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I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Recently in the context of gauge/gravity duality, there has been an intensive study of the phase diagram of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ large $N$ $SU(N)$ supersymmetric (SUSY) Yang-Mills theory with added fundamental degrees of freedom, by considering the anti-de Sitter (AdS)-Schwarzschild black hole background with added D7-brane probes [1–6]. There are two kinds of D7-brane probes in the black hole background: Either they end before reaching the black hole horizon, since the $S^3$ wrapped by the D7-brane probe shrinks to zero as in [7], or they reach all the way to the black hole horizon. The first class of embeddings is usually called “Minkowski embeddings,” while the second is referred to as “black hole embeddings.” The parameter which parametrizes different embeddings is the temperature normalized quark mass $m_q/T$, which may be given in terms of the asymptotic value $\chi_0$ of the embedding coordinate at the AdS horizon. The phase transition between both classes of embeddings is of first order. The analysis of the meson spectrum shows that this phase transition corresponds to a fundamental confinement/deconfinement transition at which the mesons melt.

Particular interest has arisen in the more involved structure of the phase diagram when a baryon chemical potential is present [8]. It was argued that for nonvanishing baryon density, there are no embeddings of Minkowski type, and all embeddings reach the black hole horizon. This is due to the fact that a finite baryon density generates strings in the dual supergravity picture which pull the brane towards the black hole. A chemical potential for these baryons corresponds to a vacuum expectation value (vev) $\tilde{A}_0$ for the time component of the gauge field on the brane.

In the dual thermal $SU(N_c)$-gauge theory a baryon is composed of $N_c$ quarks, such that the baryon density $n_B$ can be directly translated into a quark density $n_q = n_B N_c$. The thermodynamic dual quantity of the quark density is the quark chemical potential $\mu_q$. In the brane setup we use, the chemical potential is determined by the choice of quark density and by the embedding parameter $\chi_0$.

Very recently, however, it was found that for a vanishing baryon number density, there may indeed be Minkowski embeddings if a constant vev $\tilde{A}_0$ is present, which does not depend on the holographic coordinate [9–13]. The phase diagram found there is sketched in Fig. 1. In the gray shaded region, the baryon density vanishes ($n_B = 0$) but temperature, quark mass, and chemical potential can be nonzero. This low temperature region only supports Minkowski embeddings with the brane ending before reaching the horizon. In contrast, the unshaded region supports black hole embeddings with the branes ending on the black hole horizon. In this regime the baryon density does not vanish ($n_B \neq 0$). In this paper we exclusively explore the latter region. At the lower tip of the line separating $n_B \neq 0$ from $n_B = 0$ in Fig. 1, there exists also a small region of multivalued embeddings, which are thermodynamically unstable [10].

In the black hole phase considered here, there is a fundamental phase transition between different black hole embeddings [8]. This is a first-order transition, which occurs in a region of the phase diagram close to the separation line between the two regions with vanishing (gray shaded) and nonvanishing (unshaded) baryon density. This transition disappears above a critical value for the baryon density $n_B$ given by

$$\tilde{d}^* = 0.00315, \quad \tilde{d} = 25/2 n_B/(N_f \sqrt{\lambda T^3}).$$

In this paper we make use of the methods developed in the context of AdS/conformal field theories (CFT) applied...
to hydrodynamics, for instance [14–16], in order to determine the spectral function at finite temperature and finite baryon density. For vanishing chemical potential, a similar analysis of the spectral functions has been performed in [17]. It was found that the spectrum is discrete at large quark mass, or equivalently at low temperature. At low quark mass, a quasiparticle structure is seen which displays the broadening decay width of the mesons. As the mass decreases or temperature rises, the mesons are rendered unstable as the resonance frequencies develop imaginary parts. Modes corresponding to such frequencies are called quasinormal. These excitations are then dissipated in the plasma.—Note that for this case, there are also lattice quasinormal modes for scalar modes of melting mesons [6].

Our spectra also show that for given quark mass and temperature, lower $n$ meson excitations can be nearly stable in the plasma, while higher $n$ excitations remain unstable. At vanishing baryon density, the formation of resonance peaks for higher excitations has also been observed in [20]. We discuss the different behavior of resonance peaks in Sec. III B 2, including a comparison of the observed turning points at finite baryon density with previous results.

We also calculate the quark diffusion constant $D$ and show that at finite density, it exhibits the first-order fundamental phase transition up to the critical density given by $\tilde{d}^r = 0.00315$. For very large values of the density, the diffusion constant asymptotes to $D \cdot T = 1/(2\pi)$. This reflects the fact that in this case, the free quarks outnumber the quarks bound in mesons.

As a second point we consider the case of an isospin chemical potential, on which previous work in the holographic context has appeared in [3,21]. In this case, two coincident D7-brane probes are considered. In particular we extend the results of our previous paper [22], in which we calculated the retarded Green function and diffusion coefficient at finite $SU(2)$ isospin chemical potential for the flat embedding $m_q = 0$. In this previous work we also restricted to the case of constant vev for the non-Abelian gauge field $A^3_0$, where $3$ is the flavor and $0$ the Lorentz index. This means that we chose $A^3_0$ to be independent of the AdS radial direction. In this case we found a non-analytic frequency dependence of the Green functions and the diffusion coefficient. Here we extend this work to the case of nonvanishing quark mass, leading to nontrivial D7 embeddings, and to the case of the radially varying gauge field component $A^3$. We find that spectral functions quantitatively deviate from the baryonic background case.
Additionally, a splitting of quasiparticle resonances is observed, which depends on the magnitude of the chemical potential.

This paper is organized as follows. In the following Sec. II, we introduce the gravity background, field, and brane configuration, used for the subsequent calculations. We also sketch the method to obtain retarded real-time correlators of thermal field theories from supergravity calculations. In Sec. III we discuss the spectral functions and diffusion behavior of fundamental matter at finite baryon density. For matter with isospin chemical potential, the same analysis is carried out in Sec. IV. The results are briefly summarized in Sec. V.

II. HOLOGRAPHIC SETUP AND THERMODYNAMICS

A. Background and brane configuration

We consider asymptotically AdS$_5 \times S^5$ space-time which arises as the near horizon limit of a stack of $N_f$ coincident D3-branes. More precisely, our background is an AdS black hole, which is the geometry dual to a field theory at finite temperature (see e.g. [23]). We make use of the coordinates of [8] to write this background in Minkowski signature as

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\rho^2}{R^2} \right)^2 \left( - \frac{f^2}{f} \, dt^2 + \tilde{f} \, dx^2 \right) + \frac{R^2}{\rho^2} \left( dq^2 + q^2 d\Omega_5^2 \right),$$

(2.1)

with the metric $d\Omega_5^2$ of the unit 5-sphere, where

$$f(q) = 1 - \frac{q_H^4}{q^4}, \quad \tilde{f}(q) = 1 + \frac{q_H^4}{q^4},$$

$$R^4 = 4 \pi g_s N_c \alpha'^2, \quad q_H = T \pi R^2.$$

Here $R$ is the AdS radius, $g_s$ is the string coupling constant, $T$ the temperature, and $N_c$ the number of colors. In the following some equations may be written more conveniently in terms of the dimensionless radial coordinate $\rho = q/q_H$, which covers a range from $\rho = 1$ at the event horizon to $\rho \to \infty$, representing the boundary of AdS space.

Into this ten-dimensional space-time we embed $N_f$ coinciding D7-branes, hosting flavor gauge fields $A_{\mu}$. The embedding we choose lets the D7-branes extend in all directions of AdS space and, in the limit $\rho \to \infty$, wraps an $S^3$ on the $S^5$. It is convenient to write the D7-brane action in coordinates where

$$dq^2 + q^2 d\Omega_5^2 = dq^2 + q^2 (d\theta^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\phi^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\Omega_3^2),$$

(2.3)

with $0 \leq \theta < \pi/2$. From the viewpoint of ten-dimensional Cartesian AdS$_5 \times S^5$, $\theta$ is the angle between the subspace spanned by the 4, 5, 6, 7-directions, into which the D7-branes extend perpendicular to the D3-branes, and the subspace spanned by the 8, 9-directions, which are transverse to all branes.

Because of the symmetries of this background, the embeddings depend only on the radial coordinate $\rho$. Defining $\chi \equiv \cos \theta$, the embeddings of the D7-branes are parametrized by the functions $\chi(\rho)$. They describe the location of the D7-branes in 8, 9-directions. Because of our choice of the gauge field fluctuations in the next subsection, the remaining three-sphere in this metric will not play a prominent role.

The metric induced on the D7-brane probe is then given by

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\rho^2}{R^2} \right)^2 \left( - \frac{f^2}{f} \, dt^2 + \tilde{f} \, dx^2 \right) + \frac{R^2}{\rho^2} \left( dq^2 + q^2 d\Omega_5^2 \right).$$

(2.4)

Here and in what follows we use a prime to denote a derivative with respect to $q$ (respectively to $\rho$ in dimensionless equations). The symbol $\sqrt{-g}$ denotes the square root of the determinant of the induced metric on the D7-brane, which is given by

$$\sqrt{-g} = q^3 f \tilde{f} \left( 1 - \chi^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( 1 - \chi^2 + q^2 \chi^2 \right).$$

(2.5)

The table below gives an overview of the indices we use to refer to certain directions and subspaces:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>coord. names</th>
<th>$AdS_5$</th>
<th>$S^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>indices</td>
<td>$x^0$, $x^1$, $x^2$, $x^3$, $\rho$</td>
<td>$\mu$, $\nu$, $\ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0, 1, 2, 3, 4</td>
<td>$q$, $i$, $j$, $\ldots$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The background geometry described so far is dual to thermal $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric $SU(N_c)$ Yang-Mills theory with $N_f$ additional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplets. These hypermultiplets arise from the lowest excitations of the strings stretching between the D7-branes and the background-generating D3-branes. The particles represented by the fundamental fields of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplets model the quarks in our system. Their mass $m_q$ is given by the asymptotic value of the separation of the D3- and D7-branes. In the coordinates used here we write [17]

$$\frac{2m_q}{\sqrt{\hat{T}}} = \frac{\hat{M}}{T} = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \rho \chi(\rho) = m,$$

(2.6)

where we introduced the dimensionless scaled quark mass $m$.

In addition to the parameters incorporated so far, we aim for a description of the system at finite chemical potential...
finite baryon density, this factor will be different from that

\[ \mu = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \tilde{A}_0(\rho) = \frac{Q_H}{2\pi \alpha'} \tilde{\mu}, \]  

(2.7)

where we introduced the dimensionless quantity \( \tilde{\mu} \) for convenience. We apply the same normalization to the gauge field and distinguish the dimensionful quantity \( \tilde{A} \) from the dimensionless \( A = \tilde{A} (2\pi \alpha') / Q_H \).

The action for the probe branes’ embedding function and gauge fields on the branes is

\[ S_{DBI} = -N_f T_D \int d^8 \xi \sqrt{\det(g + \tilde{F})}. \]  

(2.8)

Here \( g \) is the induced metric (2.4) on the brane, \( \tilde{F} \) is the field strength tensor of the gauge fields on the brane, and \( \xi \) are the branes’ world volume coordinates. \( T_D \) is the brane tension and the factor \( N_f \) arises from the trace over the generators of the symmetry group under consideration. For finite baryon density, this factor will be different from that at finite isospin density.

In [8], the dynamics of this system of branes and gauge fields was analyzed in view of describing phase transitions at finite baryon density. Here we use these results as a starting point which gives the background configuration of the brane embedding and the gauge field values at finite baryon density. To examine vector meson spectra, we will then investigate the dynamics of fluctuations in this gauge field background.

In the coordinates introduced above, the action \( S_{DBI} \) for the embedding \( \chi(\rho) \) and the gauge fields’ field strength \( F \) is obtained by inserting the induced metric and the field strength tensor into (2.8). As in [8], we get

\[ S_{DBI} = -N_f T_D \frac{Q_H}{2\pi \alpha'} \int d^8 \xi \frac{p^3}{4} f \bar{f} (1 - \chi^2) \]

\[ \times \sqrt{1 - \chi^2 + \rho^2 \chi^2 - 2 \frac{f}{f^2} (1 - \chi^2) \tilde{F}_{\rho \rho}^2} \]  

(2.9)

where \( \tilde{F}_{\rho \rho} = \partial_\rho \tilde{A}_0 \) is the field strength on the brane. \( \tilde{A}_0 \) depends solely on \( \rho \).

According to [8], the equations of motion for the background fields are obtained after Legendre transforming the action (2.9). Varying this Legendre transformed action with respect to the field \( \chi \) gives the equation of motion for the embeddings \( \chi(\rho) \).

The dimensionless quantity \( \tilde{d} \) is a constant of motion. It is related to the baryon number density \( n_B \) by [8]

\[ n_B = \frac{1}{2^{5/2}} N_f N \sqrt{\lambda T} \tilde{d}. \]  

(2.10)

Below, Eq. (2.10) will be solved numerically for different initial values \( \chi_0 \) and \( \tilde{d} \). The boundary conditions used are

\[ \chi(\rho = 1) = \chi_0, \quad \partial_\rho \chi(\rho) |_{\rho = 1} = 0. \]  

(2.12)

The quark mass \( m \) is determined by \( \chi_0 \). It is zero for \( \chi_0 = 0 \) and tends to infinity for \( \chi_0 \to 1 \). Figure 2 shows the dependence of the scaled quark mass \( m = 2m_q / \sqrt{\lambda T} \) on the starting value \( \chi_0 \) for different values of the baryon density parametrized by \( \tilde{d} \propto n_B \). In general, a small (large) \( \chi_0 \) is equivalent to a small (large) quark mass. For \( \chi_0 \leq 0.5 \), \( \chi_0 \) can be viewed as being proportional to the large quark masses. At larger \( \chi_0 \) for vanishing \( \tilde{d} = 0 \), the quark mass reaches a finite value. In contrast, at finite baryon density, if \( \chi_0 \) is close to 1, the mass rapidly increases when increasing \( \chi_0 \) further. In embeddings with a phase transition, there exist more than one embedding for one specific mass value. In a small regime close to \( \chi_0 = 1 \), there is more than one possible value of \( \chi_0 \) for a given \( m \). So in this small region, \( \chi_0 \) is not proportional to \( m_q \).
The equation of motion for the background gauge field $\tilde{A}$ is

$$\partial_\rho \tilde{A}_0 = 2\tilde{d} \frac{f^2\sqrt{1 - \chi^2 + \rho^2\chi^2}}{\sqrt{f(1 - \chi^2)[\rho^6 f^3(1 - \chi^2)^3 + 8d^2]}}.$$  \hfill (2.13)

Integrating both sides of the equation of motion from $\rho H$ to some $\rho$, and respecting the boundary condition $\tilde{A}_0(\rho = 1) = 0$ [8], we obtain the full background gauge field

$$\tilde{A}_0(\rho) = 2\tilde{d} \int^{\rho}_{\rho H} d\rho \frac{f\sqrt{1 - \chi^2 + \rho^2\chi^2}}{\sqrt{f(1 - \chi^2)[\rho^6 f^3(1 - \chi^2)^3 + 8d^2]}}.$$  \hfill (2.14)

Recall that the chemical potential of the field theory is given by $\lim_{\rho \to \infty} \tilde{A}_0(\rho)$ and thus can be obtained from the formula above. Examples for the functional behavior of $A_0(\rho)$ are shown in Fig. 3. Note that at a given baryon density $n_\bar{B} \neq 0$ there exists a minimal chemical potential which is reached in the limit of massless quarks.

The asymptotic form of the fields $\chi(\rho)$ and $A_0(\rho)$ can be found from the equations of motion in the boundary limit $\rho \to \infty$,

$$\tilde{A}_0 = \mu - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\tilde{d}}{2\pi\alpha} + \cdots,$$  \hfill (2.15)

$$\chi = \frac{m}{\rho} + \frac{c}{\rho^3} + \cdots.$$  \hfill (2.16)

Here $\mu$ is the chemical potential, $m$ is the dimensionless quark mass parameter given in (2.6), $c$ is related to the quark condensate (but irrelevant in this work), and $\tilde{d}$ is related to the baryon number density as stated in (2.16). See also Fig. 3 for this asymptotic behavior. The $\rho$-coordinate runs from the horizon value $\rho = 1$ to the boundary at $\rho = \infty$. In most of this range, the gauge field is almost constant and reaches its asymptotic value, the chemical potential $\mu$, at $\rho \to \infty$. Only near the horizon the field drops rapidly to zero. For small $\chi_0 \to 0$, the curves asymptote to the lowest (red) curve. So there is a minimal chemical potential for fixed baryon density in this setup. At small baryon density ($\tilde{d} \ll 0.00315$) the embeddings resemble the Minkowski and black hole embeddings known from the case without a chemical potential. Only a thin spike always reaches down to the horizon.

In the setup described in this section we restrict ourselves to the regime of so-called black hole embeddings which are those embeddings ending on the horizon of the black hole, opposed to Minkowski embeddings, which would reach $\rho = 0$ without touching the horizon. The black hole embeddings we use for this work (see Fig. 3) are not capable of describing matter in all possible phases. In fact we are able to cover the regime of fixed $n_\bar{B} > 0$ and thus examine thermal systems in the canonical ensemble at finite baryon density. For a detailed discussion of this aspect see [9,10].

### B. Holographic spectral functions

Spectral functions contain information about the quasiparticle spectrum of a given theory. Recently, methods were developed to compute spectral functions from the holographic duals of strongly coupled finite-temperature gauge theories. In this work we extend these results to investigate the quasiparticle spectrum corresponding to vector mesons in the limit of vanishing spatial momentum. Therefore, we analyze the holographic dual to spectral functions for thermal $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric $SU(N_c)$ Yang-Mills theory with $N_f$ fundamental degrees of freedom (quarks) at finite baryon density and finite chemical potential. We compute the spectral densities for the flavor current $J$, which is dual to the fluctuations $A$ of the flavor gauge field on the supergravity side.

Within field theory, the spectral function $\Re(\omega, q)$ of some operator $J(x)$ is defined via the imaginary part of the retarded Green function $G^R$ as follows:

$$\Re(\omega, q) = -2 \text{Im} G^R(\omega, q),$$  \hfill (2.17)

where energy $\omega$ and spatial momentum $q$ may be written in a four vector $k^\mu = (\omega, q)$ and the Green function $G^R$ may be written as

$$G^R(\omega, q) = -i \int d^4 x e^{i k \cdot x} \langle 0 | J(0) | \langle 0 | \rangle \rangle.$$  \hfill (2.18)

One may find singularities of $G^R(\omega, q)$ in the lower half of the complex $\omega$-plane, including hydrodynamic poles of the retarded real-time Green function. Consider for example

$$G^R = \frac{1}{\omega - \omega_0 + i\Gamma}.$$  \hfill (2.19)

These poles emerge as peaks in the spectral densities,

$$\Re = \frac{2\Gamma}{(\omega - \omega_0)^2 + \Gamma^2},$$  \hfill (2.20)

located at $\omega_0$ with a width given by $\Gamma$. These peaks are interpreted as quasiparticles if their lifetime $1/\Gamma$ is considerably long, i.e. if $\Gamma \ll \omega_0$.

In this paper we use the gauge/gravity duality prescription of [14] for calculating Green functions in Minkowski space-time. For further reference, we outline this prescription briefly in the subsequent. Starting out from a classical supergravity action $S_{cl}$ for the gauge field $A$, according to [14] we extract the function $B(\rho)$ (containing metric factors and the metric determinant) in front of the kinetic term $(\partial_\mu A)^2$,

$$S_{cl} = \int d\rho d^4x B(\rho)(\partial_\mu A)^2 + \cdots$$  \hfill (2.21)

Then we perform a Fourier transformation and solve the
linearized equations of motion for the fields $A$ in momentum space. The solutions in general are functions of all five coordinates in anti-de Sitter space. Near the boundary we may separate the radial behavior from the boundary dynamics by writing

$$A(\rho, \tilde{k}) = f(\rho, \tilde{k}) A_{\text{bdy}}(\tilde{k}),$$

where $A_{\text{bdy}}(\tilde{k})$ is the value of the supergravity field at the boundary of AdS depending only on the four flat boundary coordinates. Thus by definition we have $f(\rho, \tilde{k})|_{\rho \to \infty} = 1$. Then the retarded thermal Green function is given by

$$G^R(\omega, q) = 2B(\rho)f(\rho, -\tilde{k}) \partial_\rho f(\rho, \tilde{k})|_{\rho \to \infty}.$$  

(2.23)
The thermal correlators obtained in this way display hydrodynamic properties, such as poles located at complex frequencies. They are used to compute the spectral densities \(2.17\). We are going to compute the functions \(f(\rho, k)\) numerically in the limit of vanishing spatial momentum \(q \rightarrow 0\). The functions \(f(\rho, \tilde{k})\) are then obtained by dividing out the boundary value \(A^{\text{bdy}}(\tilde{k}) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} A(\rho, \tilde{k})\). Numerically we obtain the boundary value by computing the solution at a fixed large \(\rho\).

III. SPECTRAL FUNCTIONS AT FINITE BARYON DENSITY

A. Baryon diffusion

In this section we calculate the baryon diffusion coefficient and its dependence on the baryon density. As discussed in [10], the baryon density affects the location and the presence of the fundamental phase transition between two black hole embeddings observed in [8]. This first-order transition is present only very close to the separation line between the regions of zero and nonzero baryon density shown in Fig. 1.

We show that this fundamental phase transition may also be seen in the diffusion coefficient for quark diffusion. In order to compute the diffusion using holography, we use the membrane paradigm approach developed in [24] and extended in [17]. This method allows to compute various transport coefficients in Dp/Dq-brane setups from the metric coefficients. The resulting formula for our background is the same as in [17],

\[
D = \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{g_{11} \sqrt{-g_{00} g_{44}}} \left|_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \right. \int \! \! \rho \frac{-g_{00} g_{44}}{\sqrt{-g}}. \tag{3.1}
\]

The dependence of \(D\) on the baryon density and on the quark mass originates from the dependence of the embedding \(\chi\) on these variables. The results for \(D\) are shown in Fig. 4. The thick solid line shows the diffusion constant at vanishing baryon density found in [17], which reaches \(D = 0\) at the fundamental phase transition. Increasing the baryon density, the diffusion coefficient curve is lifted up for small temperatures, still showing a phase transition up to the critical density \(d^* = 0.003 15\). This is the same value as found in [8] in the context of the phase transition of the quark condensate.

The diffusion coefficient never vanishes for finite density. Both in the limit of \(T/\bar{M} \rightarrow 0\) and \(T/\bar{M} \rightarrow \infty\), \(D \cdot T\) converges to \(1/2\pi\) for all densities, i.e. to the same value as for vanishing baryon density, as given for instance in [24] for \(R\)-charge diffusion. At the phase transition, the diffusion constant develops a nonzero minimum at finite baryon density. Furthermore, the location of the first-order phase transition moves to lower values of \(T/\bar{M}\) while we increase \(d\) towards its critical value.

In order to give a physical explanation for this behavior, we focus on the case without baryon density first. We see that the diffusion coefficient vanishes at the temperature of the fundamental deconfinement transition. This is simply due to the fact that at and below this temperature, all charge carriers are bound into mesons not carrying any baryon number.

For nonzero baryon density, however, there is a fixed number of charge carriers (free quarks) present at any finite temperature. This implies that the diffusion coefficient never vanishes. Switching on a very small baryon density, even below the phase transition, where most of the quarks are bound into mesons, by definition there will still be a finite amount of free quarks. By increasing the baryon density, we increase the amount free quarks, which at some point outnumber the quarks bound in mesons. Therefore in the large density limit the diffusion coefficient
approaches $D^0 = 1/(2\pi T)$ for all values of $T/\tilde{M}$, because only a negligible fraction of the quarks is still bound in this limit.

Note that as discussed in [8–10] there exists a region in the $(n_B, T)$ phase diagram at small $n_B$ and $T$ where the embeddings are unstable. In Fig. 4, this corresponds to the region just below the phase transition at small baryon density. This instability disappears for large $n_B$.

### B. Vector mesons in the black hole phase

#### 1. Application of calculation method

We now compute the spectral functions of flavor currents at finite baryon density $n_B$, chemical potential $\mu$, and temperature in the “black hole phase.” As black hole phase the authors of [9] denote the phase of matter which has nonzero baryon density. Compared to the limit of vanishing chemical potential treated in [17], we discover a qualitatively different behavior of the finite-temperature oscillations corresponding to vector meson resonances.

To obtain the spectral functions, we compute the correlations of flavor gauge field fluctuations $A_\mu$ about the background given by (2.9), denoting the full gauge field by

$$\tilde{A}_\mu(\rho, \vec{x}) = \delta_\mu^0 \tilde{A}_0(\rho) + A_\mu(\vec{x}, \rho).$$

According to Sec. II A, the background field has a nonvanishing time component, which depends solely on $\rho$. The fluctuations in turn are gauged to have nonvanishing components along the Minkowski coordinates $\vec{x}$ only and only depend on these coordinates and on $\rho$. Additionally they are assumed to be small, so that it suffices to consider their linearized equations of motion.

These equations of motion are obtained from the action (2.12), where we introduce small fluctuations $\tilde{A}$ by setting $F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}$ with $A = \tilde{A} + A$. The background gauge field $\tilde{A}$ is given by (2.13). The fluctuations now propagate on a background $G$ given by

$$G = g + \tilde{F},$$

and their dynamics is determined by the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{\det(G + \tilde{F})},$$

with the fluctuation field strength $F_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}$. Since the fluctuations and their derivatives are chosen to be small, we consider their equations of motion only up to linear order, as derived from the part of the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ which is quadratic in the fields and their derivatives. Denoting this part by $\mathcal{L}_2$, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \sqrt{\det G} G^{\mu\alpha} G^{\beta\gamma} F_{\alpha\beta} F_{\gamma\mu}.$$  (3.5)

Here and below we use upper indices on $G$ to denote elements of $G^{-1}$. The equations of motion for the components of $A$ are

$$0 = \partial_\mu \left[ \sqrt{\det G} \left( G^{\mu\alpha} G^{\sigma\gamma} - G^{\mu\sigma} G^{\gamma\alpha} \right) \partial_\gamma A_{\alpha} \right]$$  (3.6)

The terms of the corresponding on-shell action at the $\rho$-boundaries are (with $\rho$ as an index for the coordinate $\rho$, not summed)

$$S_{D7}^\text{on shell} = \mathcal{Q} \pi^2 R^3 N_f T_{D7} \int d^4 x \sqrt{\det G} \times \left( (G^{0i})^2 A_0 \partial_\rho A_0 - G^{ij} A_0 \partial_\rho A_i \right)^2.$$  (3.7)

Note that on the boundary $\rho_B$ at $\rho \rightarrow \infty$, the background matrix $G$ reduces to the induced D7-brane metric $g$. Therefore, the analytic expression for the on-shell action is identical to the on-shell action found in [17]. There, the action was expressed in terms of the gauge invariant field component combinations

$$E_x = \omega A_x + q A_0, \quad E_{yz} = \omega A_{yz}.$$  (3.8)

In the case of vanishing spatial momentum $q \rightarrow 0$, the Green functions for the different components coincide and were computed as [17]

$$G^R = G^{Rx}_{xx} = G^{Ry}_{yy} = G^{Rz}_{zz} = \frac{N_f N_c T^2}{8} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \left( \rho^3 \frac{\partial \rho E(\rho)}{E(\rho)} \right)$$  (3.9)

where the $E(\rho)$ in the denominator divides out the boundary value of the field in the limit of large $\rho$, as discussed after (2.23). The indices on the Green function denote the components of the operators in the correlation function, in our case all off-diagonal correlations (as $G_{yz}$, for example) vanish.

In our case of finite baryon density, new features arise through the modified embedding and gauge field background, which enter the equations of motion (3.6) for the field fluctuations. To apply the prescription to calculate the Green function, we Fourier transform the fields as

$$A_\mu(\rho, \vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{i\vec{k}\vec{x}} A_\mu(\rho, \vec{k}).$$  (3.10)

We choose our coordinate system to give us a momentum vector of the fluctuation with nonvanishing spatial momentum only in a single direction, which we choose to be the $x^1$ component, $\vec{k} = (\omega, q, 0, 0)$.

For simplicity we restrict ourselves to vanishing spatial momentum $q = 0$. In this case the equations of motion for transversal fluctuations $E_{yz}$ match those for longitudinal fluctuations $E_x$. For a more detailed discussion see [17]. As an example consider the equation of motion obtained from (3.6) with $\sigma = 2$, determining $E_y = \omega A_2$. 

In the case of finite baryon density, new features arise through the modified embedding and gauge field background, which enter the equations of motion (3.6) for the field fluctuations. To apply the prescription to calculate the Green function, we Fourier transform the fields as

$$A_\mu(\rho, \vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{i\vec{k}\vec{x}} A_\mu(\rho, \vec{k}).$$  (3.10)
0 = \dot{E}'' + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \rho} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{[\det G]G^{22}G^{44}}}{[\det G]G^{22}G^{44}} \right) E' - \frac{G^{00}}{G^{22}} \bar{\omega}^2 E

= E'' + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \rho} \ln \left( \frac{1}{8} \int \rho^2 \left( 1 - \chi^2 + \rho^2 \chi'^2 \right)^{3/2} \right)
\times \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\hat{f}(1 - \chi^2)(\partial^2 \hat{A}_0)^2}{f^2(1 - \chi^2 + \rho^2 \chi'^2)}} E'
+ 8\nu^2 \hat{f} \frac{1}{f^2} \left( 1 - \chi^2 + \rho^2 \chi'^2 \right) E. \quad (3.11)

The symbol $\nu$ denotes the dimensionless frequency $\nu \equiv \omega/(2\pi T)$, and we made use of the dimensionless radial coordinate $\rho$.

In order to numerically integrate this equation, we determine local solutions of that equation near the horizon $\rho = 1$. These can be used to compute initial values in order to integrate (3.11) forward towards the boundary. The equation of motion (3.11) has coefficients which are singular at the horizon. According to standard methods [25], the local solution of this equation behaves as $(\rho - \rho_H)^\beta$, where $\beta$ is a so-called “index” of the differential equation. We compute the possible indices to be

$$\beta = \pm i\nu. \quad (3.12)$$

Only the negative one will be retained in the following, since it casts the solutions into the physically relevant incoming waves at the horizon and therefore satisfies the incoming wave boundary condition. The solution $E$ can be split into two factors, which are $(\rho - 1)^{-i\nu}$ and some function $F(\rho)$, which is regular at the horizon. The first coefficients of a series expansion of $F(\rho)$ can be found recursively as described in [15,16]. At the horizon the local solution then reads

$$E(\rho) = (\rho - 1)^{-i\nu} F(\rho)
= (\rho - 1)^{-i\nu} \left[ 1 + \frac{i\nu}{2} (\rho - 1) + \cdots \right]. \quad (3.13)$$

So, $F(\rho)$ asymptotically assumes values

$$F(\rho = 1) = 1, \quad \partial_\rho F(\rho)|_{\rho = 1} = \frac{i\nu}{2}. \quad (3.14)$$

For the calculation of numbers, we have to specify the baryon density $\bar{d}$ and the mass parameter $\chi_0 \sim m_q/T$ to obtain the embeddings $\chi$ used in (3.11). Then we obtain a solution for a given frequency $\nu$ using initial values (3.13) and (3.14) in the equation of motion (3.11). This eventually gives us the numerical solutions for $E(\rho)$.

Spectral functions are then obtained by combining (2.17) and (3.9),

$$\Re(\omega, 0) = -\frac{N_f N_c T^2}{4} \text{Im} \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \left( \rho^2 \frac{\partial_\rho E(\rho)}{E(\rho)} \right). \quad (3.15)$$

### 2. Results for spectral functions

We now discuss the resulting spectral functions at finite baryon density, and observe crucial qualitative differences compared to the case of vanishing baryon density. In Figs. 5–8, some examples for the spectral function at fixed baryon density $n_B \propto \bar{d}$ are shown. To emphasize the resonance peaks, in some plots we subtract the quantity

$$\Re_0 = N_f N_c T^2 \pi \nu^2, \quad (3.16)$$

around which the spectral functions oscillate, cf. Fig. 9.

The graphs are obtained for a value of $\bar{d}$ above $\bar{d}^*$ (given by (1.1)), where the fundamental phase transition does not occur. The different curves in these plots show the spectral functions for different quark masses, corresponding to different positions on the solid blue line in the phase diagram shown in Fig. 1. Regardless whether we chose $\bar{d}$

![Graph](image_url)

**FIG. 5** (color online). The finite-temperature part of the spectral function $\Re - \Re_0$ (in units of $N_f N_c T^2/4$) at finite baryon density $\bar{d}$. The maximum grows and shifts to smaller frequencies as $\chi_0$ is increased towards $\chi_0 = 0.7$, but then turns around to approach larger frequency values.

![Graph](image_url)

**FIG. 6** (color online). The finite-temperature part of the spectral function $\Re - \Re_0$ (in units of $N_f N_c T^2/4$) at finite baryon density $\bar{d}$. In the regime of $\chi_0$ shown here, the peak shifts to larger frequency values with increasing $\chi_0$. 
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The oscillation peaks narrow and get more pronounced compared to smaller \( \chi_0 \). Dashed vertical lines show the meson-mass spectrum given by Eq. (3.17).

Increasing the quark mass from zero to small finite values results in more and more pronounced peaks of the spectral functions. This eventually leads to the formation of resonance peaks in the spectrum. At small masses, though, there are no narrow peaks. Only some maxima in the spectral functions are visible. At the same time as these maxima evolve into resonances with increasing quark mass, their position changes and moves to lower frequencies \( \nu \), see Fig. 5. This behavior was also observed for the case of vanishing baryon density in [17].

However, further increasing the quark mass leads to a crucial difference to the case of vanishing baryon density. Above a value \( m_\text{turn} \) of the quark mass, parametrized by \( \chi_0 \), the peaks change their direction of motion and move to larger values of \( \nu \), see Fig. 6. Still the maxima evolve into more and more distinct peaks.

Eventually at very large quark masses, given by \( \chi \) closer and closer to 1, the positions of the peaks asymptotically reach exactly those frequencies which correspond to the masses of the vector mesons at zero temperature [19]. In our coordinates, these masses are given by

\[
M = \frac{L_\infty}{R^2} \sqrt{2(n + 1)(n + 2)},
\]

where \( n \) labels the Kaluza-Klein modes arising from the D7-brane wrapping \( S^3 \), and \( L_\infty \) is the radial distance in \( (8,9) \)-direction between the stack of D3-branes and the D7, evaluated at the AdS-boundary,

\[
L_\infty = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} g \chi(\rho).
\]

The formation of a linelike spectrum can be interpreted as the evolution of highly unstable quasiparticle excitations in the plasma into quark bound states, finally turning into nearly stable vector mesons, cf. Figs. 7 and 8.

We now consider the turning behavior of the resonance peaks shown in Figs. 5 and 6. There are two different scenarios, depending on whether the quark mass is small or large.

First, when the quark mass is very small \( m_\eta \ll T \), we are in the regime of the phase diagram corresponding to the right half of Fig. 1. In this regime the influence of the Minkowski phase is negligible, as we are deeply inside the black hole phase. We therefore observe only broad structures in the spectral functions, instead of peaks.

Second, when the quark mass is very large, \( m_\eta \gg T \), or equivalently the temperature is very small, the quarks behave just as they would at zero temperature, forming a linelike spectrum. This regime corresponds to the left side of the phase diagram in Fig. 1, where all curves of constant \( \tilde{d} \) asymptote to the Minkowski phase.
The turning of the resonance peaks is associated to being in the first or in the second regime. At $\chi^\text{turn}_0$ the two regimes are connected and none of them is dominant. 

The turning behavior is best understood by following a line of constant density $\tilde{d}$ in the phase diagram of Fig. 1. Consider for instance the solid blue line in Fig. 1, starting at large temperatures/small masses on the right of the plot. First, we are deep in the unshaded region ($n_B \neq 0$), far inside the black hole phase. Moving along to lower $T/\tilde{M}$, the solid blue line in Fig. 1 rapidly bends upwards, and asymptotes to both the line corresponding to the onset of the fundamental phase transition, as well as to the separation line between black hole and Minkowski phase (gray region).

This may be interpreted as the quarks joining in bound states. Increasing the mass further, quarks form almost stable mesons, which give rise to resonance peaks at larger frequency if the quark mass is increased. The confined and deconfined phase are coexistent asymptotically for $T/\tilde{M} \rightarrow 0$.

We also observe a dependence of $\chi^\text{turn}_0$ on the baryon density. As the baryon density is increased from zero, the value of $\chi^\text{turn}_0$ decreases.

Figures 8 and 9 show that higher $n$ excitations from the Kaluza-Klein tower are less stable. While the first resonance peaks in this plot are very narrow, the following peaks show a broadening with decreasing amplitude.

This broadening of the resonances is due to the behavior of the quasinormal modes of the fluctuations, which correspond to the poles of the correlators in the complex $\omega$ plane, as described in the example (2.19) and sketched in Fig. 10. The location of the resonance peaks on the real frequency axis corresponds to the real part of the quasinormal modes. It is a known fact that the quasinormal modes develop a larger real and imaginary part at higher $n$. So the sharp resonances at low $\tilde{\nu}$, which correspond to quasiparticles of long lifetime, originate from poles with small imaginary part. For higher excitations in $n$ at larger $\tilde{\nu}$, the resonances broaden and get damped due to larger imaginary parts of the corresponding quasinormal modes.

For increasing mass we described above that the peaks of the spectral functions first move to smaller frequencies until they reach the turning point $\chi^\text{turn}$. Further increasing the mass leads to the peaks moving to larger frequencies, asymptotically approaching the line spectrum. This behavior can be translated into a movement of the quasinormal modes in the complex plane. It would be interesting to compare our results to a direct calculation of the quasinormal modes of vector fluctuations in analogy to $[6]$.

In $[6]$ the quasinormal modes are considered for scalar fluctuations exclusively, at vanishing baryon density. The authors observe that starting from the massless case, the real part of the quasinormal frequencies increases with the quark mass first, and then turns around to decrease. This behavior agrees with the peak movement for scalar spectral functions observed in $[17]$, Fig. 9 (above the fundamental phase transition, $\chi_0 \approx 0.94$), where the scalar meson resonances move to higher frequency first, turn around, and move to smaller frequency increasing the mass further. These results do not contradict the present work since we consider vector modes exclusively. The vector meson spectra considered in $[17]$ at vanishing baryon density only show peaks moving to smaller frequency as the quark mass is increased. Note that the authors there continue to consider black hole embeddings below the fundamental phase transition which are only metastable, the Minkowski embeddings being thermodynamically favored. At small baryon density and small quark mass our spectra are virtually coincident with those of $[17]$. In our case, at finite baryon density, black hole embeddings are favored for all values of the mass over temperature ratio. At small values of $T/\tilde{M}$ in the phase diagram of Fig. 1, we are very close to

![FIG. 10. Qualitative relation between the location of the poles in the complex frequency plane and the shape of the spectral functions on the real $\omega$ axis. The function plotted here is an example for the imaginary part of a correlator. Its value on the real $\omega$ axis represents the spectral function. The poles in the right plot are closer to the real axis and therefore there is more structure in the spectral function.](image-url)
the Minkowski regime, temperature effects are small, and the meson mass is proportional to the quark mass as in the supersymmetric case. Therefore, the peaks in the spectral function move to the right (higher frequencies) as function of increasing quark mass.

The turning point in the location of the peaks is a consequence of the transition between two regimes, i.e. the temperature-dominated one also observed in [17], and the potential-dominated one which asymptotes to the supersymmetric spectrum.

We expect the physical interpretation of the left-moving of the peaks in the temperature-dominated regime to be related to the strong dissipative effects present in this case. This is consistent with the large baryon diffusion coefficient present in this regime as discussed in Sec. IIIA and shown in Fig. 4. A detailed understanding of the physical picture in this regime requires a quantitative study of the quasiparticle behavior which we leave to future work.

Let us emphasize that it is likely that the turning point behavior is not a consequence of the finite baryon density. In our approach it is just straightforward to investigate the \( T \to 0 \) limit since black hole embeddings are thermodynamically favored even near \( T = 0 \) at finite baryon density. We expect that a right-moving of the peaks consistent with the SUSY spectrum should also be observable for Minkowski embeddings at vanishing baryon density for \( T \to 0 \). However this has not been investigated for vector modes neither in [6] nor in [17].

**IV. SPECTRAL FUNCTIONS AT FINITE ISOSPIN DENSITY**

**A. Radially varying SU(2)-background gauge field**

In order to examine the case \( \mathcal{N}_f = 2 \) in the strongly coupled plasma, we extend our previous analysis of vector meson spectral functions to a chemical potential with SU(2)-flavor (isospin) structure. Starting from the general action

\[
S_{\text{iso}} = -T_{D7} \int d^8 \xi \sqrt{|\det(g + F)|},
\]

we now consider field strength tensors

\[
\tilde{F}_{\mu \nu} = \sigma^{\mu}(2 \delta_{[\mu} \hat{A}_{\nu]} + \frac{\theta_{H}^{2}}{2 \pi \alpha'} f^{abc} \hat{A}_{\mu}^{b} \hat{A}_{\nu}^{c}),
\]

with the Pauli matrices \( \sigma^{\mu} \) and \( \hat{A} \) given by Eq. (3.2). The factor \( \theta_{H}^{2}/(2 \pi \alpha') \) is due to the introduction of dimensionless fields as described below (2.7). In order to obtain a finite isospin-charge density \( \eta_{I} \) and its conjugate chemical potential \( \mu_{I} \), we introduce an SU(2)-background gauge field \( \hat{A} \) [22]

\[
\hat{A}_{\nu}^{\lambda} \sigma^{3} = \hat{A}_{\nu}(\rho) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

This specific choice of the 3-direction in flavor space as well as space-time dependence simplifies the isospin background field strength, such that we get two copies of the baryonic background \( F_{\rho 0} \) on the diagonal of the flavor matrix,

\[
F_{\rho 0} \sigma^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\rho} \hat{A}_{0} & 0 \\ 0 & -\partial_{\rho} \hat{A}_{0} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The action for the isospin background differs from the action (2.9) for the baryonic background only by a group theoretical factor: The factor \( T_{r} = 1/2 \) (compare (4.1)) replaces the baryonic factor \( N_{f} \) in Eq. (2.8), which arises by summation over the \( U(1) \) representations. We can thus use the embeddings \( \chi(\rho) \) and background field solutions \( \hat{A}_{\nu}(\rho) \) of the baryonic case of [8], listed here in Sec. II A. As before, we collect the induced metric \( g \) and the background field strength \( F \) in the background tensor \( G = g + F \).

We apply the background field method in analogy to the baryonic case examined in Sec. III. As before, we obtain the quadratic action by expanding the determinant and square root in fluctuations \( A_{\mu}^{\nu} \). The term linear in fluctuations again vanishes by the equation of motion for our background field. This leaves the quadratic action

\[
0 = \partial_{\nu} \left[ \sqrt{|\det G|} (G^{00} G^{\mu \nu} - G^{00} G^{0 \mu}) \tilde{F}_{\nu}^{\mu} \right] - \sqrt{|\det G|} \frac{\theta_{H}^{2}}{2 \pi \alpha'} \hat{A}_{0}^{\lambda} f^{abc}(G^{00} G^{0 \mu} - G^{0 \nu} G^{0 \mu}) \tilde{F}_{\nu}^{\mu},
\]

Note that besides the familiar Maxwell term, two other terms appear, which are due to the non-Abelian structure. One of the new terms depends linearly, the other quadratically on the background gauge field \( A \) and both contribute nontrivially to the dynamics. The equation of motion for gauge field fluctuations on the D7-brane is
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with the modified field strength linear in fluctuations \( F_{\mu\nu}^a = 2\delta_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}^a + f^{a[b}A^c_{(\delta_{[\nu}A^{b]}_{\mu]} + \delta_{[\nu}A^c_{\mu]} \phi_{H}^2/(2\pi\alpha') \).

Integration by parts of (4.5) and application of (4.6) yields the on-shell action

\[
S_{\text{iso shell}}^{\text{on shell}} = \mathcal{Q}_HT,T_D\pi^2 R^3 \int d^4x \sqrt{\text{det}G} \\
\times (G'^{\mu\nu} - G^{\nu\rho}G^{\mu\sigma}A^{\rho}_{\mu} A^{\sigma}_{\nu}) \phi_{H}^2, \quad (4.7)
\]

The three flavor field equations of motion (flavor index \( a = 1, 2, 3 \)) for fluctuations in transversal Lorentz-indices \( \alpha = 2, 3 \) can again be written in terms of the combination \( E_{\alpha}^a = qA_0^a + \omega A_a^a \). At vanishing spatial momentum \( q = 0 \) we get

\[
0 = E_{T}^{\alpha T} + \partial_{\rho}(\sqrt{\text{det}G} G^{\rho\nu} G^{\mu\sigma} A^{\rho}_{\mu} A^{\sigma}_{\nu}) E_{T}^{\alpha T} \phi_{H}^2 \\
- G^{\rho\nu} [(q_{\alpha}^2)^2 + (A_{\alpha}^2)^2] E_{T}^{\alpha T} + 2i(q_{\rho} \omega) G^{\rho\nu} A_{\alpha}^2 E_{T}^{\alpha T}, \quad (4.8)
\]

\[
0 = E_{T}^{\alpha T} + \partial_{\rho}(\sqrt{\text{det}G} G^{\rho\nu} G^{\mu\sigma} A^{\rho}_{\mu} A^{\sigma}_{\nu}) E_{T}^{\alpha T} \phi_{H}^2 \\
- G^{\rho\nu} [(q_{\alpha}^2)^2 + (A_{\alpha}^2)^2] E_{T}^{\alpha T} - 2i(q_{\rho} \omega) G^{\rho\nu} A_{\alpha}^2 E_{T}^{\alpha T}, \quad (4.9)
\]

\[
0 = E_{T}^{\alpha T} + \partial_{\rho}(\sqrt{\text{det}G} G^{\rho\nu} G^{\mu\sigma} A^{\rho}_{\mu} A^{\sigma}_{\nu}) E_{T}^{\alpha T} \phi_{H}^2 \\
- G^{\rho\nu} [(q_{\alpha}^2)^2 + (A_{\alpha}^2)^2] E_{T}^{\alpha T} + 2i(q_{\rho} \omega) G^{\rho\nu} A_{\alpha}^2 E_{T}^{\alpha T}, \quad (4.10)
\]

Note that we use the dimensionless background gauge field \( A_0^3 = A_0^1(2\pi\alpha')/\phi_{H} \) and \( \phi_{H} = \pi TR^2 \). Despite the presence of the new non-Abelian terms, at vanishing spatial momentum the equations of motion for longitudinal fluctuations are the same as the transversal equations (4.8), (4.9), and (4.10), such that \( E = E_{T} = E_{L} \).

Note at this point that there are two essential differences which distinguish this setup from the approach with a constant potential \( A_0^1 \) at vanishing mass followed in [22]. First, the inverse metric coefficients \( g^{\mu\nu} \) contain the embedding function \( \chi(\rho) \) computed with varying background gauge field. Second, the background gauge field \( A_0^1 \) giving rise to the chemical potential now depends on \( \rho \).

Two of the ordinary second order differential equations (4.8), (4.9), and (4.10) are coupled through their flavor structure. Decoupling can be achieved by transformation to the flavor combinations [22]

\[
X = E^1 + iE^2, \quad Y = E^1 - iE^2. \quad (4.11)
\]

The equations of motion for these fields are given by

\[
0 = X'' + \partial_{\rho}(\sqrt{\text{det}G} G^{\rho\nu} G^{\mu\sigma} A^{\rho}_{\mu} A^{\sigma}_{\nu}) X'' \phi_{H}^2 \\
- 4G^{\rho\nu}(q_{\nu} - \omega)^2 X, \quad (4.12)
\]

\[
0 = Y'' + \partial_{\rho}(\sqrt{\text{det}G} G^{\rho\nu} G^{\mu\sigma} A^{\rho}_{\mu} A^{\sigma}_{\nu}) Y'' \phi_{H}^2 \\
- 4G^{\rho\nu}(q_{\nu} - \omega)^2 Y, \quad (4.13)
\]

\[
0 = E_{T}^{\alpha T} + \partial_{\rho}(\sqrt{\text{det}G} G^{\rho\nu} G^{\mu\sigma} A^{\rho}_{\mu} A^{\sigma}_{\nu}) E_{T}^{\alpha T} \phi_{H}^2 \\
- 4G^{\rho\nu}(q_{\nu} - \omega)^2 E_{T}^{\alpha T}, \quad (4.14)
\]

with dimensionless \( \omega = \tilde{\lambda}_0^3/(2\pi T) \) and \( \nu = \omega/(2\pi T) \). Proceeding as described in Sec. III, we determine the local solution of (4.12), (4.13), and (4.14) at the horizon. The indices turn out to be

\[
\beta = \pm \int \nu + \tilde{\lambda}_0^3(\rho = 1)/\pi T. \quad (4.15)
\]

Since \( \tilde{\lambda}_0^3(\rho = 1) = 0 \) in the setup considered here, we are left with the same index as in (3.12) for the baryon case. Therefore, here the chemical potential does not influence the singular behavior of the fluctuations at the horizon. The local solution coincides to linear order with the baryonic solution given in (3.13).

Application of the recipe described in Sec. II B yields the spectral functions of flavor current correlators shown in Figs. 11 and 12. Note that after transforming to flavor combinations \( X \) and \( Y \), given in (4.11), the diagonal elements of the propagation submatrix in flavor-transverse \( X \), \( Y \) directions vanish, \( G_{XX} = G_{YY} = 0 \), while the off-diagonal elements give nonvanishing contributions. The longitudinal component \( E^3 \), however, is not influenced by the isospin chemical potential, such that \( G_{E^3 E^3} \) is nonzero, while other combinations with \( E^3 \) vanish (see [22] for details).

![FIG. 11 (color online). The finite-temperature part of spectral functions \( n_{\text{iso}} - n_{\text{iso}} \) (in units of \( N_c T^2T_c \)) of currents dual to fields \( X, Y \) are shown versus \( \nu \). The dashed line shows the baryonic chemical potential case, the solid curves show the spectral functions in the presence of an isospin chemical potential. Plots are generated for \( \chi_0 = 0.5 \) and \( \tilde{\lambda} = 0.25 \). The combinations \( XY \) and \( YX \) split in opposite directions from the baryonic spectral function.](Image)
Introducing the chemical potential as described above for a zero-temperature AdS$_5$ × S$^5$ background, we obtain the gauge field correlators in analogy to [26]. The resulting spectral function for the field theory at zero temperature but finite chemical potential and density $\mathcal{R}_{\text{iso}}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{iso}} = \frac{N_c T^2 T_c}{4} 4\pi (w \pm \omega_0)^2,$$

with the dimensionless chemical potential $\omega_0 = \lim_{p \to 0} A^3_0/(2\pi T) = \mu/(2\pi T)$. Note that (4.16) is independent of the temperature. This part is always subtracted when we consider spectral functions at finite temperature, in order to determine the effect of finite temperature separately, as we did in the baryonic case.

### B. Results at finite isospin density

In Fig. 11 we compare typical spectral functions found for the isospin case (solid lines) with that found in the baryonic case (dashed line). While the qualitative behavior of the isospin spectral functions agrees with the one of the baryonic spectral functions, there nevertheless is a quantitative difference for the components X, Y, which are transversal to the background in flavor space. We find that the propagator for flavor combinations $G_{XY}$ exhibits a spectral function for which the zeroes as well as the peaks are shifted to higher frequencies, compared to the Abelian case curve. For the spectral function computed from $G_{XY}$, the opposite is true. Its zeroes and peaks appear at lower frequencies. As seen from Fig. 12, also the quasiparticle resonances of these two different flavor correlations show distinct behavior. The quasiparticle resonance peak in the spectral function $\mathcal{R}_{XY}$ appears at higher frequencies than expected from the vector meson $m$ = 0 meson-mass formula (1.2) (shown as dashed gray vertical lines in Fig. 12). The other flavor-transversal spectral function $\mathcal{R}_{YX}$ displays a resonance at lower frequency than observed in the baryonic curve. The spectral function for the third flavor direction $\mathcal{R}_{XY}$ behaves as $E$ in the baryonic case.

This may be viewed as a splitting of the resonance peak into three distinct peaks with equal amplitudes. This is due to the fact that we explicitly break the symmetry in flavor space by our choice of the background field $\tilde{A}_0$. Decreasing the chemical potential reduces the distance of the two outer resonance peaks from the one in the middle and therefore the splitting is reduced.

The described behavior resembles the mass splitting of mesons in the presence of an isospin chemical potential expected to occur in QCD [27,28]. A linear dependence of the separation of the peaks on the chemical potential is expected. Our observations confirm this behavior. Since our vector mesons are isospin triplets and we break the isospin symmetry explicitly, we see that in this respect our model is in qualitative agreement with effective QCD models. Note also the complementary discussion of this point in [29].

To conclude this section, we comment on the relation of the present results to those of our previous paper [22] where we considered a constant non-Abelian gauge field background for zero quark mass. From Eq. (4.15), the difference between a constant nonvanishing background gauge field and the varying one becomes clear. In [22] the field is chosen to be constant in $\rho$ and terms quadratic in the background gauge field $\tilde{A}_0$ are neglected. This implies that the square $(w \mp \omega)^2$ in (4.12) and (4.13) is replaced by $w^2 \pm 2\omega_0 w$, such that we obtain the indices $\beta = \pm w \sqrt{1 + \frac{\tilde{A}_0^2(\rho-1)}{2(2\pi T)^2}}$ instead of (4.15). If we additionally assume $w \ll \tilde{A}_0^2$, then the 1 under the square root can be neglected. In this case the spectral function develops a nonanalytic structure coming from the $\sqrt{\omega}$ factor in the index.

However in the case considered here, the background gauge field is a nonconstant function of $\rho$ which vanishes at the horizon. Therefore the indices have the usual form $\beta = \pm i \omega$ from (4.15), and there is no nonanalytic behavior of the spectral functions, at least none originating from the indices.

It will also be interesting to consider isospin diffusion in the setup of the present paper. However, in order to see non-Abelian effects in the diffusion coefficient, we need to give the background gauge field a more general direction in flavor space or a dependence on further space-time coordinates besides $\rho$. In that case, we will have a non-Abelian

---

**FIG. 12 (color online).** A comparison between the finite-temperature part of the spectral functions $\mathcal{R}_{XY}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{YX}$ (solid lines) in the two flavor directions $X$ and $Y$ transversal to the chemical potential is shown in units of $N_c T^2 T_c/4$ for large quark mass to temperature ratio $\lambda_0 = 0.99$ and $\tilde{a} = 0.25$. The spectral function $\mathcal{R}_{XY}$ along the $a = 3$-flavor direction is shown as a dashed line. We observe a splitting of the line expected at the lowest meson mass at $w = 4.5360 (n = 0)$. The resonance is shifted to lower frequencies for $\mathcal{R}_{XY}$ and to higher ones for $\mathcal{R}_{YX}$, while it remains in place for $\mathcal{R}_{XY}$. The second meson resonance peak $(n = 1)$ shows a similar behavior. So the different flavor combinations propagate differently and have distinct quasiparticle resonances.
ter in the background field strength
\[ \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \tilde{A}_\nu^a - \partial_\nu \tilde{A}_\mu^a + f^{abc} \tilde{A}_\mu^b \tilde{A}_\nu^c / (2\pi\alpha') \]
in contrast to \( \partial A_\mu^a \) considered here.

V. CONCLUSION

Two distinct setups were examined here at nonzero charge density in the black hole phase. First, switching on a baryon chemical potential at nonzero baryon density, we find that nearly stable vector mesons exist close to the transition line to the Minkowski phase. Far from this line, at small quark masses, we essentially recover the picture given in the case of vanishing chemical potential [17]. Increasing the quark mass beyond a distinct value, the plasma changes its behavior in order to asymptotically behave as it would at zero temperature. In the spectral functions we computed, this zero-temperature-like behavior is found in the form of linelike resonances, exactly reproducing the zero-temperature supersymmetric vector meson-mass spectrum. A turning point \( m_q^* \) is observed: Below \( m_q^* \), the resonance peaks move to lower frequencies as a function of rising quark mass. This is the zero-chemical-potential-like region in Fig. 1. Above \( m_q^* \), the resonance peaks move to higher frequencies as a function of the quark mass. This is the zero-temperature-like regime. Moreover, an examination of the diffusion coefficient reveals that the phase transition separating two different black hole phases [8] is shifted towards smaller temperature as the baryon density is increased.

Second, we switched on a nonzero isospin density, and equivalently an isospin chemical potential arises. The spectral functions in this case show a qualitatively similar behavior as those for baryonic potential. However, we additionally observe a splitting of the single resonance peak at vanishing isospin potential into three distinct resonances. This suggests that by explicitly breaking the flavor symmetry by a chemical potential, the isospin triplet states, vector mesons in our case, show a mass splitting similar to those for baryonic potential. However, we additionally observe a splitting of the single resonance peak at vanishing isospin potential into three distinct resonances. This suggests that by explicitly breaking the flavor symmetry by a chemical potential, the isospin triplet states, vector mesons in our case, show a mass splitting similar to that observed for QCD [27]. It is an interesting task to explore the features of this isospin theory in greater detail in order to compare with available lattice data and effective QCD models [30–38]. In most of these approaches, baryon and isospin chemical potential are considered at the same time, which suggests another promising extension of this work. Moreover, in the context of gravity duals, it will be interesting to compare our results for the isospin chemical potential to the recent work [29].

Alternatively, instead of giving the gauge field time component a nonvanishing vev, one may also switch on \( B \)-field components and connect the framework developed in [39–41] with the calculation of spectral functions for the dual gauge theory.
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APPENDIX: NOTATION

The five-dimensional AdS Schwarzschild black hole space in which we work is endowed with a metric of signature \((- + + + +)\), as given explicitly in (2.1). We make use of the Einstein notation to indicate sums over Lorentz indices, and additionally simply sum over non-Lorentz indices, such as gauge group indices, whenever they occur twice in a term.

To distinguish between vectors in different dimensions of the AdS space, we use bold symbols like \( \mathbf{q} \) for vectors in the three spatial dimensions which do not live along the radial AdS coordinate. Four-vectors which do not have components along the radial AdS coordinates are denoted by symbols with an arrow on top, as \( \vec{q} \).

The Green functions \( G = \langle J J \rangle \) considered give correlations between currents \( J \) and \( I \). These currents couple to fields \( A \) and \( B \), respectively. In our notation we use symbols such as \( G_{A A}^{a b} \) to denote correlators of currents coupling to fields \( A^a_\mu \) and \( A^b_\mu \), with flavor indices \( a, b \) and Lorentz indices \( k, l = 0, 1, 2, 3 \). If no other indices are of relevance for the discussion we restrict ourselves to Lorentz indices. For the gauge field combinations \( X \) and \( Y \) given in (4.11), we obtain Green functions \( G_{XY} \) or \( G_{YX} \) denoting correlators of the corresponding currents.