The Thermal State of the Accreting White Dwarf in AM Canum Venticorum Binaries

Lars Bildsten – University of California

Dean M. Townsley – University of Chicago

Christopher J. Deloye – Northwestern University

Gus Nelemans – Radboud University

Deposited 08/22/2018

THE THERMAL STATE OF THE ACCRETING WHITE DWARF IN AM CANUM VENATICORUM BINARIES

LARS BILDSTEN

Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics and Department of Physics, Kohn Hall, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106;
bildsten@kitp.ucsb.edu

DEAN M. TOWNSLEY

Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 5640 South Ellis Avenue, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637; townsley@uchicago.edu

CHRISTOPHER J. DELOYE

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208; cjdeloye@northwestern.edu

AND

GIJS NELEMANS

Institute of Astronomy, Madingly Road, CB3 0HA Cambridge, UK; and Department of Astrophysics,
Radboud University Nijmegen, Toernooiveld 1, NL-6525 ED, Netherlands; nelemans@astro.ru.nl

ABSTRACT

We calculate the heating and cooling of the accreting white dwarf (WD) in the ultracompact AM Canum Venaticorum (AM CVn) binaries and show that the WD can contribute significantly to their optical and ultraviolet emission. We estimate the WD's effective temperature, $T_{\rm eff}$, using the optical continuum for a number of observed binaries, and we show that it agrees well with our theoretical calculations. Driven by gravitational radiation losses, the time-averaged accretion rate, $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$, decreases monotonically with increasing $P_{\rm orb}$, covering 6 orders of magnitude. If the short-period ($P_{\rm orb} < 10$ minutes) systems accrete at a rate consistent with gravitational radiation via direct impact, we predict their unpulsed optical/UV light to be that of the $T_{\rm eff} > 50,000$ K accreting WD. At longer $P_{\rm orb}$ we calculate the $T_{\rm eff}$ and absolute visual magnitude, M_V, that the accreting WD will have during low accretion states, and we find that the WD naturally crosses the pulsational instability strip. Discovery and study of pulsations could allow for the measurement of the accumulated helium mass on the accreting WD, as well as its rotation rate. Accretion heats the WD core, but for $P_{\rm orb} > 40$ minutes, the WD's $T_{\rm eff}$ is set by its cooling as $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ plummets. For the two long-period AM CVn binaries with measured parallaxes, GP Com and CE 315, we show that the optical broadband colors and intensity are those expected from a pure helium atmosphere WD. This confirms that the WD brightness sets the minimum light in wide AM CVn binaries, allowing for meaningful constraints on their population density from deep optical searches, both in the field and in globular clusters.

Subject headings: binaries: close — gravitational waves — novae, cataclysmic variables — white dwarfs

1. INTRODUCTION

The AM Canum Venaticorum (AM CVn) class of ultracompact binaries provides an opportunity for probing the accretion-induced heating of a white dwarf (WD) over 6 orders of magnitude in the time-averaged accretion rate, $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$. In these very tight ($P_{\rm orb} < 80$ minutes) binaries (see Warner 1995 for an overview), the donor star is a very low mass ($M < 0.1\ M_\odot$) helium WD and the accretor is either a He or a C/O WD. Although there are a few distinct AM CVn formation scenarios (e.g., Nelemans et al. 2001 and references therein), they all evolve as mass-transferring binaries driven by the loss of angular momentum from gravitational radiation (e.g., Deloye et al. 2005).

This paper is an outgrowth of the Townsley & Bildsten (2004, hereafter TB04) work on the reheating of WDs in H-accreting cataclysmic variables. However, the case of WD reheating in AM CVn binaries is different because the accreted material is pure helium, making flashes an isolated rather than a constant occurrence, and the binary evolution proceeds only under angular momentum loss by gravitational radiation, leading to a plummeting $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ with increasing $P_{\rm orb}$. We have found that the WD is heated in the earliest phases of binary evolution and cools at later times. There is a critical decoupling accretion rate of $\dot{M}_{\rm dec} \approx (1-3) \times 10^{-10}\ M_\odot\ {\rm yr}^{-1}$ below which the reheated WD will cool even while accreting. We find the amount of time

that has passed since the binary had this $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ by using the evolutionary calculations of Deloye et al. (2005). Simple cooling after this point predicts the WD luminosity, as well as the expected WD $T_{\rm eff}$ and absolute visual magnitude, M_V (assuming, of course, a WD mass, M, and radius, R). We show that the accreting WD can become the dominant broadband optical light source in those binaries with orbital periods in excess of ≈ 40 minutes.

In § 2, we discuss the physics of the WD heating due to accretion and discuss the uncertainty in the total helium layer mass expected on the WD. Since the WD luminosity, L, is nearly always less than the accretion luminosity, $L_{\rm acc} = GM\langle \dot{M} \rangle/R$, we explain in § 3 how the binary's behavior allows the WD to be directly detected at different orbital periods. At early times, during direct impact accretion (e.g., Marsh & Steeghs 2002), the unpulsed UV/optical light is from the hot WD, whereas at longer orbital periods, the disk is often quiescent, allowing for the underlying WD to dominate the optical continuum of a number of these binaries. We also speculate on what could be learned if one of these WDs was caught in the DB pulsational instability strip. We make direct comparisons to the observations in § 4, and we conclude in § 5.

2. THE PHYSICS OF WHITE DWARF HEATING IN AM CVn BINARIES

The ability for accretion to heat the deep interior of a WD has been discussed extensively (Sion 1991; TB04). Indeed, accretion

of pure helium at rapid rates $\dot{M} > 10^{-7}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ is known to flash unstably and was studied as a Type Ia progenitor scenario (e.g., Nomoto & Sugimoto 1977; Nomoto 1982; Woosley & Weaver 1994). Though eventually ruled out as a major mechanism for Type Ia supernovae (Solheim & Yungelson 2005), AM CVn binaries accrete pure helium for a long enough time to reheat the accreting WD. An additional feature of these binaries is the large dynamic range in the time-averaged accretion rate, $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$, onto the WD, allowing us to test the theories of reheated WDs, as discussed in §§ 3 and 4.

Here we calculate the accretor's thermal evolution along with that of the binary for several AM CVn systems that represent the expected ranges for this population. Motivated by the expected mass distribution of He WD donors that evolve into AM CVn systems (Nelemans et al. 2001), we chose an initial donor mass of $0.24\ M_\odot$. The thermal state of the donor is very important for setting the relationship between $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$ and $P_{\rm orb}$ (Bildsten 2002; Deloye & Bildsten 2003; Deloye et al. 2005). For this study we chose two thermal states found by setting the initial entropy of the donor so that the system's $P_{\rm orb}$ at contact is greater than the $P_{\rm orb}$ at contact of 30% and 90% of the systems in the Deloye et al. (2005) AM CVn population evolution calculation. This provides $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$ as a function of time, and we then use the quasi-static envelope methods of TB04 to heat the accreting WD. For simplicity, this evolution is performed at constant M and with a constant helium layer. We chose two masses, $M = 0.65$ and $1.05\ M_\odot$, each case with a $0.05\ M_\odot$ accreted helium shell (justified below). Initial M values for the binary evolution were chosen such that $M(t)$ matched that of the thermal calculations at late times (long $P_{\rm orb}$) to ensure the correct $P_{\rm orb}$-time relation.

Using these $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$ trajectories (see Fig. 1), we identified four relevant regimes for the state of the accreting WD. Chronologically since initiation of mass transfer (and in order of decreasing $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$), these are (1) rapid accretion and thermally unstable He ignition ($<$a few $\times\ 10^5$ yr), (2) core heating during a phase in which L is determined from "compressional" heating in the WD envelope ($\sim 3 \times 10^5 - 3 \times 10^6$ yr), (3) a transition period when the core temperature is near an equilibrium value set by $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$ ($3 \times 10^6 - 10^8$ yr), and (4) the WD cooling regime ($>10^8$ yr), where L is determined by the time, Δt, since the heating stopped at a previous epoch where $\langle\dot{M}\rangle \sim \dot{M}_{\rm dec}$, the decoupling mass-transfer rate. We now describe each regime.

At the very earliest times, when $\langle\dot{M}\rangle \gtrsim 10^{-7}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, the accreted helium is compressed rapidly and ignites in a thermally unstable manner, leading to recurrent weak explosions (Fujimoto & Sugimoto 1982).[1] It is uncertain how much of the accreted material is ejected (see Kato & Hachisu 1999). As $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$ drops, the compression happens more slowly so that the envelope temperature is lower, and the helium shell can become quite large. Thermal instabilities at this stage likely lead to detonations (Fujimoto & Sugimoto 1982), such that the last thermonuclear instability might be the most violent. However, once $\langle\dot{M}\rangle < 2 \times 10^{-8}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, the required unstable He ignition mass for 0.6–1.1 $M_\odot$ WDs (Nomoto 1982; Limongi & Tornambe 1991, hereafter LT91; Goriely et al. 2002) exceeds the donor mass. Thus, once a system reaches an orbital period of 10 minutes, it is unlikely to undergo further unstable helium ignition events. That is, $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$ drops quickly enough that once $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$ is low enough for a strong flash, the accreted layer never becomes thick enough for it to

---

[1] Extremely high accretion rates for which a red giant envelope can be supported, $\langle\dot{M}\rangle \gtrsim L_{\rm He\ Edd}/\epsilon_n = 7 \times 10^{-6}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}(M/M_\odot)$ (where $\epsilon_n = 0.6$ MeV amu^{-1} is the energy released from helium fusion), only appear at the brief ($<10^3$ yr) and poorly understood onset of accretion.

FIG. 1.—Time-averaged mass transfer rate $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$, WD core temperature, T_c, and WD luminosity, L, as a function of time since the onset of mass transfer for donors with fixed entropy. The thin (thick) lines show the evolution for a $0.65\ M_\odot$ ($1.05\ M_\odot$) WD, $0.05\ M_\odot$ of which is a surface helium layer. For the lower mass we show two curves with low (*solid line*, cool) and high (*dashed line*, hot) donor entropy (from Deloye et al. 2005). There are four epochs: (1) (not shown) rapid accretion with helium shell flashes (2) T_c rises at early times when $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$ becomes low enough that shell flashes are suppressed and the accreted helium layer can build up, (3) $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$ falls enough that $T_{c,\rm eq} \approx T_c$ and core heating ceases, (4) $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$ becomes low enough that the cooling of the core dominates the outgoing luminosity.

occur. Over the remaining course of the binary's evolution, about $0.1\ M_\odot$ is accreted by the WD, motivating us to use a fixed accreted layer mass of $0.05\ M_\odot$ to calculate the thermal evolution of the accretor. Because L depends only logarithmically on the accreted layer mass (TB04), using this fixed value has only a small impact, especially when compared to the large variations in L from the orders-of-magnitude variation in $\langle\dot{M}\rangle$.

The period of time during which $\langle\dot{M}\rangle > 10^{-7}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ is so short ($\sim 10^5$ yr) that the accretor's core is thermally unperturbed from its initial state. However, once the helium layer begins to accumulate, thermal diffusion both out of the surface (setting the exiting luminosity, L) and into the core (acting to increase the temperature there, T_c) occurs. We thus begin evolving the WD's thermal state 10^5 yr after contact. Although the compressional energy release depends weakly on the accumulated He layer mass (i.e., logarithmically; TB04), the L-T_c relation, which determines the cooling, is essentially independent of it. The evolution is shown in Figure 1 and exhibits all of the epochs (2)–(4) including reheating, decoupling, and cooling. The heat capacity and crystallized fraction of the core was calculated using the equation of state of Potekhin & Chabrier (2000), and the late-time L-T_c relation (in the limit of minimal accretion) was adapted from Hansen (1999). We have included a latent heat of crystallization of $0.77kT$ per particle, but no fractionation.

At early times in the evolution, the WD core temperature, T_c, is much lower than the maximum temperature reached at the base of the outer radiative envelope, T_m. In this limit, TB04 showed that L becomes independent of T_c and is only a function of $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ and M. They found that the envelope state is determined self-consistently by balancing the heat released in the outer (nondegenerate) envelope due to fluid motion down the entropy gradient, $L_{\mathrm{comp}} \approx 2\langle \dot{M} \rangle kT_m/\mu m_p$, with that carried through the envelope by radiative transfer $L \approx L_\odot (T_m/10^8\ \mathrm{K})^{2.5}$, where $\mu = 4/3$ is the mean molecular weight in the He envelope, k is Boltzmann's constant, and m_p is the baryon mass. This same state applies here when $T_c < T_m$. We have calculated this balance numerically using the quasi-static envelope methods outlined in TB04 for He accretion and found

$$L \approx 2.8 \times 10^{-2}\ L_\odot \left(\frac{\langle \dot{M} \rangle}{10^{-9}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}} \right)^{1.4} \left(\frac{0.6\ M_\odot}{M} \right)^{0.3}. \quad (1)$$

This relation holds for

$$T_c < T_m \approx 2 \times 10^7\ \mathrm{K} \left(\frac{\langle \dot{M} \rangle}{10^{-9}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}} \right)^{0.5} \quad (2)$$

and $10^{-10}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1} < \langle \dot{M} \rangle < 10^{-7}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, although for our time histories $T_c \simeq T_m$ by the time $\langle \dot{M} \rangle \simeq 10^{-9}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, so that this form only applies to Figure 1 for $\langle \dot{M} \rangle > 10^{-9}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$. During this early phase, the WD core is being heated as $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ is dropping.[2]

As $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ drops and T_c increases, the accreting WD approaches the equilibrium state, where the outgoing luminosity is matched by the internal energy release (Nomoto 1982; TB04). There is a brief transition from core heating to cooling that appears in Figure 1 as a plateau in T_c. In the equilibrium state, a fairly good estimate of the compressional heating luminosity is found by integrating throughout the $0.05\ M_\odot$ accreted degenerate He layer (identical to that performed in Appendix B of TB04 and in Nomoto 1982), giving $L_{\mathrm{comp}} \approx 10\langle \dot{M} \rangle kT_c/\mu_{i,\mathrm{He}}m_p$, where $\mu_{i,\mathrm{He}} = 4$ is the ion mean molecular weight in the helium envelope. As $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ continues to drop, this will eventually become low enough that it is exceeded by the core cooling luminosity $L_{\mathrm{cool}} = L_\odot (T_c/10^8\ \mathrm{K})^{2.5}$. At this point the WD evolution decouples from $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ and cools much as an isolated object would. By setting $L_{\mathrm{comp}} = L_{\mathrm{cool}}$ and taking $T_c = (1-2) \times 10^7$ K, we find $\dot{M}_{\mathrm{dec}} = (1-3) \times 10^{-10}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$. These values are evident in Figure 1.

The precise value of $T_{c,\mathrm{max}}$ at the plateau depends on the initial T_c and the time at which the He flashes cease, neither of which is well known. This leads to some uncertainty in L at the time of decoupling. However, analogous to isolated cooling WDs, the "initial" $T_{c,\mathrm{max}}$ is forgotten, and L eventually only depends on the time after decoupling, Δt. This is simply the WD cooling age from the time that heating ceased. By 10^9 yr, $L \approx 10^{-3}\ L_\odot$, already consistent with a cooling DB WD of a similar age (Hansen 1999).

Using the values tabulated by LT91 for accretion of helium onto a $0.8\ M_\odot$ WD at a fixed rate, we find that our determination of the heating time from the quasi-static model agrees well with their full numerical model. Table 2 in LT91 gives a detail of T_{max} (the maximum with respect to radius) and T_{center} for a mass history starting from $0.8\ M_\odot$ up to about $0.9\ M_\odot$. We compare our $T_{\mathrm{core}} = T_c(t)$ for a $0.9\ M_\odot$ WD with a $0.05\ M_\odot$ helium layer accreting at a constant rate to $T_{\mathrm{core}} = (T_{\mathrm{center}} + T_{\mathrm{max}})/2$ (representative of the heat content) from Table 2 of LT91 and find that in both cases, for this range of mass, dT_{core}/dM is approximately constant. We find $dT_{\mathrm{core}}/dM \approx 1.6 \times 10^8$ and 2.7×10^8 K $M_\odot^{-1}$ for $\langle \dot{M} \rangle = 10^{-8}$ and $5 \times 10^{-8}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, respectively, compared to the values of 1.3×10^8 and 2.7×10^8 K $M_\odot^{-1}$ from LT91. Also, the $T_{c,\mathrm{max}}$ reached in the plateau ($\simeq 4 \times 10^7$ K) reflects the equilibrium T_c for the $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ at this epoch $\sim 5 \times 10^{-9}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$. This is consistent with the typical values of interior temperatures, $\log T \simeq 7.5-7.7$, found by LT91 for a $0.8\ M_\odot$ CO WD accreting helium steadily at $\langle \dot{M} \rangle \simeq (5-10) \times 10^{-9}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$.

Before core crystallization begins, the WD's heat capacity is well approximated by $C = 3kM/\mu_i m_p$. With the simple relation $L \approx L_\odot (T_c/10^8\ \mathrm{K})^{2.5}(M/0.6\ M_\odot)$, $L = -C\ dT_c/dt$ gives $L \approx 6 \times 10^{-4} L_\odot t_9^{-5/3}(M/0.6\ M_\odot)$, where t_9 is time in Gyr. This agrees well between decoupling and the onset of crystallization, which occurs at $T_c = 4(9) \times 10^6$ K for $M = 0.65(1.05)\ M_\odot$, corresponding to a time of $1.4(0.4) \times 10^9$ yr and an orbital period of 60(45) minutes for the hot donor evolution. The effect of the latent heat release is visible in the T_c history, and the heavier WD cools more quickly at late times due to its earlier crystallization and the resulting decrease in total heat capacity. The modifications due to latent heat release change the L-t relation to something closer to $L = 6.8 \times 10^{-4} L_\odot t_9^{-1.1}$ and $1.4 \times 10^{-3} L_\odot t_9^{-1.5}$ for $M = 0.65$ and $1.05\ M_\odot$, respectively, in the 1–8 Gyr region. By contrast, the accretion luminosity $L_{\mathrm{acc}} = GM\langle \dot{M} \rangle/R$ is a smooth power law after about 10^7 yr, given by $L_{\mathrm{acc}} = 8.3(19) \times 10^{-3} L_\odot t_9^{-1.3}$ for $M = 0.65(1.05)\ M_\odot$.

The bottom panel of Figure 1 shows the resulting $L(t)$, for which there is very little contrast with the donor entropies. However, when displayed as a function of the observable, P_{orb} (see Fig. 2), a contrast immediately appears: accretors in systems with hotter donors have higher values of T_{eff}. The reason for this depends on the phase of evolution but is essentially related to the difference in speed of evolution as set by the donor's M-R relation. In the "compressional heating" phase, a lower entropy donor, which has a lower mass at a given P_{orb}, always produces a lower $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ at a given P_{orb}, leading to a lower L. By an orbital period of 30–40 minutes the WD is cooling, and the time since decoupling becomes larger than the uncertainty introduced by the unknown $T_{c,\mathrm{max}}$, so that at longer orbital periods we can safely predict L. Low-entropy donors reach the decoupling $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ at shorter orbital periods and evolve more slowly in P_{orb}, so that the WDs at a given P_{orb} always look "older" (i.e., colder) if their donor was initially of low entropy. The track for the cool donor (30th percentile) gives a good maximum Δt, which for $P_{\mathrm{orb}} \gtrsim 40$ minutes can be fit by $\Delta t \approx 5 \times 10^8$ yr$(P_{\mathrm{orb}}/40\ \mathrm{minutes})^{4.37}$ and thus yields the relation for the coldest possible $0.65\ M_\odot$ WD at these orbital periods, $L_{\mathrm{min}} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-3}\ L_\odot (40\ \mathrm{minutes}/P_{\mathrm{orb}})^{4.8}$.

3. OBSERVABILITY OF THE ACCRETING WHITE DWARF

In order to assess the likelihood of observing the accreting WD, we must compare its luminosity to that from active accretion. When the WD is near equilibrium or simply emitting the compressional heating luminosity, $L \sim kT_c \langle \dot{M} \rangle/m_p$ will be much

---

[2] The only other calculation we could find that reported exiting luminosities is in Table 2 of Limongi & Tornambe (1991). While our value compares well to their calculations with $M = 0.6\ M_\odot$ as the starting WD mass, when $M = 0.8\ M_\odot$ and the accreted layer is thin, their values are as much as a factor of 50 lower for $\langle \dot{M} \rangle \sim 10^{-8}\ M_\odot\ \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$. We believe that L, which is largely due to compressional heat release in the outer layers and was only of peripheral interest in their study, is not well determined by their modeling for small accreted layer masses at $M = 0.85\ M_\odot$.

FIG. 2.—Time-averaged accretion rate, $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$, WD surface temperature, T_{eff}, and minimum absolute visual magnitude, M_V for the accreting WD in AM CVn binaries. The different WD curves are as in Fig. 1 and the average accretion disk M_V is shown by the thick gray line (Nelemans et al. 2004). The system age (time since coming into contact) is indicated in the topmost panel by small circles spaced evenly in $\log(t/\mathrm{yr})$ and demonstrates that a cool, more compact donor leads to an older system at a given P_{orb}. In the T_{eff} plot we delineate the DB instability strip (where isolated helium-rich WDs pulsate; Beauchamp et al. 1999). Constraints on T_{eff} for observed systems are shown by either lower limits or circles. (See Table 1 for a summary of measurements.) Some of the systems in the 20–40 minute range probably have a disk contribution even in quiescence, giving a low T_{eff} different from that of the WD surface. GP Com and CE-315, however, have both quiescent disks and measured distances, giving T_{eff} and M_V directly from the WD. Comparing these to our models, we find that both of these systems appear to have hot donors.

less than the accretion luminosity, $L_{\mathrm{acc}} \approx GM \langle \dot{M} \rangle / R$, since $kT_c \ll GMm_p/R$. Seeing the hot WD then depends on either geometry (for example, an edge-on system like the newly discovered eclipsing binary SDSS J0926+3624; Anderson et al. 2005) or probes of different spectral regions. Four classes among observed systems are delineated in Table 1. The shortest period systems are in a phase in which the accretion stream directly hits the WD (e.g., Marsh & Steeghs 2002; Israel et al. 2002), providing the first opportunity. In this case there is no disk and there are times when the direct impact location is out of sight, providing an excellent chance to see the (very hot) accreting WD. At slightly longer P_{orb}, around 20 minutes, all systems are expected to have a consistently bright accretion disk in the optical/UV that would energetically dominate the WD (e.g., Nelemans et al. 2004), as noted above. Indeed, Nelemans et al. (2004) showed that a simple accretion disk model at 15 minutes would give $M_V \approx 7$ and temperatures of $\approx 30{,}000$ K, swamping the hot WD. This model is shown by the thick gray line on the bottom panel of Figure 2.

The third group of AM CVn systems, with again slightly longer orbital periods, show large brightness variations due to a thermal instability in the disk (Tsugawa & Osaki 1997). Although at this $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ the disk absolute magnitude is expected to be brighter than the WD, the low state is a time when the accreting WD can be detected, just as in the hydrogen-accreting CVs (Sion 1991; Townsley & Bildsten 2003).

The last group, with the longest orbital periods, again show hardly any brightness variations. In the context of the thermal instability interpretation, these systems could be on the lower, stable branch of the instability curve and thus would be cool disks, consisting mainly of neutral helium. The steadily accreting luminosity is only ~ 10 times larger than the cooling WD (see § 2), enhancing the prospects of seeing the accreting WD relative to shorter orbital periods, where the contrast is greater. In addition, the disk temperature will generally be cooler than the WD, as shown in the bottom panel of Figure 2.

3.1. Nonradial Oscillations of the Accreting WD

In addition to directly seeing the reheated WD in the AM CVn binaries, there are also opportunities for seismological tests as the WD passes through the nonradial pulsation instability strip ($T_{\mathrm{eff}} = 22{,}400{-}27{,}800$ K for isolated DBs; Beauchamp et al. 1999), which we plot in Figure 2. The pulsation periods are 200–1000 s (see Handler 2003 for a recent summary) and are typically $l = 1$ and 2 g-modes of high radial order (e.g., Kotak et al. 2003).

We do not know, a priori, that the instability strip will be the same for a He-accreting WD. The clear structural difference in the accreting WD is the mass of the helium envelope, which will likely exceed 0.05 $M_\odot$ and be seismically measurable. It seems unlikely that the thicker He envelope would alter the instability strip, since the relevant "pump" for the mode excitation occurs at low pressures (see Gautschy & Althaus 2002 for a recent study). However, active accretion onto the outer atmosphere might make a difference, especially if the convection zone (responsible for the mode excitation; e.g., Brickhill 1991) is altered. It also seems possible that the mode pump could become active during the cooling of the outer WD layers (Piro et al. 2005) following an accretion disk outburst. Indeed, Wood et al. (1987) reported excess power at periods of 100–200 s when CR Boo had an optical magnitude of $V = 15.4$, which might well be the passing of the accreting WD through the instability strip following an accretion outburst.

The most dramatic alteration of the g-mode frequencies would be from WD rotation at periods comparable to the g-mode periods, as the WD has accumulated a significant amount of mass and angular momentum since the last mass-ejecting He novae event. This angular momentum either resides solely in the He layer or has been shared with the underlying C/O WD in the $\sim 10^8$ yr spent at the $P_{\mathrm{orb}} \approx 20{-}40$ minutes, where T_{eff} is in the isolated DB instability strip (see Fig. 2). Motivated by the likelihood that very weak internal magnetic fields can easily mediate angular momentum transport on this timescale (e.g., Spruit 2002), we presume that all accreted angular momentum after the last He novae event is shared with the whole WD, yielding a lower limit to the rotation frequency of the He shell, where much of the g-mode energy resides.

We assume that helium accretes onto the WD with the specific angular momentum of the inner edge of the accretion disk, $(GMR)^{1/2}$, and that the amount of accreted matter, $\Delta M \ll M$. The final WD spin frequency is then $2\pi/P_{\mathrm{spin}} = (GMR)^{1/2} \Delta M/I$, where $I \approx 0.2MR^2$ is the WD moment of inertia, yielding $2\pi/P_{\mathrm{spin}} = 5\Delta M(G/MR^3)^{1/2}$,

$$P_{\mathrm{spin}} \approx 50 \text{ s} \left(\frac{0.05\ M_\odot}{\Delta M} \right) \left(\frac{M}{0.6\ M_\odot} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{9 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}} \right)^{3/2}, \quad (3)$$

TABLE 1
AM CVn System Parameters and Inferred Accreting WD Properties

System Name	$P_{\rm orb}$ (minutes)	$\langle \dot{M} \rangle_{\rm min}$ ($M_\odot$ yr^{-1})	$M_{c,\,{\rm min}}$ ($M_\odot$)	$T_{\rm eff}$ (1000 K)	V Range	M_V	References
Pulsed X-Ray							
RX J0806...................	5.35	1.7×10^{-7}	0.125	>40	21.1	. . .	1
V407 Vul....................	9.49	7.0×10^{-9}	0.068	>20	19.9	. . .	2
Persistent							
ES Cet........................	10.3	4.4×10^{-9}	0.062	130 ± 10	16.9	. . .	3, 4
AM CVn	17.1	3.7×10^{-10}	0.035	. . .	14.0	4.8	5
HP Lib........................	18.4	3.8×10^{-10}	0.032	. . .	13.6	7.0	5
Outbursting							
CR Boo......................	24.5	7.6×10^{-11}	0.023	12	13.5–17.5	5.7–9.7	5, 6, 7, 8
KL Dra.......................	25.0	4.3×10^{-11}	0.022	. . .	16.8–20	. . .	9
V803 Cen...................	26.9	7.6×10^{-11}	0.020	14	13.2–17.4	5.1–9.3	10
CP Eri........................	28.4	3.5×10^{-11}	0.019	17	16.5–19.7	. . .	11, 12
SDSS J0926+3624.........	28.3	3.5×10^{-11}	0.019	18	18–19	. . .	13
2003aw......................	33.8	1.4×10^{-11}	0.015	17	16.5–20.3	. . .	14, 15
Low-State							
SDSS J1240−01	37.4	5.6×10^{-12}	0.013	17	19.6	. . .	16
GP Com	46.5	2.2×10^{-12}	0.010	11	15.7–16	11.3–11.7	5, 17, 18
CE-315	65.1	3.4×10^{-13}	0.006	9.5	17.6	13.2	19, 20

References.——(1) Israel et al. 2002; (2) Ramsay et al. 2002; (3) Warner & Woudt 2002; (4) Espaillat et al. 2005; (5) P. J. Groot et al. 2006, in preparation; (6) Wood et al. 1987; (7) Provencal et al. 1997; (8) Patterson et al. 1997; (9) Wood et al. 2002; (10) Patterson et al. 2000; (11) Abbott et al. 1992; (12) Sion et al. 2006; (13) Anderson et al. 2005; (14) Woudt & Warner 2003; (15) Roelofs et al. 2006; (16) Roelofs et al. 2005; (17) Thorstensen 2003; (18) Warner 1995; (19) J. R. Thorstensen 2004, private communication; (20) Ruiz et al. 2001.

which reaches about 100 s when $M = 1.2\ M_\odot$. Hence, it appears very likely that the WD surface layer (and maybe even core) is rotating rapidly enough (i.e., $P_{\rm spin} < P_{\rm mode}$) that the seismology will be dramatically altered from the isolated DB case. The discovery and study of a DB pulsator in an AM CVn binary would provide an unprecedented opportunity to measure the accumulated He layer mass on the C/O WD and the angular momentum transport mechanisms within it. The most probable orbital periods for this range from 20 to 35 minutes.

The rapid surface rotation will broaden any spectral features originating there, as the resulting minimum equatorial rotational velocity is ≈ 1250 km s$^{-1} (\Delta M / 0.05\ M_\odot)$, nearly independent of M. The narrow, central line emission (i.e., spike) seen in He lines of GP Com, CE 315, and SDSS J1240 (Marsh 1999; Ruiz et al. 2001; Roelofs et al. 2005) is generally believed to originate on the accreting WD. However, the widths are much less than this estimate, suggesting either a different origin or an effective loss of angular momentum from the rapidly spinning accretor.

3.2. Atmospheric Properties

Another difference with single DB WDs is that ^{14}N is present in the accreting material at a mass fraction of 1%–2% (due to CNO burning in the progenitor star of the He donor). This ^{14}N would rapidly sink out of the WD photosphere were it not for the constant mixing provided by the underlying convection zone of mass $M_{\rm conv}$. It is thus the sedimentation time, $t_{\rm sed}$, at the base of the convection zone that sets the relevant timescale. Paquette et al. (1986) calculated $t_{\rm sed}$ for ^{14}N in pure He atmospheres of isolated WDs and defined an $\dot{M}_{\rm sed} = M_{\rm conv}/t_{\rm sed}$, below which the ^{14}N in the convective envelope will be less abundant

than in the accreting material. For $M = 0.6\ M_\odot$ WDs, $\dot{M}_{\rm sed} \approx 10^{-12}\ M_\odot$ yr^{-1}. Although $M_{\rm conv}$ decreases rapidly with increasing M (see Fontaine & Van Horn 1976), so does the sedimentation time, making the actual value of $\dot{M}_{\rm sed}$ not too dependent on M for the range of $T_{\rm eff}$ relevant here (see Fig. 14 in Paquette et al. 1986). More recent calculations with updated opacities (MacDonald et al. 1998) found $M_{\rm conv}$ values larger by factors of 4–6 than those of Paquette et al. (1986). Hence, for $P_{\rm orb} < 40$ minutes, the atmospheric N abundance will be comparable to that in the accreted material. At longer orbital periods, depending on the state of the donor, it seems plausible that $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ will be less than $\dot{M}_{\rm sed}$, and the ^{14}N will tend to sediment. Detection and study of atmospheric N (i.e., not from the accretion disk) in GP Com, CE-315, and SDSS J1240 could thus constrain the sedimentation physics.

The abundant presence of ^{14}N may simplify the spectral modeling of these WDs relative to what has been done for pure He atmospheres (see Bergeron et al. 1995a). This is because the low first ionization potential of ^{14}N will provide free electrons more readily than the He. This may well allow the He$^-$ free-free opacity to more readily dominate for the relevant values of $T_{\rm eff}$, but only calculations will tell. This could also alter the location of the pulsational instability strip.

4. COMPARISON TO OBSERVATIONS

We tabulate relevant observed and inferred properties of the AM CVn binaries in Table 1. The minimum donor mass, $M_{c,\rm min}$, for each system is the mass of the Deloye et al. (2005) fully degenerate donor model that fills the Roche lobe at the system's $P_{\rm orb}$. The minimum $\langle \dot{M} \rangle_{\rm min}$ at fixed $P_{\rm orb}$ occurs when $M_c = M_{c,\rm min}$ (Deloye et al. 2005). We thus take $M_{c,\,\rm min}$ and calculate the

tabulated values of $\langle \dot{M} \rangle_{\min}$ as follows. For systems in which the mass ratio has been inferred (all the AM CVn binaries *except* RX J0806, V407 Vul, ES Cet, and SDSS J0926+3624), we use it and $M_{c,\min}$ to find M and thus $\langle \dot{M} \rangle_{\min}$. When there is no mass ratio constraint, we assume that $\langle \dot{M} \rangle_{\min}$ is given by the minimum possible value, which occurs at a mass ratio of ≈ 0.3 (this determined the entries for RX J0806, V407 Vul, and ES Cet), except for SDSS J0926+3624, for which we use the mass ratio of CP Eri.

Our discussion in the previous sections outlined the opportunities for detecting the hot WD, and we now discuss in more detail the implications of our calculations in regards to *directly* observing the WD in these binaries.

4.1. *Short-Period Systems*

The evolutionary state of the two shortest period systems, RX J0806.3+1527 ($P_{orb} = 5.36$ minutes) and RX J1914.4+2456 ($\equiv$V407 Vul, $P_{orb} = 9.48$ minutes), is uncertain, and different models have been proposed (see, e.g., Wu et al. 2002; Ramsay et al. 2002; Marsh & Steeghs, 2002; Marsh & Nelemans, 2005; Dall'Osso et al. 2006; Willems & Kalogera 2005). Marsh & Steeghs (2002) suggested that V407 Vul is a direct-impact accretor in which the accretion stream directly strikes the accreting WD. Noting the earlier work of Townsley & Bildsten (2002), they suggested that much of the optical light could originate from the hot, accreting WD.

Our high $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$ calculations allow us to address the direct impact scenario. Even though the accreting material arrives over a limited part of the WD, we expect complete spreading by the time it has reached depths relevant for compressional heating (Piro & Bildsten 2004), thus allowing our calculation to apply. At the minimum $\langle \dot{M} \rangle \approx 10^{-8}$ $M_{\odot}$ yr^{-1} expected for V407 Vul (see Table 1), we predict that $L \approx L_{\odot}$. The predicted range of $T_{\rm eff} \approx 50,000-100,000$ K and absolute magnitude, $M_V \approx 8$. The analysis of V407 Vul is complicated by the fact that the optical light is dominated by a G9 V star (D. Steeghs et al. 2006, in preparation). However, the variable part of the light clearly shows a blue color, with implied effective temperature $>20,000$ K (see Table 1), consistent with our calculations. The estimated de-reddened visual magnitude is 16.9 (Ramsay et al. 2002), which includes a substantial G-star contribution. Combined with the estimated $M_V \approx 8$, this suggests a distance greater than 500 pc if V407 Vul is a direct impact accretor.

For RX J0806.3+1527 ($P_{orb} = 5.36$ minutes), the situation is quite different. The shorter orbital period implies a luminosity of $\approx 44 L_{\odot}$, $T_{\rm eff} \approx 140,000$ K, and $M_V \approx 4.7$ for the accretor. The estimated $T_{\rm eff}$ agrees with the observed very blue spectrum, for which Israel et al. (2002) find a lower limit of 40,000 K. The apparent magnitude of 21.1 would put the system at 20 kpc, 8 kpc above the Galactic plane. A similar distance is needed to interpret the rather low X-ray flux (Israel et al. 2002) as being generated by $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$.

It was recently suggested that the low X-ray flux could be reconciled with much smaller distances due to a temporarily lower $\dot{M} \ll \langle \dot{M} \rangle$ or due to most of the accretion luminosity being emitted in the UV (Marsh & Nelemans 2005; Willems & Kalogera, 2005). However, our calculations show that not only the X-ray luminosity but also the optical flux tracks $\langle \dot{M} \rangle$, so that the latter suggestion would still produce a much too bright WD. The compressional heating luminosity would track a drop in $\dot{M}$ on the thermal time of the accreted layer, $\tau \sim c_P T_m (0.05 M_{\odot})/L \sim 10^6$ yr$(\langle \dot{M} \rangle/10^{-7} M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1})^{-0.9}$, where T_m is the maximum temperature and L is given by equation (1). This timescale is much longer than the timescale for which a temporarily lower $\dot{M}$ can be sustained (~ 100 yr; Marsh & Nelemans 2005),

leading to a bright WD even at low $\dot{M}$. Therefore, for RX J0806.3+1527 to be a direct impact accretor, the only solution seems to be a very large distance.

The third system in the table, ES Cet, is clearly a helium-accreting WD. Espaillat et al. (2005) suggest it is a direct impact accretor and find $T_{\rm eff} \approx 130,000$ K and radius $R \approx 4.5 \times 10^8$ cm for a distance of 300 pc. They interpret this as the size of the impact spot, but our calculations suggest that the whole WD should be very hot. This observed temperature is in the expected range, and the radius suggests either a massive (1.1 $M_{\odot}$) WD or a less massive WD at a larger distance than they assumed.

4.2. *Outbursting Sources*

For the outbursting systems the spectra are dominated by the accretion disk when they are in their high state. The absolute magnitudes of the disk are at least 6–8, much brighter than the expected absolute magnitudes of the accreting WDs of about 11 (Fig. 2). However, in the low state, the WD can contribute significantly to the spectra, potentially producing the observed continuum. Between 20 and 30 minutes, the expected disk absolute magnitude drops, while the WD M_V stays roughly constant. Interestingly, the magnitude range observed in these outbursting systems decreases with increasing orbital period. While CR Boo has a range of 5 mag, V803 Cen is less, at 4.2, and CP Eri only changes by 3.2 mag.

To check if the low-state spectra could be dominated by the WD, we estimate the temperature from the shape of the 4000–6000 Å continuum from the few spectra that are available. We assume a blackbody spectrum, which reasonably produces the broadband colors of theoretical DB WD spectra (Bergeron et al. 1995b). Our temperature determinations in Table 1 are accurate at about the 10% level.[3] For CR Boo and V803 Cen, the absolute magnitudes are also known (P. J. Groot et al. 2006, in preparation; see Table 1).

For CR Boo and V803 Cen, the temperatures are below the expected WD temperatures, and indeed, the absolute magnitudes in the low state are about 1 mag above the predicted WD brightness (see Fig. 2), suggesting a significant contribution from the disk (which is cooler than the WD, but of larger area), even in the low state. Using the slope of spectrum in the low state, we found a temperature of 30,000 K for CP Eri, whereas a recent UV spectrum suggests 17,000 K (Sion et al. 2006). We have to be careful with these low-state estimates, however, as a second low-state spectrum of CR Boo (Patterson et al. 1997) shows a very different continuum shape, suggesting a cool or hybrid cool plus hot spectrum.

The recently discovered eclipsing AM CVn binary, SDSS J0926+3624, at $P_{orb} = 28.3$ minutes (Anderson et al. 2005) provides an opportunity for probing the distinct contributions of the hot WD and the disk. Anderson et al. (2005) report that the ≈ 1 minute duration of the eclipse is consistent with the 0.02 $M_{\odot}$ secondary transiting a hot spot or the inner disk. It is also consistent with an eclipse of the hot WD. Fast photometry and phase-resolved spectroscopy could confirm that the WD contributes and would tie the eclipse minimum to the WD rather than the disk, yielding a more robust measurement of the orbital period change expected from gravitational wave–driven mass transfer (Anderson et al. 2005).

---

[3] For $T_{\rm eff} \lesssim 17,000$ K the blackbody colors overestimate $T_{\rm eff}$ by 500–1000 K, while above that temperature they underestimate the temperature by a similar amount. In addition, in the region considered here, the change in the slope of the blackbody spectrum decreases with temperature above $\sim 15,000$ K, adding to the inaccuracy at higher temperatures.

4.3. *Long-Period Systems*

For GP Com and CE 315 we derived the temperatures in the same way as described above and interpret the temperature as that of the accreting WD. For GP Com, using the known distance ($d = 67$ pc; Thorstensen 2003), we can derive the emitting area. Thorstensen (2003) gives a typical observed V magnitude of 16.1, but Warner (1995) lists the range 15.7–16.0. We therefore use $V = 15.7$–16.1 as the observed range. Together with $m - M = 4.2 \pm 0.2$ (Thorstensen 2003), this gives $M_V = 11.7 \pm 0.4$. From Bergeron et al. (1995b) we use the bolometric correction for a 11,000 K DB model, being -0.57. This gives a bolometric magnitude $M_{bol} = 11.13 \pm 0.4$, i.e., a luminosity of $2.78 \times 10^{-3}\ L_\odot$ with an error of 44%. Together with the effective temperature, this gives the size of the emitting region of 10^9 cm— i.e., a typical WD radius.

Using the same argument for CE 315, with a distance of 77 pc (J. R. Thorstensen 2004, private communication), using a effective temperature of 9500 K and a bolometric correction of -0.34, we find an emitting region of 6×10^8 cm, implying a more massive WD of $\approx 0.9\ M_\odot$. Indeed, its absolute magnitude falls close to the track for a $1.0\ M_\odot$ accretor (Fig. 2). Interestingly, two systems have been recently discovered that, in their low state, clearly show the broad absorption lines of a DB WD, with estimated temperature of 17,000 K (SDSS J1240–01 and SN2003aw, Roelofs et al. 2005; Woudt & Warner 2003, Roelofs et al. 2006). On the basis of an absolute magnitude of 11 (Fig. 2), these systems should have distances of 735 pc (SN2003aw with $V = 20.3$) and 525 pc (SDSS J1240–01, with $V = 19.6$).

5. SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK

We have shown (both from theory and observation) that thermal emission from the accreting WD within an AM CVn binary is an important contributor to the observed optical emission. For the direct impact systems with the shortest orbital periods, the hot WD is visible when the hot spot is out of the line of sight. At longer orbital periods, the WD can either be seen when the disk is in a low state, or at even longer orbital periods ($P_{orb} > 40$ minutes), the WD always dominates the accretion luminosity in the optical bandpass.

We compared our theoretical results to the temperature and brightness of observed systems. The accreting WD is the dominant contributor to the optical light for the direct impact accretors and constrains their distances. In particular, the expected brightness of the WD is so large for RX J0806 that it can only be in the direct impact phase if it is located at a distance of 20 kpc, far

outside the Galactic disk. For $P_{orb} = 20$–40 minutes, our predicted absolute magnitude of the WD is $M_V \approx 11$. This allows for estimates of the distance to the two newly discovered AM CVn systems (2003aw and SDSS J1240–01) in which the DB WD is visible. The two outbursting systems (CR Boo and V803 Cen) with parallaxes have $M_V \approx 10$ in quiescence, 1 mag brighter than our estimate for the WD. This suggests that even in the low state there is a disk contribution. For GP Com and CE-315, a combination of estimated effective temperature and known distance suggests that the optical continuum emission is dominated by emission from the WD, in agreement with our calculations. For these two systems we find consistency with a hot (high-entropy) donor. Whether this donor was born hot and has evolved at fairly constant entropy or is heated by ongoing processes is unknown but could be addressed by future theoretical work.

Our successful comparisons to observations gives us confidence to apply our work to predict just how faint these binaries can become. For example, either mass WD reaches $M_V = 15$ at 5 Gyr, and at 10 Gyr the absolute magnitudes are $M_V > 20$. This will allow for meaningful constraints on the population density of such binaries from deep optical searches, both in the field (e.g., the three additional candidates found by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey [SDSS]; Anderson et al. 2005) and in globular clusters.

Much work remains to be done on the theoretical side, the most important of which is to resolve better the nature and occurrence rate of He flashes at early stages in the evolution of these binaries. In addition to better constraining the remaining He mass on the accreting WD at late times, it would also allow us to predict the He novae rate and potentially constrain the population from the measurements of such events. Perhaps the most dramatic development would be the secure detection of nonradial oscillations in these WDs, allowing for measurements of both the WD rotation rate and accumulated He layer mass.

REFERENCES

Abbott, T. M. C., Robinson, E. L., Hill, G. J., & Haswell, C. A. 1992, ApJ, 399, 680
Anderson, S. F., et al. 2005, AJ, 130, 2230
Beauchamp, A., Wesemael, F., Bergeron, P., Fontaine, G., Saffer, R. A., Liebert, J., & Brassard, P. 1999, ApJ, 516, 887
Bergeron, P., Saumon, D., & Wesemael, F. 1995a, ApJ, 443, 764
Bergeron, P., Wesemael, F., & Beauchamp, A. 1995b, PASP, 107, 1047
Bildsten, L. 2002, ApJ, 577, L27
Brickhill, A. J. 1991, MNRAS, 251, 673
Dall'Osso, S., Israel, G. L., & Stella, L. 2006, A&A, in press (astro-ph/0507474)
Deloye, C. J., & Bildsten, L. 2003, ApJ, 598, 1217
Deloye, C. J., Bildsten, L., & Nelemans, G. 2005, ApJ, 624, 934
Espaillat, C., Patterson, J., Warner, B., & Woudt, P. 2005, PASP, 117, 189
Fontaine, G., & Van Horn, H. M. 1976, ApJS, 31, 467
Fujimoto, M. Y., & Sugimoto, D. 1982, ApJ, 257, 291
Gautschy, A., & Althaus, L. G. 2002, A&A, 382, 141
Goriely, S., Jose, J., Hernanz, M., Rayet, M., & Arnould, M. 2002, A&A, 383, L27

Handler, G. 2003, in White Dwarfs, ed. D. De Martino et al. (Kluwer: Dordrecht), 255
Hansen, B. M. S. 1999, ApJ, 520, 680
Israel, G. L., et al. 2002, A&A, 386, L13
Kato, M., & Hachisu, I. 1999, ApJ, 513, L41
Kotak, R., van Kerkwijk, M. H., Clemens, J. C., & Koester, D. 2003, A&A, 397, 1043
Limongi, M., & Tornambe, A. 1991, ApJ, 371, 317 (LT91)
MacDonald, J., Hernanz, M., & Jose, J. 1998, MNRAS, 296, 523
Marsh, T. R. 1999, MNRAS, 304, 443
Marsh, T. R., & Nelemans, G. 2005, MNRAS, 363, 581
Marsh, T. R., & Steeghs, D. 2002, MNRAS, 331, L7
Nelemans, G., Portegies Zwart, S. F., Verbunt, F., & Yungelson, L. R. 2001, A&A, 368, 939
Nelemans, G., Yungelson, L. R., & Portegies Zwart, S. F. 2004, MNRAS, 349, 181
Nomoto, K. 1982, ApJ, 253, 798
Nomoto, K., & Sugimoto, D. 1977, PASJ, 29, 765
Paquette, C., Pelletier, C., Fontaine, G., & Michaud, G. 1986, ApJS, 61, 197

Patterson, J., Walker, S., Kemp, J., O'Donoghue, D., Bos, M., & Stubbings, R. 2000, PASP, 112, 625

Patterson, J., et al. 1997, PASP, 109, 1100

Piro, A. L., Arras, P., & Bildsten, L. 2005, ApJ, 628, 401

Piro, A. L., & Bildsten, L. 2004, ApJ, 603, 252

Potekhin, A., & Chabrier, G. 2000, Phys. Rev. E, 62, 8554

Provencal, J. L., et al. 1997, ApJ, 480, 383

Ramsay, G., Wu, K., Cropper, M., Schmidt, G., Sekiguchi, K., Iwamuro, F., & Maihara, T. 2002, MNRAS, 333, 575

Roelofs, G. H. A., Groot, P. J., Marsh, T. R., Steeghs, D., Barros, S. C. C., & Nelemans, G. 2005, MNRAS, 361, 487

Roelofs, G. H. A., Groot, P. J., Marsh, T. R., Steeghs, D., & Nelemans, G. 2006, MNRAS, 365, 1109

Ruiz, M. T., Rojo, P. M., Garay, G., & Maza, J. 2001, ApJ, 552, 679

Sion, E. M. 1991, AJ, 102, 295

Sion, E. M., Solheim, J.-E., Szkody, P., Gaensicke, B. T., & Howell, S. B. 2006, ApJ, 636, L125

Solheim, J.-E., & Yungelson, L. R. 2005, in ASP Conf. Ser. 334, 14th European Workshop on White Dwarfs, ed. D. Koester & S. Moehler (San Francisco: ASP), 387

Spruit, H. C. 2002, A&A, 381, 923

Thorstensen, J. R. 2003, AJ, 126, 3017

Townsley, D., & Bildsten, L. 2002, ApJ, 565, L35

———. 2003, ApJ, 596, L227

——— 2004, ApJ, 600, 390 (TB04)

Tsugawa, M., & Osaki, Y. 1997, PASJ, 49, 75

Warner, B. 1995, Ap&SS, 225, 249

Warner, B., & Woudt, P. A. 2002, PASP, 114, 129

Willems, B., & Kalogera, V. 2005, ApJL, submitted (astro-ph/0508218)

Wood, M. A., Casey, M. J., Garnavich, P. M., & Haag, B. 2002, MNRAS, 334, 87

Wood, M. A., et al. 1987, ApJ, 313, 757

Woosley, S. E., & Weaver, T. A. 1994, ApJ, 423, 371

Woudt, P. A., & Warner, B. 2003, MNRAS, 345, 1266

Wu, K., Cropper, M., Ramsay, G., & Sekiguchi, K. 2002, MNRAS, 331, 221