zhaotong (Yunnan) exhibited the highest  
322 whiteness, with a  $W$  value of 97.02, followed by that from Xinyang (Henan), with a  $W$   
323 value of 96.84; while the chestnut starch from Tai'an (Shandong) presented the lowest

324 W value, which was the same as that of lightness.

325 For the  $a^*$  value, it was observed that all the chestnut starches exhibited an  $a^*$  value  
326 above 0, indicating a tendency to red. The highest one was the chestnut starch from  
327 Jixian (Tianjin), which was 1.87, exhibiting a higher red tendency; while the lowest one  
328 was from Xinyang (Henan), which was 0.26. The values of  $b^*$  obtained were all below  
329 0, showing a blue tendency. The highest  $b^*$  value was exhibited in the chestnut starch  
330 isolated from Tai'an (Shandong), which was -0.09; and the lowest one was from Linyi  
331 (Shandong), which was -8.83, indicating the lowest and the greatest tendency to blue,  
332 respectively. Since the two isolated starches were from the same province, the reason  
333 that such variation was exhibited may still owe to the degradation of the chestnut starch  
334 from Tai'an (Shandong), which could present pigments from sources other than the  
335 starch itself. Moreover, in addition to the genetic makeup of starches, the ageing of  
336 chestnut samples during storage prior to the extraction and isolation step of starch could  
337 also affect the color characteristics [20].

### 338 ***3.4. Physicochemical properties of chestnut starches***

339 The basic physicochemical properties of the isolated chestnut starches include light  
340 transmittance, syneresis, swelling power (SP), water solubility index (WSI), and water  
341 retention capacity (WRC). The light transmittance of each chestnut starch solution was  
342 determined every 24 h, as shown in **Supplemental Table 3**. The transparency of starch  
343 is related to the degree of rearrangement of starch molecules after being heated. The  
344 transparency is high when the degree of rearrangement is low, indicating fewer retro-  
345 gradation [21]. Also, larger amount of straight-chain amylose normally results in a de-  
346 crease in transparency of starch paste, while more amylopectin can increase that. As  
347 was shown in the table, for almost all chestnut starches, the highest light transmittance

348 appeared at 0 h and then gradually decreased after setting for 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h,  
349 primarily due to a result of retrogradation. The range of light transmittance at the be-  
350 ginning (0 h) was between 1.50 and 1.95, without much significant difference. Starch  
351 isolated from chestnut grown in Nanping (Fujian) presented the highest light transmit-  
352 tance at 0 h, which was 1.95, which still held a relatively high transmittance value after  
353 standing for 48 h and 72 h. For the rest of samples the transmittance values became  
354 quite close as the standing time increased.

355 The syneresis, WSI, SP, and WRC of each chestnut starch are shown in **Table 2**.  
356 Syneresis is the water separation from a starch gel upon standing at cold storage. The  
357 syneresis rate of all the chestnut starches ranged between 37.06 % (the chestnut starch  
358 from Fuzhou) and 56.40 % (the one from Zhaotong), presenting a significant difference  
359 ( $p < 0.05$ ). According to Hermansson and Svegmarm [22], higher amylose content can  
360 result in more interaction with amylopectin chains, causing more gel shrinkage, which  
361 might lead to higher syneresis.

362 WRC values were in the range of 0.82 to 2.48 (g/g) for the starches isolated from  
363 chestnuts grown in different regions. According to Nawab, Alam and Hasnain [23], the  
364 availability of water binding sites is increased as more hydroxyl groups are exposed  
365 with the rise in temperature. Starches with higher water retention can be applied to  
366 enhance functional properties of certain food products, which can retain a slower water  
367 intake and prevent lump formation when mixing with other food powder.

368 SP and WSI are characteristics analyzed to understand the interaction between the  
369 water molecules within the crystal domains of starch and the starch chains during heat-  
370 ing [24]. As seen in **Table 2**, the SP was in the range of 12.55 to 23.49 (g/100g), whereas  
371 the WSI range from 3.20% to 27.65%. Both the SP and WSI of starches isolated from

372 chestnuts varied significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) among different cultivation regions. Moreover,  
373 SP is related to the pasting characteristics of starches, especially to trough, final and  
374 setback viscosity [14]. Commonly, the swelling of starch granules depends on the quan-  
375 tity of amylose and particle size distribution, and can be influenced by the structure of  
376 amylopectin [25]. Solubility represents the amount of solubilized starch molecules at  
377 certain temperature. The variation in WSI could be largely due to the different distribu-  
378 tion of starch chain length and granule size [16].

### 379 **3.5. Amylose content of chestnut starches**

380 The amylose contents of the isolated chestnut starches are presented in **Table 2**.  
381 Linear non-branched chain of glucose, like amylose, can absorb iodine within its heli-  
382 cal coils to produce a blue colored complex which is measured calorimetrically, and  
383 consequently, inflate the iodine affinity and the apparent amylose content of the starch  
384 [26]. Amylose can also form a V-helix complex with the hydrocarbon portion of  
385 monoglycerides and fatty acids [27]. In this study, endogenous lipids were not re-  
386 moved, and thus it may lead to an underestimation of amylose content. It was found  
387 that amylose content of the 21 chestnut starches ranged between 29% (the one from  
388 Xinyang, Henan) and 42% (the one from Liuzhou, Guangxi) with significant differ-  
389 ence ( $p < 0.05$ ) observed among the samples. According to Tester, Karkalas, and Qi  
390 [28], regular starches contain approximately 20-30% amylose, waxy starches less than  
391 10% amylose and high-amylose starches more than 40% amylose. Therefore, most  
392 starch samples isolated from Chinese *C. mollissima* exhibited a higher amylose content  
393 than regular starches. High amylose content can induce an increase in gelatinization  
394 temperature due to retarded swelling, as well as thus to affect the pasting properties of  
395 starches.

### 396 3.6. *Pasting properties of chestnut starches*

397 The pasting properties of the 21 chestnut starches are shown in **Table 3**, including  
398 the parameters of pasting temperature (PT), peak viscosity (PV), trough viscosity (TV),  
399 breakdown viscosity (BD), final viscosity (FV), and setback viscosity (SB). As one of  
400 the most important characteristics of starches, viscosity can provide essential infor-  
401 mation about the cooking behaviors of starches during the heating and cooling cycles  
402 [16]. As the starch-water dispersion is heated under shear above its gelatinization tem-  
403 perature, the phase transition from order to disorder state takes place and the viscosity  
404 of the system increases dramatically, yielding the starch paste [9, 16]. The properties of  
405 the starch paste are affected by the quantity and length of amylose chain, as well as the  
406 size and distribution of branched chains of amylopectin [16]. Furthermore, the pasting  
407 profile of starches serves as an effective method for relating starch functionality with  
408 its structural features and assessing various potential applications for its usage in the  
409 industry as a thickener or binder [9].

410 As **Table 3** shows, significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) were observed among PT,  
411 PV, TV, BD, FV, and SB of the starch samples. For all the starches analyzed, there  
412 was a gradual increase in viscosity with the temperature increase in the initial phase,  
413 which can be explained by the loss of free water and decreased flow of water due to  
414 the increased volume of swollen starch granules that occupy more space. PT is the  
415 temperature at which the viscosity starts to rise, or the starch begins the gelatinization.  
416 The PT of the isolated starches ranged between 68.67 °C (the starch from Kunming,  
417 Yunnan) and 77.76 °C (the one from Yangjiang, Guangdong). According to Singh et  
418 al. [25], a higher PT indicates a higher resistance to swelling. Therefore, the starches  
419 isolated from chestnuts grown in Guangdong (S20), Jiangsu (S11), Zhejiang (S13),

420 and Henan (S9) presented higher resistance compared to others, most of which were  
421 *Youli*. The starch isolated from chestnut produced in Yangjiang (Guangdong) also  
422 presented a high amylose content.

423 The PV of the isolated chestnut starches ranged from 1120.67 cP to 4422.67 cP,  
424 with the lowest one from Zhaotong (Yunnan), and the highest one from Liuzhou  
425 (Guangxi). The PV value of most starch samples were in the range between 3000 and  
426 4000 cP. Commonly, PV refers to the swelling peak of the starch, which may be influ-  
427 enced by its composition and structure, and is also associated with the circumstances  
428 whereby the starch granules maintain stable between the status of swelling and rigidity.  
429 Results showed the starch isolated from chestnut produced in Zhaotong (Yunnan) had  
430 a very high amylose content (40.75%), which presented the lowest peak viscosity. This  
431 was in accordance with the study of Andrabi, Wani, Gani, Hamdani, and Masoodi [29],  
432 in which it was indicated that starches with high amylose contents would present lower  
433 PV because of the restricted swelling of starch granules. Moreover, the peak viscosity  
434 often plays an important role in the quality of end-product, providing an indication of  
435 the viscous load when the food ingredients are about to be mixed [30]. Also, PV and its  
436 corresponding temperature are indicative for the water binding capacity of starches  
437 [31].

438 The trough viscosity (TV) of isolated starches ranged from 195.33 cP (the starch  
439 from Zhaotong, Yunnan) to 3442.33 cP (the one from Shangluo, Shaanxi), which rep-  
440 resented the reduction in viscosity of starches after PV due to the largely breakdown of  
441 starch granules by high temperature. For the breakdown viscosity, it is the result of the  
442 dissociation and recombination of swollen starch granules due to the continuous shear  
443 stress at high temperatures, which can be used to predict the capacity of starch pastes  
444 used as thickeners to resist high shear conditions [32]. The range of BD of the isolated

445 starches was from 453.33 cP (the one from Nanping, *Youli*) to 2015.67 cP (the one from  
446 Liuzhou, *Banli*), and the low BD of chestnut starch from Nanping (Fujian) indicated  
447 that this starch was more resistant to the effect of sheer during heating. Moreover, it  
448 can also be seen that high breakdown values were associated with high peak viscosities,  
449 which are related to the degree of swelling of the starch granules.

450 Final viscosity (FV) of the isolated starches increased due to the cooling effect, and  
451 the values ranged between 314.00 cP (the one from Zhaotong) and 4600.33 cP (the one  
452 from Shangluo). It can be inferred that the chestnut starch from Shangluo (Shaanxi)  
453 might have the highest tendency to retrograde than others. The setback viscosity was a  
454 measure of syneresis of starch upon cooling, which can be obtained based on the FV  
455 and TV. It is generally used as a measure to indicate the gelling ability or retrogradation  
456 tendency of the starch, which can also be indicative of good cooking quality [33]. The  
457 SB values of isolated starches ranged from 118.67 cP (the one from Zhaotong) to  
458 1559.33 cP (the one from Qinhuangdao, Hebei), which also had certain relationship  
459 with the amylose content. Moreover, SB of starches can also show the interaction  
460 among leached amylose chains during the cooling cycle and the existence of intact  
461 granules in the amylose network [34].

### 462 **3.7. Structural properties of chestnut starches assessed by FTIR spectroscopy**

463 The FTIR spectra of all starches extracted from 21 regions are divided into five  
464 groups, based on the different climate zones they are in, as shown in **Supplemental**  
465 **Fig. 1**. The FTIR spectra are similar for all the chestnut starch samples, which exhibited  
466 major absorption bands at the wavelengths around 522-524  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 572-573  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 761-  
467 762  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 853-859  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 927-928  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 995-997  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1077-1078  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1149-1150  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ,  
468 1338-1360  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1417  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1640-1649  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 2929-2931  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , and 3286-3309  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ,

469 indicating the polysaccharide nature of the starches. No distinctive differences were  
470 found among the vibration bands of O-H, C-H, and C-O in the chestnut starches, which  
471 were due to the similarity in chemical structures of the starches, resulting in the similar  
472 absorption peaks.

473 For the broad band in the wavelength region of 3000-3650  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , the peaks at 3286-  
474 3309  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  indicated the complex vibration stretching contained free, inter- and intra-  
475 molecular hydroxyl (-OH) groups [17]. The absorption peaks at 2929-2931  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  were  
476 contributed by the -CH stretching associated with the ring methine hydrogen atoms.  
477 The absorptions at 1640-1649  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  were due to the H-O-H bending vibration of bound  
478 water, a typical band in the spectra of starch and its derivatives [35]. There were small  
479 absorption peaks at around 1417  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , which were related to the angular deformation  
480 of C-H; and the peaks at 1338-1360  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  were due to the twitching of  $\text{CH}_2$  [36]. For  
481 the absorption peaks at 1149-1150  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , they were attributed to the coupling of C-O,  
482 C-C stretching, and peaks at 1077-1078  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  represented the C-H-O bending in the  
483 starch molecules [16], and have also been used to express the amorphous state in starch  
484 [37]. In addition, according to Yu, Wang, and Ma [38], the sharp absorption peaks at  
485 995-997  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  were due to the C-O bending in the C-O-C structure in starches, the wave  
486 number of which can be influenced by the time of storage of the starches. The peaks at  
487 927-928  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  indicated the skeletal mode vibrations of  $\alpha$ -1,4 glycosidic linkage, and  
488 those at 853-859  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  were due to the C-H bending and  $\text{CH}_2$  deformation. For the peaks  
489 at 761-762  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , C-C stretching was exhibited; while for the absorption bands below  
490 the region of 580  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , skeletal modes of pyranose ring were indicated [39].

### 491 ***3.8. Structural properties of starches assessed by X-ray diffraction analysis***

492 The results of the X-ray diffraction of the isolated chestnut starches are classified

493 into the same five groups, as shown in **Fig. 2**. The starch samples were marked as S1 -  
494 S21 and divided into **Fig. 2A – Fig. 2E** under the same principle as above. Generally,  
495 starch granules present semi-crystalline structures and can be identified through X-ray  
496 diffraction patterns. Based on their characteristics and distinct XRD patterns, native  
497 starches can be categorized into A, B, and C types. Among them, the A-type exhibits  
498 the characteristics of most starches of cereal origin; the B-type mostly includes potato,  
499 root starches and amylo maize starches; and the C-type characterizes the starches of  
500 smooth pea and various edible beans [31].

501 According to Gernat, Radosta, Damaschun, and Schierbaum [40], chestnut starch  
502 presents a C-type XRD pattern, which is a mixture of A and B type granules with var-  
503 ying proportions. For the B-type pattern, it was characterized by peaks at diffraction  
504 angles at around 5.6°, 15°, 17°, and 20°, with a double peak at 22-24°. Some typical B-  
505 type starches include food legume starches and potato starches [41]. While for the pure  
506 A-type starches, such as maize and wheat starches, they do not present the 2 $\theta$  peak at  
507 diffraction angle of 5.6°, but exhibit a shoulder at 18°, and a unique peak at around 23°  
508 instead of the doublet peak at 22-24°. Also, an increase is presented in the relative in-  
509 tensity of the band at 15° [42]. Further, the C-type pattern exhibits broad peaks at  
510 around 17° and 23°, with some minor peaks at 5.6° and 15°, which is often classified  
511 into C<sub>a</sub>, C<sub>b</sub>, and C<sub>c</sub> types based on their resemblance to A and B-type, or between the  
512 two [40].

513 For the starch samples isolated from chestnuts grown in 21 regions, it can be seen  
514 that most of them exhibited a similar XRD pattern, with obvious peaks at 5.6°, 15°,  
515 17°, and broad peaks at 22-24°. Most of the starches could be classified as C<sub>b</sub>-type  
516 starches, as there were a small peak at 20° and doublet peak at 22-24°, including

517 starches S1-S8, S10, S12, S14, S15, S16, S18, S19, and S21 (containing *Banli*, *Youli*,  
518 and *Maoli*). While for the rest of the starch samples (S9, S11, S13, S17, and S20, with  
519 no *Youli* included), they presented as C<sub>a</sub>-type starches, as the peak at 5.6° was not as  
520 intense as that of the C<sub>b</sub> pattern, and there was no obvious peak at 20°; also, a single  
521 peak was exhibited at around 23° instead of a doublet one. According to Yang, Jiang,  
522 Prasad, Gu, and Jiang [10], the presence of a peak at the diffraction angle of near 20°  
523 indicates the occurrence of crystalline amylose-lipid complexes, which means the C<sub>b</sub>-  
524 type starches presented this characteristic.

### 525 **3.9. Thermal properties of chestnut starches**

526 The gelatinization temperatures, including onset temperature (T<sub>o</sub>), peak tempera-  
527 ture (T<sub>p</sub>), conclusion temperature (T<sub>c</sub>), and enthalpy of gelatinization (ΔH) of chestnut  
528 starches from the 21 regions are illustrated in **Table 4**. Starch granules undergo signif-  
529 icant structural and morphological changes when heated in excess of water, including  
530 the loss of crystallinity due to the dissociation of amylopectin double helix, the starch  
531 swelling due to water absorption, and the leaching of amylose to the water phase. These  
532 series of changes are generally referred to as starch gelatinization, which occurs in a  
533 temperature range depend on the botanical origin of different starches [9]. As shown in  
534 **Table 4**, the lowest onset temperature (T<sub>o</sub>) was 59.6°C for the starch from Liuzhou  
535 (Guangxi) (*Banli*); while the highest one was 68.9°C from Xinyang (Henan) (*Youli*).  
536 The lowest peak temperature (T<sub>p</sub>) was 64.0°C, occurred in the starch from Kunming  
537 (Yunnan) (*Banli*); while the highest one was 74.8°C from Yangjiang (Guangdong)  
538 (*Youli*). The lowest conclusion temperature (T<sub>c</sub>) was 68.9°C for the starch from Guilin  
539 (Guangxi) (*Banli*); while the highest one was 81.2°, which also occurred in the starch

540 from Yangjiang (*Youli*). Compared to the previous research on the gelatinization tem-  
541 peratures of chestnut starch isolated from *C. mollissima* [10], the  $T_o$ ,  $T_p$ , and  $T_c$  values  
542 were mostly consistent with those reported in the article, which were 64.3, 68.7 and  
543 76.5°C, respectively.

544 In addition, a higher  $T_o$  indicates the starch crystallites have a higher degree of  
545 stability and the amount of structural defects is less. Also, high gelatinization tempera-  
546 ture values indicate more energy is required for the initiation of starch gelatinization;  
547 and the more difficult to gelatinize, the more crystalline the starch granules are [43].  
548 Therefore, it can be found that the starch from *Youli* presented an overall higher gelat-  
549 inization temperature, which indicated it is more stable in structure and more suitable  
550 for high-heat cooking such as stir frying. The typical ones included those starches iso-  
551 lated from chestnuts produced in Yangjiang (Guangdong) (S20) and Xinyang (Henan)  
552 (S9), with relatively higher values of  $T_o$ ,  $T_p$ , and  $T_c$  presented. According to Singh et al.  
553 [25], the variation in starch gelatinization temperatures may be due to multiple factors  
554 such as the quantity of amylose, the structure of amylopectin, molecular structure and  
555 weight distribution of starch granules, as well as granular architecture includes size and  
556 shape.

557 The enthalpy of gelatinization ( $\Delta H$ ) reflects the loss of double helix order instead  
558 of crystalline order. The  $\Delta H$  values of isolated starches ranged between 0.65 and 5.52  
559 J/g, which differed significantly between the highest value of chestnut starch from  
560 Linyi, Shandong (*Maoli*) and the lowest one from Huairou, Beijing (*Banli*). The en-  
561 thalpy showed lower values than that reported in the previous study conducted by Yang  
562 et al. [10]. According to Lazaridou and Biliaderis [44], variation in  $\Delta H$  indicates the  
563 difference in required energy for disrupting hydrogen bonds within the crystalline zones

564 of starch, and the higher  $\Delta H$  value of gelatinization suggested that a better crystalline  
565 region was generated during the hardening process of heating, which may be due to the  
566 degradation of endo-amylase. Also, a stronger interaction of carbohydrate and water as  
567 well as a better organized microstructure lead to a higher  $\Delta H$  value [45].

### 568 **3.10. Correlation among the properties of chestnut starches**

569 The Pearson correlation analyses of major parameters of the chestnut starches are  
570 shown in **Supplemental Table 4**. As seen from the highlighted  $p$ -values, SP of the  
571 chestnut starch granules was negatively correlated with the enthalpy of gelatinization  
572 ( $\Delta H$ ) ( $r = -0.435, p < 0.05$ ). This negative correlation indicated that granules hold more  
573 water within swollen starch molecules require less energy for disrupting hydrogen  
574 bonds during the gelatinization process. Syneresis property was significantly positively  
575 correlated to the granule size of starches ( $r = 0.593, p < 0.05$ ), indicating that smaller  
576 starch granules usually lead to slower exudation of water from starch gel.

577 For the pasting properties, there was a significant positive correlation between the  
578 pasting temperature and peak temperature in the DSC ( $r = 0.859, p = 0$ ), which was  
579 also reported by Liu et al. [7]. Pasting TV, FV, and SV all had significant positive  
580 correlations with peak viscosity ( $p = 0$  or  $0.001$ ), and they were also significantly pos-  
581 itively correlated with each other ( $p = 0$ ). This correlation indicated that higher content  
582 of dissociation and recombination of swollen starch granules under high temperature or  
583 shear stress could result in more reduction in viscosity. In addition, TV, FV, and SV  
584 were negatively correlated with WSI ( $p < 0.05$ ).

585

## 586 **4. Conclusions**

587 In this study, starches from chestnuts produced in 21 different regions in China  
588 were isolated, characterized and compared. Significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) were ob-  
589 served in their color values, physio-chemical properties, pasting and thermal properties,  
590 depending on their botanical origins; while the particle size distribution pattern, func-  
591 tional group composition assessed by FTIR, and the structural properties assessed by  
592 XRD presented similar patterns, due to their same variety. The amylose content of the  
593 chestnut starches ranged between 29% and 42%, higher than that of regular starches.  
594 Chestnut starches from Zhaotong, Nanping, and Anqing presented lower peak viscosi-  
595 ties due to restricted swelling; while starches from Liuzhou, Huairou, and Jixian exhib-  
596 ited high viscous loads when used to mix with food ingredients. Both the pasting and  
597 thermal properties showed that starches isolated from *Youli* presented higher resistance  
598 to the sheer force effect and swelling during heating, indicating *Youli* was more stable  
599 in structure and more suitable for high-heat cooking like stir-frying. The FTIR spectra  
600 showed the polysaccharide nature of the starch samples. All the chestnut starches were  
601 C-type starches based on their XRD patterns, among which most were classified into  
602 the C<sub>b</sub>-type, which generally had higher crystallinity than the C<sub>a</sub>-type ones. Moreover,  
603 the high amounts of starch present in the chestnut fruits and their functional properties  
604 examined made chestnut a possible alternative source of starch. Although only applied  
605 in laboratory scale, the low cost of reagents and simple isolation procedures could be  
606 easily applied at industrial scale with proper adaptation. Therefore, the potential utili-  
607 zation of starches isolated from chestnuts produced in different regions in China would  
608 be relevant for both domestic and industrial applications.

609

610 **Acknowledgements**

611 This project is jointly supported by one grant (project code: UIC201714) from  
612 Beijing Normal University-Hong Kong Baptist University United International College  
613 and one research grant from Zhuhai Higher Education Construction Project (Zhuhai  
614 Key Laboratory of Agricultural Product Quality and Food Safety).

615

#### 616 **Conflict of interest**

617 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

618

#### 619 **References**

- 620 [1] Z.L. Tan, M.C. Wu, Q.Z. Wang, C.M. Wang, Effect of calcium chloride on chestnut,  
621 31(3) (2007) 298-307.
- 622 [2] F. Korel, M.O. Balaban, Chemical composition and health aspects of chestnut  
623 (*Castanea* spp.), in: A. C, F. Shahidi (Eds.), *Tree nuts: composition, phytochemicals,*  
624 *and health effects*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2009.
- 625 [3] M.C. De Vasconcelos, R.N. Bennett, E.A. Rosa, J.V. Ferreira-Cardoso,  
626 *Composition of European chestnut (*Castanea sativa* Mill.) and association with health*  
627 *effects: fresh and processed products*, 90(10) (2010) 1578-1589.
- 628 [4] J. Bruinsma, *World Agriculture: Towards 2015/2030.*, Routledge, London, 2003.
- 629 [5] F.L. Luo, Present situation of chestnut products processing in China, *Food and*  
630 *Machinery* 1 (2004) 3-8.
- 631 [6] T.F. Peggy, *The History of the Chestnut Tree*, 2017. <https://www.thespruce.com>.  
632 (Accessed 2.5 2019).

- 633 [7] C. Liu, S.J. Wang, X.D. Chang, S. Wang, Structural and functional properties of  
634 starches from Chinese chestnuts, *Food Hydrocolloids* 43 (2015) 568-576.
- 635 [8] R. Moreira, F. Chenlo, S. Arufe, Starch transitions of different gluten free flour  
636 doughs determined by dynamic thermal mechanical analysis and differential scanning  
637 calorimetry, *Carbohydrate Polymers* 127 (2015) 160-167.
- 638 [9] B.R. Cruz, A.S. Abraão, A.M. Lemos, F.M. Nunes, Chemical composition and  
639 functional properties of native chestnut starch (*Castanea sativa* Mill), *Carbohydrate*  
640 *Polymers* 94(1) (2013) 594-602.
- 641 [10] B. Yang, G.X. Jiang, K.N. Prasad, C.Q. Gu, Y.M. Jiang, Crystalline, thermal and  
642 textural characteristics of starches isolated from chestnut (*Castanea mollissima* Bl.)  
643 seeds at different degrees of hardness, *Food Chemistry* 119(3) (2010) 995-999.
- 644 [11] M. Zhang, H.X. Chen, Y. Zhang, Physicochemical, thermal, and pasting properties  
645 of Chinese chestnut (*Castanea mollissima* Bl.) starches as affected by different drying  
646 methods, *63(5)* (2011) 260-267.
- 647 [12] S.H. Yoo, C.S. Lee, B.S. Kim, M. Shin, The properties and molecular structures  
648 of gusiljatbam starch compared to those of acorn and chestnut starches, *64(5)* (2012)  
649 339-347.
- 650 [13] AACC International Approved Methods of Analysis, Method 44-15.02. Moisture-  
651 Air-Oven Method. Approved January, 1999. AACC International, St. Paul, MN,  
652 U.S.A., 11th Ed (1999).
- 653 [14] I.A. Wani, D.S. Sogi, A.A. Wani, B.S. Gill, U.S. Shivhare, Physico-chemical  
654 properties of starches from Indian kidney bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) cultivars, *45(10)*  
655 (2010) 2176-2185.

- 656 [15] S. Raghavendra, N. Rastogi, K. Raghavarao, R.J.E.F.R. Tharanathan, T.V. 218,  
657 Dietary fiber from coconut residue: effects of different treatments and particle size on  
658 the hydration properties, (6) (2004) 563-567.
- 659 [16] C.K. Reddy, F. Luan, B. Xu, Morphology, crystallinity, pasting, thermal and  
660 quality characteristics of starches from adzuki bean (*Vigna angularis* L.) and edible  
661 kudzu (*Pueraria thomsonii* Benth), *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*  
662 105 (2017) 354-362.
- 663 [17] P. Correia, L. Cruz-Lopes, L. Beirão-da-Costa, Morphology and structure of  
664 chestnut starch isolated by alkali and enzymatic methods, *Food Hydrocolloids* 28(2)  
665 (2012) 313-319.
- 666 [18] S. Sukhija, S. Singh, C.S. Riar, Isolation of starches from different tubers and study  
667 of their physicochemical, thermal, rheological and morphological characteristics, 68(1-  
668 2) (2016) 160-168.
- 669 [19] J. Daniel, R. Whistler, Fatty sensory qualities of polysaccharides, *Cereal Foods*  
670 *World* 35(825) (1990) 321-330.
- 671 [20] M.D. Torres, R. Moreira, F. Chenlo, M.H. Morel, C. Barron, Physicochemical and  
672 Structural Properties of Starch Isolated from Fresh and Dried Chestnuts and Chestnut  
673 Flour, *Food Technology and Biotechnology* 52(1) (2014) 135-139.
- 674 [21] Z. Yin, Physicochemical properties of Chinese water chestnut starch., *Journal of*  
675 *Chinese Cereals & Oils Association* 4 (2008) 28-33.
- 676 [22] A.-M. Hermansson, K. Svegmarm, Developments in the understanding of starch  
677 functionality, *Trends in Food Science & Technology* 7(11) (1996) 345-353.
- 678 [23] A. Nawab, F. Alam, A. Hasnain, Morphological, physicochemical, and pasting  
679 properties of modified water chestnut (*Trapabispinosa*) starch AU - Lutfi, Zubala,  
680 *International Journal of Food Properties* 20(5) (2017) 1016-1028.

- 681 [24] M.S. Madruga, F.S.M. de Albuquerque, I.R.A. Silva, D.S. do Amaral, M.  
682 Magnani, V. Queiroga Neto, Chemical, morphological and functional properties of  
683 Brazilian jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus* L.) seeds starch, *Food Chemistry* 143  
684 (2014) 440-445.
- 685 [25] N. Singh, J. Singh, L. Kaur, N. Singh Sodhi, B. Singh Gill, Morphological, thermal  
686 and rheological properties of starches from different botanical sources, *Food Chemistry*  
687 81(2) (2003) 219-231.
- 688 [26] J. Jane, Y.Y. Chen, L.F. Lee, A.E. McPherson, K.S. Wong, M. Radosavljevic, T.  
689 Kasemsuwan, Effects of Amylopectin Branch Chain Length and Amylose Content on  
690 the Gelatinization and Pasting Properties of Starch, 76(5) (1999) 629-637.
- 691 [27] M.C. Godet, V. Tran, M.M. Delage, A. Buléon, Molecular modelling of the  
692 specific interactions involved in the amylose complexation by fatty acids, *International*  
693 *Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 15(1) (1993) 11-16.
- 694 [28] R.F. Tester, J. Karkalas, X. Qi, Starch—composition, fine structure and  
695 architecture, *Journal of Cereal Science* 39(2) (2004) 151-165.
- 696 [29] S.N. Andrabi, I.A. Wani, A. Gani, A.M. Hamdani, F.A. Masoodi, Comparative  
697 study of physico-chemical and functional properties of starch extracted from two  
698 kidney bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and green gram cultivars (*Vigna radiata* L.) grown  
699 in India, 68(5-6) (2016) 416-426.
- 700 [30] S. Ragaee, E.-S.M. Abdel-Aal, Pasting properties of starch and protein in selected  
701 cereals and quality of their food products, *Food Chemistry* 95(1) (2006) 9-18.
- 702 [31] Q. Liu, Understanding starches and their role in foods, in: S.W. Cui (Ed.), *Food*  
703 *Carbohydrates: Chemistry, physical properties, and applications*, Boca Raton: Taylor  
704 & Francis Group, LLC2005.

705 [32] A.A. Adebowale, L.O. Sanni, S.O. Awonorin, Effect of Texture Modifiers on the  
706 Physicochemical and Sensory Properties of Dried Fufu, *Food Science and Technology*  
707 *International* 11(5) (2005) 373-382.

708 [33] G.D. Singh, A.S. Bawa, S. Singh, D.C. Saxena, Physicochemical, Pasting,  
709 Thermal and Morphological Characteristics of Indian Water Chestnut (*Trapa natans*)  
710 *Starch*, 61(1) (2009) 35-42.

711 [34] A.L. Charles, Y.-H. Chang, W.-C. Ko, K. Sriroth, T.-C. Huang, Some Physical  
712 and Chemical Properties of Starch Isolates of Cassava Genotypes, 56(9) (2004) 413-  
713 418.

714 [35] F.-x. Luo, Q. Huang, X. Fu, L.-x. Zhang, S.-j. Yu, Preparation and characterisation  
715 of crosslinked waxy potato starch, *Food Chemistry* 115(2) (2009) 563-568.

716 [36] K.N. Jan, P.S. Panesar, J.C. Rana, S. Singh, Structural, thermal and rheological  
717 properties of starches isolated from Indian quinoa varieties, *International Journal of*  
718 *Biological Macromolecules* 102 (2017) 315-322.

719 [37] I. Capron, P. Robert, P. Colonna, M. Brogly, V. Planchot, Starch in rubbery and  
720 glassy states by FTIR spectroscopy, *Carbohydrate Polymers* 68(2) (2007) 249-259.

721 [38] Y. Jiugao, W. Ning, M. Xiaofei, The Effects of Citric Acid on the Properties of  
722 Thermoplastic Starch Plasticized by Glycerol, 57(10) (2005) 494-504.

723 [39] R. Kizil, J. Irudayaraj, K. Seetharaman, Characterization of Irradiated Starches by  
724 Using FT-Raman and FTIR Spectroscopy, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*  
725 50(14) (2002) 3912-3918.

726 [40] C. Gernat, S. Radosta, G. Damaschun, F. Schierbaum, Supramolecular Structure  
727 of Legume Starches Revealed by X-Ray Scattering, 42(5) (1990) 175-178.

728 [41] N. Singh, Y. Nakaura, N. Inouchi, K. Nishinari, Structure and Viscoelastic  
729 Properties of Starches Separated from Different Legumes, 60(7) (2008) 349-357.

- 730 [42] L. Jayakody, H. Lan, R. Hoover, P. Chang, Q. Liu, E. Donner, Composition,  
731 molecular structure, and physicochemical properties of starches from two grass pea  
732 (*Lathyrus sativus* L.) cultivars grown in Canada, *Food Chemistry* 105(1) (2007) 116-  
733 125.
- 734 [43] X. Lan, Y. Li, S. Xie, Z. Wang, Ultrastructure of underutilized tuber starches and  
735 its relation to physicochemical properties, *Food Chemistry* 188 (2015) 632-640.
- 736 [44] A. Lazaridou, C.G. Biliaderis, Cryogelation of cereal  $\beta$ -glucans: structure and  
737 molecular size effects, *Food Hydrocolloids* 18(6) (2004) 933-947.
- 738 [45] H.-J. Chung, E.-J. Lee, S.-T. Lim, Comparison in glass transition and enthalpy  
739 relaxation between native and gelatinized rice starches, *Carbohydrate Polymers* 48(3)  
740 (2002) 287-298.
- 741





**Table 1.** List of 21 chestnut samples

Sample No.	Cultivar	Variety	Common name	Size	Temperature zone	Picture
1	Huairou, Beijing	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Banli</i>	Medium+	Warm-temperature zone	
2	Jixian, Tianjin	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Youli</i>	Small+	Warm-temperature zone	
3	Tangshan, Hebei	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Banli</i>	Medium+	Warm-temperature zone	
4	Qinhuangdao, Hebei	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Banli</i>	Medium+	Warm-temperature zone	
5	Xingtai, Hebei	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Banli</i>	Medium	Warm-temperature zone	
6	Tai'an, Shandong	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Maoli</i>	Small+	Warm-temperature zone	
7	Linyi, Shandong	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Maoli</i>	Small	Warm-temperature zone	
8	Shangluo, Shaanxi	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Maoli</i>	Small+	Warm-temperature zone	
9	Xinyang, Henan	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Youli</i>	Medium+	North subtropical zone	
10	Anqing, Anhui	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Banli</i>	Medium-	North subtropical zone	

11	Wuxi, Jiangsu	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Banli</i>	Medium+	North subtropical zone	
12	Xiangyang, Hubei	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Banli</i>	Medium	North subtropical zone	
13	Wenzhou, Zhejiang	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Youli</i>	Medium-	Mid subtropical zone	
14	Nanping, Fu- jian	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Youli</i>	Medium	Mid subtropical zone	
15	Fuzhou, Jiangxi	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Maoli</i>	Small	Mid subtropical zone	
16	Guilin, Guangxi	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Banli</i>	Medium-	Mid subtropical zone	
17	Liuzhou, Guangxi	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Banli</i>	Medium	Mid subtropical zone	
18	Kunming, Yunnan	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Banli</i>	Medium+	Mid subtropical zone	
19	Zhaotong, Yunnan	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Maoli</i>	Small	Warm-temperature zone	
20	Yangjiang, Guangdong	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Youli</i>	Large	South subtropical zone	
21	Haikou, Hai- nan	<i>Castanea mollissima</i> Blume	<i>Maoli</i>	Medium	Tropic zone	

**Table 2.** Physiochemical properties of chestnut starches

Starch sample No.	Region	Syneresis (%)	WSI	SP	WRC	Amylose Content
1	Huairou, Beijing	42.43 ± 0.04 <sup>j</sup>	10.52 ± 0.06 <sup>f</sup>	19.98 ± 0.03 <sup>g</sup>	0.92 ± 0.02 <sup>j</sup>	34.17 ± 0.48 <sup>cde</sup>
2	Jixian, Tianjin	39.97 ± 0.03 <sup>k</sup>	10.28 ± 0.13 <sup>f</sup>	20.69 ± 0.05 <sup>e</sup>	0.91 ± 0.03 <sup>j</sup>	31.63 ± 0.07 <sup>ghi</sup>
3	Tangshan, Hebei	49.56 ± 0.04 <sup>c</sup>	9.26 ± 0.08 <sup>g</sup>	17.79 ± 0.04 <sup>k</sup>	0.93 ± 0.02 <sup>j</sup>	31.77 ± 0.11 <sup>fghi</sup>
4	Qinhuang Island, Hebei	42.76 ± 0.06 <sup>i</sup>	3.91 ± 0.36 <sup>n</sup>	16.23 ± 0.11 <sup>o</sup>	1.03 ± 0.02 <sup>fgh</sup>	33.57 ± 1.10 <sup>cdef</sup>
5	Xingtai, Hebei	50.64 ± 0.08 <sup>b</sup>	4.27 ± 0.12 <sup>m</sup>	15.83 ± 0.07 <sup>p</sup>	1.25 ± 0.03 <sup>d</sup>	33.75 ± 0.89 <sup>cde</sup>
6	Tai'an, Shandong	35.23 ± 0.05 <sup>p</sup>	7.89 ± 0.08 <sup>h</sup>	19.08 ± 0.03 <sup>h</sup>	2.48 ± 0.14 <sup>a</sup>	34.76 ± 0.12 <sup>c</sup>
7	Linyi, Shandong	38.20 ± 0.06 <sup>m</sup>	4.31 ± 0.14 <sup>m</sup>	17.10 ± 0.05 <sup>m</sup>	1.00 ± 0.03 <sup>ghi</sup>	34.11 ± 0.15 <sup>cde</sup>
8	Shangluo, Shaanxi	44.23 ± 0.09 <sup>fg</sup>	4.03 ± 0.10 <sup>mn</sup>	15.59 ± 0.03 <sup>q</sup>	1.08 ± 0.04 <sup>efg</sup>	37.13 ± 0.89 <sup>b</sup>
9	Xinyang, Henan	44.45 ± 0.08 <sup>f</sup>	25.32 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>	23.49 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	1.47 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	29.42 ± 0.63 <sup>j</sup>
10	Anqing, Anhui	39.10 ± 0.05 <sup>l</sup>	5.28 ± 0.10 <sup>j</sup>	17.96 ± 0.03 <sup>j</sup>	1.09 ± 0.02 <sup>ef</sup>	32.75 ± 0.47 <sup>defg</sup>
11	Wuxi, Jiangsu	44.47 ± 0.06 <sup>f</sup>	6.43 ± 0.20 <sup>i</sup>	18.57 ± 0.07 <sup>i</sup>	1.06 ± 0.03 <sup>efgh</sup>	32.98 ± 1.06 <sup>cdefg</sup>
12	Xiangyang, Hubei	44.08 ± 0.06 <sup>g</sup>	10.98 ± 0.13 <sup>e</sup>	20.32 ± 0.06 <sup>f</sup>	1.05 ± 0.04 <sup>efgh</sup>	31.66 ± 1.48 <sup>ghi</sup>
13	Wenzhou, Zhejiang	36.29 ± 0.09 <sup>o</sup>	5.20 ± 0.21 <sup>j</sup>	17.55 ± 0.07 <sup>l</sup>	0.82 ± 0.02 <sup>k</sup>	32.40 ± 1.86 <sup>efgh</sup>
14	Nanping, Fujian	45.55 ± 0.07 <sup>e</sup>	3.20 ± 0.13 <sup>o</sup>	13.68 ± 0.04 <sup>r</sup>	1.23 ± 0.01 <sup>d</sup>	33.11 ± 1.71 <sup>cdefg</sup>
15	Fuzhou, Jiangxi	37.06 ± 0.59 <sup>n</sup>	3.77 ± 0.31 <sup>n</sup>	17.68 ± 0.10 <sup>kl</sup>	0.99 ± 0.03 <sup>hij</sup>	33.97 ± 0.64 <sup>cde</sup>
16	Guilin, Guangxi	43.73 ± 0.06 <sup>h</sup>	4.42 ± 0.19 <sup>kl</sup>	16.41 ± 0.08 <sup>n</sup>	1.03 ± 0.03 <sup>fgh</sup>	30.12 ± 1.20 <sup>ij</sup>
17	Liuzhou, Guangxi	26.87 ± 0.08 <sup>r</sup>	16.30 ± 0.18 <sup>d</sup>	22.39 ± 0.10 <sup>c</sup>	0.92 ± 0.05 <sup>j</sup>	41.52 ± 0.66 <sup>a</sup>
18	Kunming, Yunnan	42.90 ± 0.09 <sup>i</sup>	5.45 ± 0.37 <sup>j</sup>	20.23 ± 0.19 <sup>f</sup>	0.94 ± 0.03 <sup>ij</sup>	32.38 ± 1.88 <sup>efgh</sup>
19	Zhaotong, Yunnan	56.40 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	27.65 ± 0.13 <sup>a</sup>	21.53 ± 0.10 <sup>d</sup>	1.21 ± 0.04 <sup>d</sup>	40.75 ± 0.30 <sup>a</sup>
20	Yangjiang, Guangdong	48.76 ± 0.09 <sup>d</sup>	4.69 ± 0.12 <sup>k</sup>	12.55 ± 0.03 <sup>s</sup>	1.11 ± 0.03 <sup>e</sup>	34.34 ± 0.78 <sup>cd</sup>
21	Haikou, Hainan	29.40 ± 0.13 <sup>q</sup>	18.49 ± 0.16 <sup>c</sup>	22.59 ± 0.09 <sup>b</sup>	1.34 ± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>	30.80 ± 1.57 <sup>hij</sup>

Mean ± SD is reported; different lower case superscript letters in the same column indicate significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 3$ )

**Table 3.** Pasting properties of 21 chestnut starches

Starch sample No.	Region	PT (°C)	PV (cP)	TV (cP)	BD (cP)	FV (cP)	SB (cP)
1	Huairou, Beijing	71.82 ± 0.03 <sup>h</sup>	4375.33 ± 50.81 <sup>ab</sup>	3057.33 ± 85.33 <sup>cd</sup>	1318.00 ± 40.63 <sup>c</sup>	4447.00 ± 80.57 <sup>b</sup>	1389.67 ± 24.11 <sup>b</sup>
2	Jixian, Tianjin	69.47 ± 0.06 <sup>k</sup>	4297.33 ± 51.16 <sup>b</sup>	3236.00 ± 50.69 <sup>b</sup>	1061.33 ± 8.39 <sup>g</sup>	4230.67 ± 55.59 <sup>c</sup>	994.67 ± 5.13 <sup>l</sup>
3	Tangshan, Hebei	73.46 ± 0.04 <sup>e</sup>	4188.67 ± 47.35 <sup>i</sup>	2718.00 ± 36.04 <sup>e</sup>	470.67 ± 11.72 <sup>mn</sup>	3852.67 ± 45.06 <sup>g</sup>	1134.67 ± 9.02 <sup>ghi</sup>
4	Qinhuangdao, Hebei	71.00 ± 0.05 <sup>i</sup>	3706.00 ± 40.58 <sup>fg</sup>	2957.33 ± 79.26 <sup>d</sup>	748.67 ± 39.53 <sup>l</sup>	4516.67 ± 56.19 <sup>ab</sup>	1559.33 ± 25.48 <sup>a</sup>
5	Xingtai, Hebei	71.84 ± 0.04 <sup>h</sup>	3567.00 ± 63.22 <sup>h</sup>	2698.67 ± 36.01 <sup>e</sup>	868.33 ± 28.36 <sup>j</sup>	3911.67 ± 18.61 <sup>fg</sup>	1213.00 ± 17.69 <sup>de</sup>
6	Tai'an, Shandong	72.60 ± 0.05 <sup>g</sup>	2217.67 ± 54.98 <sup>i</sup>	1327.00 ± 44.19 <sup>i</sup>	890.67 ± 11.02 <sup>ij</sup>	2148.00 ± 54.03 <sup>l</sup>	821.00 ± 14.18 <sup>m</sup>
7	Linyi, Shandong	73.61 ± 0.06 <sup>e</sup>	3200.33 ± 60.93 <sup>i</sup>	2259.33 ± 31.09 <sup>g</sup>	941.00 ± 92.00 <sup>h</sup>	3420.33 ± 32.50 <sup>j</sup>	1161.00 ± 3.00 <sup>fgh</sup>
8	Shangluo, Shaanxi	73.08 ± 0.43 <sup>f</sup>	3951.33 ± 63.10 <sup>d</sup>	3442.33 ± 37.69 <sup>a</sup>	509.00 ± 26.15 <sup>m</sup>	4600.33 ± 6.81 <sup>a</sup>	1158.00 ± 44.03 <sup>fgh</sup>
9	Xinyang, Henan	75.78 ± 0.06 <sup>c</sup>	3790.00 ± 26.06 <sup>ef</sup>	2340.67 ± 29.05 <sup>fg</sup>	1449.33 ± 4.51 <sup>b</sup>	3724.33 ± 38.62 <sup>h</sup>	1383.67 ± 13.61 <sup>b</sup>
10	Anqing, Anhui	72.63 ± 0.07 <sup>g</sup>	3155.00 ± 34.51 <sup>i</sup>	1906.67 ± 40.50 <sup>h</sup>	1248.33 ± 6.03 <sup>d</sup>	2995.33 ± 42.10 <sup>k</sup>	1088.67 ± 3.51 <sup>ij</sup>
11	Wuxi, Jiangsu	76.25 ± 0.40 <sup>b</sup>	3864.33 ± 58.01 <sup>de</sup>	2746.00 ± 54.67 <sup>e</sup>	1118.33 ± 11.06 <sup>f</sup>	4039.33 ± 56.31 <sup>de</sup>	1293.33 ± 3.06 <sup>c</sup>
12	Xiangyang, Hubei	71.84 ± 0.05 <sup>h</sup>	3548.67 ± 85.98 <sup>h</sup>	2697.33 ± 70.07 <sup>e</sup>	851.33 ± 18.15 <sup>j</sup>	3957.00 ± 66.12 <sup>ef</sup>	1259.67 ± 5.51 <sup>cd</sup>
13	Wenzhou, Zhejiang	75.89 ± 0.05 <sup>c</sup>	3550.00 ± 48.81 <sup>h</sup>	2321.33 ± 56.04 <sup>fg</sup>	1228.67 ± 7.51 <sup>d</sup>	3523.33 ± 47.44 <sup>i</sup>	1202.00 ± 9.00 <sup>ef</sup>
14	Nanping, Fujian	75.02 ± 0.07 <sup>d</sup>	3118.33 ± 41.50 <sup>i</sup>	2665.00 ± 46.00 <sup>e</sup>	453.33 ± 4.51 <sup>n</sup>	4068.00 ± 29.46 <sup>d</sup>	1403.00 ± 17.78 <sup>b</sup>
15	Fuzhou, Jiangxi	69.58 ± 0.11 <sup>k</sup>	3972.33 ± 84.29 <sup>cd</sup>	3109.00 ± 80.32 <sup>c</sup>	863.33 ± 4.04 <sup>j</sup>	4135.00 ± 32.05 <sup>cd</sup>	1026.00 ± 105.67 <sup>kl</sup>
16	Guilin, Guangxi	70.31 ± 0.1 <sup>j</sup>	3751.00 ± 39.04 <sup>f</sup>	3027.33 ± 39.50 <sup>cd</sup>	723.67 ± 2.08 <sup>l</sup>	4131.33 ± 42.67 <sup>cd</sup>	1104.00 ± 4.58 <sup>ij</sup>
17	Liuzhou, Guangxi	72.52 ± 0.07 <sup>g</sup>	4422.67 ± 105.70 <sup>a</sup>	2407.00 ± 104.79 <sup>f</sup>	2015.67 ± 8.08 <sup>a</sup>	3475.33 ± 78.09 <sup>ij</sup>	1068.33 ± 28.45 <sup>jk</sup>
18	Kunming, Yunnan	68.67 ± 0.06 <sup>l</sup>	3550.67 ± 60.62 <sup>h</sup>	2743.33 ± 77.00 <sup>e</sup>	807.33 ± 16.80 <sup>k</sup>	4135.00 ± 71.55 <sup>cd</sup>	1391.67 ± 6.35 <sup>b</sup>
19	Zhaotong, Yunnan	73.50 ± 0.05 <sup>e</sup>	1120.67 ± 77.50 <sup>k</sup>	195.33 ± 83.00 <sup>j</sup>	925.33 ± 5.51 <sup>hi</sup>	314.00 ± 72.75 <sup>m</sup>	118.67 ± 12.42 <sup>n</sup>
20	Yangjiang, Guangdong	77.76 ± 0.46 <sup>a</sup>	3607.67 ± 88.64 <sup>gh</sup>	2429.33 ± 82.10 <sup>f</sup>	1178.33 ± 11.06 <sup>e</sup>	3556.33 ± 91.58 <sup>i</sup>	1127.00 ± 12.29 <sup>hi</sup>
21	Haikou, Hainan	70.22 ± 0.03 <sup>j</sup>	4067.67 ± 77.53 <sup>c</sup>	3003.33 ± 80.65 <sup>cd</sup>	1064.33 ± 4.16 <sup>g</sup>	4188.00 ± 88.54 <sup>c</sup>	1184.67 ± 10.97 <sup>efg</sup>

Mean ± SD is reported; different lower case superscript letters in the same column indicate significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 3$ ).

**Table 4.** Thermal properties of chestnut starches

Starch sample No.	Region	T <sub>0</sub> (°C)	T <sub>p</sub> (°C)	T <sub>c</sub> (°C)	ΔH (J/g)
1	Huairou, Beijing	62.84 ± 0.18 <sup>ef</sup>	67.31 ± 0.26 <sup>g</sup>	71.68 ± 0.31 <sup>j</sup>	0.65 ± 0.00 <sup>n</sup>
2	Jixian, Tianjin	61.45 ± 0.23 <sup>j</sup>	65.49 ± 0.17 <sup>j</sup>	69.87 ± 0.11 <sup>mn</sup>	2.45 ± 0.01 <sup>l</sup>
3	Tangshan, Hebei	62.58 ± 0.14 <sup>fg</sup>	67.29 ± 0.18 <sup>g</sup>	71.85 ± 0.18 <sup>j</sup>	3.44 ± 0.01 <sup>ghi</sup>
4	Qinhuangdao, Hebei	59.85 ± 0.13 <sup>m</sup>	64.15 ± 0.13 <sup>m</sup>	70.51 ± 0.17 <sup>l</sup>	5.32 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>
5	Xingtai, Hebei	63.09 ± 0.10 <sup>de</sup>	67.58 ± 0.09 <sup>f</sup>	72.08 ± 0.08 <sup>i</sup>	4.03 ± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>
6	Tai'an, Shandong	63.88 ± 0.06 <sup>c</sup>	67.88 ± 0.04 <sup>c</sup>	71.66 ± 0.10 <sup>j</sup>	1.96 ± 0.00 <sup>m</sup>
7	Linyi, Shandong	62.87 ± 0.09 <sup>ef</sup>	67.36 ± 0.06 <sup>g</sup>	73.24 ± 0.08 <sup>g</sup>	5.52 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>
8	Shangluo, Shanxi	61.90 ± 0.06 <sup>hi</sup>	67.33 ± 0.08 <sup>g</sup>	71.83 ± 0.09 <sup>j</sup>	3.62 ± 0.00 <sup>efg</sup>
9	Xinyang, Henan	68.90 ± 0.08 <sup>a</sup>	73.98 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	78.56 ± 0.10 <sup>c</sup>	3.07 ± 0.06 <sup>jk</sup>
10	Anqing, Anhui	63.27 ± 0.07 <sup>d</sup>	67.70 ± 0.09 <sup>ef</sup>	72.98 ± 0.08 <sup>h</sup>	4.19 ± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>
11	Wuxi, Jiangsu	62.03 ± 0.10 <sup>h</sup>	69.46 ± 0.09 <sup>d</sup>	79.19 ± 0.11 <sup>b</sup>	2.37 ± 0.00 <sup>l</sup>
12	Xiangyang, Hubei	62.48 ± 0.09 <sup>g</sup>	66.61 ± 0.09 <sup>h</sup>	71.42 ± 0.09 <sup>k</sup>	3.03 ± 0.00 <sup>k</sup>
13	Wenzhou, Zhejiang	62.84 ± 0.14 <sup>ef</sup>	70.61 ± 0.11 <sup>c</sup>	77.35 ± 0.10 <sup>d</sup>	3.63 ± 0.01 <sup>efg</sup>
14	Nanping, Fujian	61.62 ± 0.66 <sup>ij</sup>	66.23 ± 0.06 <sup>i</sup>	73.42 ± 0.09 <sup>g</sup>	4.23 ± 0.01 <sup>d</sup>
15	Fuzhou, Jiangxi	60.97 ± 0.14 <sup>k</sup>	64.90 ± 0.14 <sup>k</sup>	69.68 ± 0.19 <sup>n</sup>	4.44 ± 0.00 <sup>c</sup>
16	Guilin, Guangxi	59.69 ± 0.12 <sup>m</sup>	64.48 ± 0.12 <sup>l</sup>	68.94 ± 0.12 <sup>o</sup>	3.58 ± 0.00 <sup>fgh</sup>
17	Liuzhou, Guangxi	59.60 ± 0.16 <sup>m</sup>	66.26 ± 0.01 <sup>i</sup>	76.57 ± 0.13 <sup>e</sup>	3.26 ± 0.01 <sup>ij</sup>
18	Kunming, Yunnan	60.34 ± 0.14 <sup>l</sup>	64.00 ± 0.12 <sup>m</sup>	69.07 ± 0.15 <sup>o</sup>	3.73 ± 0.01 <sup>ef</sup>
19	Zhaotong, Yunnan	64.41 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	69.32 ± 0.11 <sup>d</sup>	75.26 ± 0.07 <sup>f</sup>	3.40 ± 0.52 <sup>hi</sup>
20	Yangjiang, Guangdong	63.92 ± 0.10 <sup>c</sup>	74.83 ± 0.14 <sup>a</sup>	81.16 ± 0.13 <sup>a</sup>	4.11 ± 0.00 <sup>d</sup>
21	Haikou, Hainan	59.72 ± 0.06 <sup>m</sup>	65.06 ± 0.14 <sup>k</sup>	70.06 ± 0.11 <sup>m</sup>	3.82 ± 0.01 <sup>e</sup>

Mean ± SD is reported; different lower case superscript letters in the same column indicate significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 3$ ).