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Ferromagnetic resonance force microscopy (FMRFM) offers a means of performing local ferromagnetic resonance. The authors have studied the evolution of the FMRFM force spectra in a continuous 50 nm thick permalloy film as a function of probe-film distance and performed numerical simulations of the intensity of the FMRFM probe-film interaction force, accounting for the presence of the localized strongly nonuniform magnetic field of the FMRFM probe magnet. Excellent agreement between the experimental data and the simulation results provides insight into the mechanism of FMR mode excitation in a FMRFM experiment. © 2007 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2747171]

Magnetic resonance force microscopy (MRFM) offers a very high sensitivity approach to detection of magnetic resonance and has demonstrated three dimensional imaging with excellent spatial resolution. Proposed by Sidles, it has been used for the detection of electron spin resonance, nuclear magnetic resonance, recently Rugar et al. reported detection of a force signal originating from a single electron spin, emphatically demonstrating MRFM sensitivity. Incorporating basic elements of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), MRFM can provide much higher spatial resolution than conventional MRI. Electron spin density images with micrometer scale resolution in an arbitrarily shaped sample can be deconvolved from MRFM spatial force maps. MRFM image deconvolution requires a thorough understanding of the underlying interaction between the MRFM probe and the object imaged. This deconvolution process, analyzed for the case of noninteracting spins in a paramagnetic sample is given in Ref. 6. Recently, ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) has been detected by MRFM in yttrium iron garnet (YIG) bar, YIG dot, YIG film, and permalloy dots. The role of the probe magnet in FMRFM is dual: it both perturbs the FMR modes and detects the force signal. However, inRefs. the FMR modes were only weakly modified by the tip field.

In this letter we focus on the regime when the effect of the tip is nonperturbative: the field inhomogeneity due to the tip field strongly modifies the resonance modes as well as leads to the formation of the local resonance under the tip. We report FMRFM spectra from a continuous, 50 nm thick permalloy film, performed with a cantilever with a nearly spherical micron-size magnetic tip. We report the evolution of the FMRFM spectra as a function of the tip-sample spacing and propose a model which describes the observed behavior.

The cantilever is mounted on top of a double scanning stage, comprised of a three dimensional attocube scanner for coarse scanning and a piezotube for fine scanning. The optical feedback control of the attocube allows to position and move the cantilever stage with an accuracy better than 250 nm. The microwave power is used to manipulate the sample magnetization and is generated by the Giga-tronics 12000A synthesizer at a frequency $\omega_0/2\pi = 9.55$ GHz, 79 mW of power, and amplitude modulated with a modulation depth of 70%. It is fed into a strip line resonator with the broad resonant characteristics and allows to record FMRFM spectra in the frequency range between 9 and 11.5 GHz. A more detailed description of the microscope can be found elsewhere. Contrary to MRFM experiments where the state-of-the-art ultrasensitive cantilevers are required to achieve high sensitivity in FMRFM due to much stronger signals commercially available cantilevers can be used. We use a silicon nitride cantilever with a fundamental resonant frequency $\omega_0/2\pi = 8.06$ kHz and a spring constant $k = 10$ mN/m. The magnetic tip is a 2.4 $\mu$m diameter spherical Nd$_2$Fe$_14$B particle shown in the inset to Fig. 2. We removed the original tip of the cantilever by focused ion milling and manually glued the magnetic sphere to the cantilever with Stycast 1266 epoxy in the presence of an aligning magnetic field of a few kiloersteds. The 50 nm thick permalloy film was deposited on a 20 nm thick Ti adhesion layer on a 100 $\mu$m thick silicon wafer. The permalloy was capped with a protective of 20 nm Ti layer. An approximately 2 $\times$ 2 mm$^2$ sample was glued to the strip line resonator and the film plane was oriented perpendicular to the direction of the external magnetic field $H_{\text{ext}}$. In Fig. 1, we show the magnitude of the FMRFM spectra recorded as a function of the probe-sample distance at a constant temperature...
$T=11.000 \pm 0.005$ K. Each spectrum displays two distinctive features: the main resonance signal, which occurs at approximately $H_{\text{res}}=14.54$ kOe, and the secondary resonance structure at lower fields. The structure of the FMRFM signal is reminiscent of those observed in permalloy microstructures.\textsuperscript{11} The intensities of both features decrease as the probe magnet is moved away from the surface of the sample. It is important to note that retraction of the probe does not change the position of the main resonance peak significantly, and at the same time the width of the secondary feature changes substantially. The quality factor $Q$ of the cantilever decreases from $\sim 11 \, 000$ to $\sim 6000$ as the probe approaches the sample. The change in $Q$ is due to tip-sample interactions (other than magnetic resonance) and is consistent with previous reports.\textsuperscript{15-17} We measured $Q$ by two methods (ring-down technique and swept frequency through resonance) at each probe-film spacing and subsequently calculated the magnitude of the force signal acting on the cantilever, Fig. 1.

In general, the force $F$ detected in a MRFM experiment is a convolution of $\delta m(\mathbf{r}, t)$ (the change in sample magnetization due to rf manipulation) with the field gradient $\nabla H_{\text{tip}}(\mathbf{r})$ of the magnetic tip. The force is given by the following volume integral: $F=\int_V (\delta m(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \nabla) H_{\text{tip}}(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$. In our experiments, the spherical shape of the probe magnet allows analytical calculation of its magnetic field profile $H_{\text{tip}}(\mathbf{r})$ (Ref. 18) and is used to provide precise knowledge of the magnetization term $\delta m(\mathbf{r}, t)$ needed to interpret FMRFM spectra correctly.

The total magnetic field inside the sample is $H_{\text{tot}}=H_{\text{ext}}+H_{\text{tip}}+H_d$, where $H_{\text{ext}}$ is a uniform external magnetic field, $H_{\text{tip}}$ is a nonuniform magnetic field of the probe magnet, and $H_d$ is the demagnetizing field. The exact spatial profile of $H_{\text{tot}}$ depends on the total magnetic moment of the probe magnet, the probe-film spacing, and the relative orientation of $H_{\text{ext}}$ with respect to the orientation of probe magnet magnetization (in our case they are parallel). The well defined shape of the magnetic tip allows us to schematically divide the sample into two regions according to the magnitude of the $\hat{z}$ component of the total magnetic field $H_{\text{tot}}$. The first, region I, is a circular region directly under the magnetic tip where its field is significant and positive. The area of this region is determined by the distance between the center of the probe tip and the sample. In region II the field of the probe is much weaker and negative and its area encompasses the remaining sample area of $\sim 2 \times 2$ mm$^2$. The schematics of two regions is shown in the inset to Fig. 2.

For a conventional FMR experiment the expected resonance field for the uniform FMR mode is $H_{\text{res}}=\omega/\gamma + 4\pi M_s$, where we neglect the anisotropy contribution.\textsuperscript{17,19} For our experimental parameters $\gamma/2\pi=2.89\pm0.05$ GHz/kOe (Ref. 17) and $\omega/2\pi=9.55$ GHz, we obtain the value of $H_{\text{res}}=14.6$ kOe, which agrees within the error with the observed resonance field for the main peak (dotted line in Fig. 1); we thereby attribute it to the resonance originating from region I of the sample and representing its large area. The main resonance peak in Fig. 1 can be understood as the fundamental FMR mode observed in conventional FMR experiments,\textsuperscript{20,21} modified by the tip field. Analytical derivation of the exact profile of such a modified mode is difficult, so we have performed micromagnetic simulations based on the numerical solution of the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation.\textsuperscript{22} For simulation we used a damping constant $\alpha=0.01$, an exchange constant $A=1.4\times10^{-6}$ erg cm$^{-1}$, and values of $4\pi M_s=13.2$ kG for the probe magnet and $4\pi M_s=11.3$ kG for the permalloy film were measured independently by the superconducting quantum interface device magnetometry.\textsuperscript{23} Simulations indicate that the FMR mode
excited in region II of the sample at the resonant field $H_{\text{res}}$ does not penetrate significantly into spatial region I, where $H_{\text{tot}} > \alpha_{\text{conf}}/\gamma$. We will present details of the analysis elsewhere.\(^{24}\)

We assign the lower field feature in the FMRFM spectra shown in Fig. 1 to the resonance contributions originating from the localized FMR excitations spatially confined approximately to region I of the sample. In this region, the resonance occurs at lower values of $H_{\text{ext}}$ than that of the main peak (Fig. 1, dotted line). The frequency shift of localized FMR is determined by two factors: (1) the strength of the tip field $H_{\text{tip}}$ at the sample surface and (2) the effect of mode confinement to the spatial region I with characteristic dimensions defined by the tip-sample distance, which further increases the local mode frequency relative to the bulk resonance by a value $\Delta \omega_{\text{conf}}(r')$. Both effects cause the local resonance to occur at the external field value that is lower than that of the bulk resonance by the amount $\Delta H_{\text{ext}} = -H_{\text{tip}}(r') - \Delta \omega_{\text{conf}}(r')/\gamma$. From numerical simulations,\(^{24}\) for a confinement within a disk of radius $r' \sim 10 \mu$m, $\Delta \omega_{\text{conf}}(r')/\gamma \approx 30$ Oe, which combined with the estimated value of $H_{\text{tip}}(r') \approx 20$ Oe, results in a total shift consistent with experimental findings. Both the tip field $H_{\text{tip}}$ and $\Delta \omega_{\text{conf}}(r')$ decrease as the probe magnet is retracted away from the film surface, and local modes merge into the main resonance (Fig. 1, dotted line).

The non-Lorentzian and broad shape of the signal possibly indicates the presence of multiple modes contributing to the resonance. While numerical simulations are required to determine the possibility of such modes, in particular, geometry/materials, we believe that their appearance is generic in thin-film soft magnets and is induced by the local field inhomogeneity. We will present the detailed theoretical analysis elsewhere.\(^{24}\)

The normalized FMRFM spatial force map obtained from the uniform FMR mode modified by the tip field is shown in Fig. 2. The semicircular region of the plot where the tip-sample interaction force is set to zero corresponds to region I. In the lower panel of Fig. 2 we show the intensity of the main resonance signal as a function of the tip-sample distance and compare simulations with the experiment.

In conclusion, we conducted FMRFM experiments in a thin permalloy film. We performed quantitative analysis of the force exerted by the fundamental mode and observed locally excited FMR. We conducted simulations and determined two distinctive regions of the sample contributing to the FMRFM spectra. We find clear evidence for local modification of the FMR mode structure by the probe tip, providing insight into the interaction of the probe tip with ferromagnetic samples in FMRFM.
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